# RESULTS & DISCUSSION

### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## 4-1- Vegetative growth characteristics: -

Data presented at Table (2) show the effect of cultivars, phosphorus and phosphorine (P.D.B) bio-fertilizer on vegetative growth characteristics of common bean plants expressed as plant height, number of leaves and branches per plant, leaf area, fresh and dry weight of plant.

With regard the effect of cultivar such data indicated that there were a differences between the used cultivars Giza 6 and Bronco in all studied morphological characteristics of common bean plants. In this respect, such differences reached the level of 0.5% of significance in all studied growth aspects expect the average leaves area / plant and fresh weight during the first season, while in the second one it reached the level of significance only in case of plant height and dry weight of plant. These differences among the studied cvs. may be due to the differences in genetic potentiality of such cvs. Obtained results are similar to those reported by (Abdel Fattah et al. 1974, Nassar 1986, Chagas et al.1987, El-Sayed 1990 a, Abou El-Hassan et al.1993, Morgan 1993, El-sayed 1996, Singer et al.1996, Mohamed 1997, and Amer et al. 2002) all working on common bean cvs.

Table (2):  $oldsymbol{V}$  egetative growth characteristics of common bean plants as affected by cultivars, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilization level during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

$\cap$	_				-					T	1				
											Вгопсо	Giza 6		Cultivars	Treatments
L.S.D at 0.05	Phos.1kg/ fed.+60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	Phos.1kg/fed.+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.			60kgP2O5/fed.	30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /fed.	Phos.1kg/fed.	*Phos.0.5kg/ fed.	Control	L.S.D at 0.05			v or mercanon lovel	Fertilization level	Season
1.40	38.60	38.84	39.37	35.81	36.15	35.30	35.39	34.47	32.02	0.85	35.25	37.18	(em)	Plant	
0.61	14.31	14.31	14.52	13.72	13.46	13.01	12.55	12.07	11.13	0.62	12.52	13.94	plant	No. of	
0.40	4.93	5.05	5.11	4.65	4.68	4.39	4.06	3.76	302	0.18	4.14	4.67	plant	No. of	20
110.49	1334.71	1387.95	1366.12	1304.78	1170.67	1134.24	1074.50	980.82	846.19	n.s.	1208.14	1147.41	(cm2)	L.A	2000
5.14	85.00	84.87	86.08	81.50	79.87	78.75	78.00	76.00	65.25	n.s.	78.97	79.99	(g) \$	Plant	
1.35	13.37	13.27	13.31	13.65	12.98	12.82	12.48	12.12	11.25	0.82	11.93	13.70	(g) \$	Plant	
1.67	47.17	47.07	49.13	44.33	45.00	43.65	43.85	42.62	39.95	1.59	43.47	46.03	neignt (cm)	Plant	
1.13	15. <b>81</b>	15.73	16.40	15.62	14.38	15.22	14.71	14.50	13.05	n.s.	14.59	15.50	/plant	No. of	
0.43	5.62	5.32	5.91	5.55	5.25	5.11	5.02	4.77	3.91	n.s.	5.17	5.16	branches/ plant	No. of	2001
155.86	1249.84	1284.22	1285.59	1215.27	1170.90	1132.70	1015.00	888.61	794.94	n.s.	1161.88	1068.58	/plant (cm²)	L.A	10
7.11	93.50	92.25	98.75	92.25	93.62	89.75	90.12	88.25	75.95	n.s.	90.00	90.99	F. &	Plant	
2 94	14.79	14.95	15.84	14.88	14.76	13.79	13.75	12.44	10.68	2.62	12.89	15.09	(g) W	Plant	
	1.40 0.61 0.40 110.49 5.14 1.35 1.67	d.+ 60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / 38.60 14.31 4.93 1334.71 85.00 13.37 47.17 15.81 5.62 1249.84 93.50 14.0 0.61 0.40 110.49 5.14 1.35 1.67 1.13 0.43 155.86 7.11	I.+30kgP2Oy     38.84     14.31     5.05     1387.95     84.87     13.27     47.07     15.73     5.32     1284.22     92.25       ad.+60kgP2Oy     38.60     14.31     4.93     1334.71     85.00     13.37     47.17     15.81     5.62     1249.84     93.50       1.40     0.61     0.40     110.49     5.14     1.35     1.67     1.13     0.43     155.86     7.11	60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / fed. 39.37 14.52 5.11 1366.12 86.08 13.31 49.13 16.40 5.91 1285.59 98.75 1.+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / 38.84 14.31 5.05 1387.95 84.87 13.27 47.07 15.73 5.32 1284.22 92.25 38.4 14.31 4.93 1334.71 85.00 13.37 47.17 15.81 5.62 1249.84 93.50 1.40 0.61 0.40 110.49 5.14 1.35 1.67 1.13 0.43 155.86 7.11	30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / fed. 35.81 13.72 4.65 1304.78 81.50 13.65 44.33 15.62 5.55 1215.27 92.25 60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / fed. 39.37 14.52 5.11 1366.12 86.08 13.31 49.13 16.40 5.91 1285.59 98.75 L+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / 38.84 14.31 5.05 1387.95 84.87 13.27 47.07 15.73 5.32 1284.22 92.25 ed.