

CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	VI
TABLE OF FIGURES	XI
ABSTRACT	XVI
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
I. Incidence of mite occurring in some grape vein and fig varieties	3
II. Population dynamics of phytophagous and predaceous mites on grapevine and fig trees ...	20
III. The relationship between some phytophagous mites and Fig mosaic virus (FMV)	30
IV. Impact of pesticides <i>A. ficus</i>	33
III. MATERIALS AND METHODS	39
A. Incidence	39
B. Population dynamics of phytophagous and their predaceous mites on grapevine and fig trees ...	40
C. The relationship between some phytophagous mites and (FMV)	40
D. Effect of some chemical compounds on <i>A. ficus</i>	41
IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	45
I. Incidence of mites associated with grape vine and fig varieties	45

A. Phytophagous mites	45
B. Predaceous mites	49
C. Mites of miscellaneous habits	52
II. Population dynamics of phytophagous and predaceous mites on some grapevine and fig varieties	55
1- Population dynamics of phytophagous mites on three grapevine varieties in Qalubiya Governorate	55
A. Population dynamics of phytophagous mites on leaves and buds of Romy Ahmer variety	55
B. Population dynamics of phytophagous mites on leaves and buds of Thompson seedless variety.....	71
C. Population dynamics of phytophagous mites on leaves and buds of Bez El-anza grape variety	86
2. Population dynamics of predacious mites on three varieties of grapevine in Qalubiya Governorate	101
A. On Romy Ahmer	101
B. On Thmpson seedless	109
C. On Bez El-anza	116
3. Correlation between the predaceous mites and phytophagous mites on the three	123

grapevine varieties trees at Qalubiya Governorate	
A. On Romy Ahmer grape variety	123
B. On Thompson seedless grape variety ...	124
C. On Bez El-anza grape variety	128
4. Susceptibility of three grapevine varieties to infestation by five phytophagous mites ...	129
1- Susceptibility of Romy Ahmer; Thompson seedless and Bez El-anza grape variety to infestation of <i>Tenuipalpus granati</i>	129
2- Susceptibility of Romy Ahmer; Thompson seedless and Bez El-anza grape variety to infestation of <i>T. urticae</i>	129
3- Susceptibility of Romy Ahmer; Thompson seedless and Bez El-anza grape variety to infestation of <i>O. vitis</i>	130
4- Susceptibility of Romy Ahmer; Thompson seedless and Bez El-anza grape variety to infestation of <i>G. vitis</i>	130
5- Susceptibility of Romy Ahmer; Thompson seedless and Bez El-anza grape variety to infestation of <i>B. californicus</i>	139
5. Population dynamics of fig bud mite <i>A. ficus</i> on fig varieties	142
A- Seasonal abundance of <i>A. ficus</i> on young	142

leaves, old leaves and buds of fig varieties	
1- Seasonal abundance of <i>A. ficus</i> on Sultani variety.	142
2- Seasonal abundance of <i>A. ficus</i> on Adsi variety.....	149
B- Susceptibility of Fig varieties to infestation by <i>A. ficus</i>	158
III. Studies of the relationship between (fig bud mite <i>A. ficus</i> , tow spotted spider mite <i>T. urticae</i>) and fig mosaic virus (FMV)	162
1- Transmission of FMV by mites	162
2- Effect of number viruliferus mites (<i>A. ficus</i>) per test plant on virus transmission	167
3- Development of mite population on healthy and virus-infected fig plants	169
4- Transmission by grafting	176
IV. Effect of some chemical compounds on fig bud mite <i>A. ficus</i> under field condition	177
V. SUMMARY	180
VI. REFERENCES	189
VII. ARABIC SUMMARY	