+60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / 38.60 14.31 4.93 1334.71 85.00 13.37 47.17 15.81 5.62 1249.84 93.50 13.0	ed. 36.15 13.46 4.68 1170.67 79.87 12.98 45.00 14.38 5.25 1170.90 93.62 30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / fed. 35.81 13.72 4.65 1304.78 81.50 13.65 44.33 15.62 5.55 1215.27 92.25 60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / fed. 39.37 14.52 5.11 1366.12 86.08 13.31 49.13 16.40 5.91 1285.59 98.75 L+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / 38.84 14.31 5.05 1387.95 84.87 13.27 47.07 15.73 5.32 1284.22 92.25 d+60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / 38.60 14.31 4.93 1334.71 85.00 13.37 47.17 15.81 5.62 1249.84 93.50 1	ed. 35.30 13.01 4.39 1134.24 78.75 12.82 43.65 15.22 5.11 1132.70 89.75 ed. 36.15 13.46 4.68 1170.67 79.87 12.98 45.00 14.38 5.25 1170.90 93.62 30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> /fed. 35.81 13.72 4.65 1304.78 81.50 13.65 44.33 15.62 5.55 1215.27 92.25 60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> /fed. 39.37 14.52 5.11 1366.12 86.08 13.31 49.13 16.40 5.91 1285.59 98.75 1.+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / 88.84 14.31 5.05 1387.95 84.87 13.27 47.07 15.73 5.32 1284.22 92.25 ed.+60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / 38.80 14.31 4.93 1334.71 85.00 13.37 47.17 15.81 5.62 1249.84 93.50 13.00	d.       35.39       12.55       4.06       1074.50       78.00       12.48       43.85       14.71       5.02       1015.00       90.12         ed.       35.30       13.01       4.39       1134.24       78.75       12.82       43.65       15.22       5.11       1132.70       89.75         ed.       36.15       13.46       4.68       1170.67       79.87       12.98       45.00       14.38       5.25       1170.90       93.62         30kgP2Oy/fed.       35.81       13.72       4.65       1304.78       81.50       13.65       44.33       15.62       5.55       1215.27       92.25         60kgP2Oy/fed.       39.37       14.52       5.11       1366.12       86.08       13.31       49.13       16.40       5.91       1285.59       98.75         1.+30kgP2Oy/       38.84       14.31       5.05       1387.95       84.87       13.27       47.07       15.73       5.32       1284.22       92.25         3d++60kgP2Oy/       38.60       14.31       4.93       1334.71       85.00       13.37       47.17       15.81       5.62       1249.84       93.50       1         3d++60kgP2Oy/       38.60       14.31	/ fed.       34.47       12.07       3.76       980.82       76.00       12.12       42.62       14.50       4.77       888.61       88.25         d.       35.39       12.55       4.06       1074.50       78.00       12.18       43.85       14.71       5.02       1015.00       90.12         ed.       35.30       13.01       4.39       1134.24       78.75       12.82       43.65       15.22       5.11       1132.70       89.75         ed.       36.15       13.46       4.68       1170.67       79.87       12.98       45.00       14.38       5.25       1170.90       93.62         30kgPzOs/fed.       35.81       13.72       4.65       1304.78       81.50       13.65       44.33       15.62       5.55       1215.27       92.25         60kgPzOs/fed.       39.37       14.52       5.11       1366.12       86.08       13.31       49.13       16.40       5.91       1285.59       98.75         1.+30kgPzOs/       38.84       14.31       5.05       1387.95       84.87       13.27       47.07       15.81       5.62       1249.84       93.50       1         ad+60kgPzOs/       38.60       14.31       4.		N.S.   N.S.	LS.D at 0.05         0.85         0.62         0.105         0.85         0.62         0.18         n.s.         n.s.         0.82         1.59         n.s.         n.s.         n.s.         0.82         1.59         n.s.         n.s.	No.   No.		Fertilization level   Height   Heaves   Heaves

the effect of both phosphorus and Concerning phosphorine bio-fertilizer on vegetative growth of bean plants, the same data at Table (2) show clearly that all the studied growth parameters. i.e. plant height, number of leaves and branches, as well as leaf area, fresh and dry weight per plant were positively affected due to the application of both mineral bio-phosphorus fertilizers compared with the control treatment. In this regard, the application of phosphorus and phosphorine either in a single form at 30 and 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> / fed. for phosphorus and 0.5 and 1 kg / fed. for phosphorine or in combination at 0.5 kg phosphorine + 30 or 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 1 kg phosphorine + 30 or 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> /fed. led to a significant all the measured growth aspects of bean plants in increase in comparison with the check treatments. In this connection, the highest values for all recorded morphological characters of plant were obtained as a result of using phosphorine bio-fertilizer at a rate of 0.5 kg/fed. combined with phosphorus fertilizer at 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> / feddan. Obtained results are true during both seasons of study. Such increments in growth of bean plant due to the application of phosphorus and phosphorine may be attributed to the main role of phosphorus in most metabolic process of plant and its connection with energy affluent molecules; (ADP and ATP) in plant cells. In addition, phosphorus application increased the macro-elements content (N, P and K) in different plant parts (Tables 5, 12 and 13) which play the major role in plant growth. Increasing the vegetative growth of plants as a result of phosphorine (PDB) application may be due to the active

bacteria in bio-fertilizer which is capable to transform the tricalcium phosphate to mono-calcium phosphate in addition to the ability to dissolve soil complex in organic and inorganic phosphate and the role of phosphate bio-fertilizers in increasing availability of soil immobilized phosphorus and consequently increased the content of such element in plant (Table 5). Similar result were reported by (Mahatanya 1980, El-Gharably and Abdel-Razek 1982, Manrique (1986),Cordera(1989), Gomaa (1989), El-Gizy (1990), Janathan and Emanul 1991, Lynch et al. (1991), Shafshak 1991, Abu El-Hassan et al. 1993, "Abdel-Hafez 1994, Fageria et al. (1996) Ali El-din 2000, Shahein et al. (2000a), and Ismael 2001). all working on bean in case of phosphorus El-Shamma (2000) on bean, Radwan (1983), Abdel-Moneim et al. (1988a and b), Saber and Gomaa (1993), Hewedy (1999), Ouda (2000) and Tantawy (2000) on tomato and Gharib (2001) on cucumber in case of phosphorine bio-fertilizer. However, El-Bakry et al. (1980) on bean, Midan et al. (1982) on pea, Farag et al. (1987) on cowpea reported that application of phosphorus fertilizer did not exert any significant effect on plant growth. Contra results were reported by Araujo et al. (1982) on bean and El-Sawah et (1985) on broad bean, they reported that increasing al. phosphorus fertilizer levels led to a decrease in vegetative growth of plant.

With regard to the effect of the interaction between the studied cultivars and phosphatic fertilization level on the vegetative growth of plant, data at Table (3) reveal that no

Table (3): Vegetative growth characteristics of common bean plants as affected by the interaction between cultivars, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilization level during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

						Bronco								Giza 6						Cultivars	Treatments
L.S.D at 0.05	r nos.1kg/ rea.+ 60kgr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / red.	rios.ikg/ied.+30kgr <sub>2</sub> U <sub>5</sub> /ied.	rnos.u.3kg+60kgr <sub>2</sub> U <sub>5</sub> / ted.	rnos.0.5kg+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / ted.	60kgF <sub>2</sub> U <sub>5</sub> /1ed.	30kgr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	Fnos.1kg/ fed.	rhos.0.5kg/ ted.	Control	Fnos.1kg/red.+60kgP2O5/fed.	rnos.1kg/1ed.+30kgr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /1ed.	rnos.u.skg+60kgF <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / red.	rnos.0.5kg+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	bb 0.51-1.201-2015	SURgr <sub>2</sub> U <sub>5</sub> / Ied.	Phos. ikg/ red.	Phos.U.5kg/ ted.	Control		Fertilization level	Season
n.s.	37.55	37.69	38.00	35.38	35.05	34.61	34.53	34.19	30.30	39.66	40.00	40.75	36.25	37.25	36.00	36.25	34.75	33.75	(cm)	Plant height	
n.s.	13.28	13.50	13.55	12.85	12.73	12.48	12.05	11.75	10.53	15.35	15.13	15.50	14.60	14.20	13.55	13.05	12.40	11.73	plant	No. of leaves/	
n.s.	4.75	4.95	5.00	4.20	4.43	4.03	3.73	3.50	2.75	5.13	5.15	5.23	5.10	4.95	4.75	4.40	4.03	3.30	plant	No. of branches/	21
n.s.	1313.99	1377.78	1395.55	1340.58	1218.18	1169.62	1128.35	1037.80	891.51	1355.44	1398.18	1336.71	1268.99	1123.18	1098.87	1020.66	923.85	800.88	(cm²)	L.A /plant	2000
n.s.	84.75	84.25	85.50	81.00	80.25	78.00	77.25	75.75	64.00	85.25	85.50	86.66	82.00	79.50	79.50	78.75	76.25	66.50	(g)	Plant F.W	
n.s.	12.75	12.09	12.48	13.12	11.53	12.32	11.43	10.83	10.82	14.00	14.46	14.14	14.19	14.44	13.46	13.55	13.42	11.69	(g)	Plant D.W	
n.s.	46.25	46.15	47.58	43.25	43.30	42.45	42.60	42.08	37.65	48.10	48.00	50.70	45.43	46.70	44.85	45.10	43.18	42.26	(cm)	Plant height	
n.s.	15.33	15.40	16.00	13.35	13.08	15.05	13.75	14.80	12.58	16.30	16.06	16.80	15.90	15.70	15.40	15.66	14.20	13.53	/plant	No. of leaves	
n.s.	5.53	5.15	5.98	5.70	5.25	5.13	4.95	4.68	4.18	5.73	5.50	5.85	5.40	5.25	5.10	5.10	4.88	3.66	plant	No. of branches/	2001
n.s.	1274.64	1295.23	1373.86	1287.12	1217.20	1194.64	1076.82	928.80	808.64	1225.04	1273.22	1197.33	1143.42	1124.61	1070.77	953.19	848.42	781.25	(cm2)	L.A /plant	01
п.s.	93.00	90.00	98.75	92.50	92.75	89.50	91.25	89.00	73.25	94.00	94.50	98.75	92.00	94.50	90.00	89.00	87.50	78.66	<u>e</u>	Plant F.W	
n.s.	13.87	13.48	14.51	14.11	12.84	12.76	12.89	11.82	9.71	 15.72	16.41	17.17	15.65	16.67	14.84	14.62	13.06	11.65	9	Plant D.W	

significant differences were found in all studied growth parameters among the studied cultivars due to the application of phosphatic fertilizer at its different used levels either in a mineral or bio form during both the growing seasons of study. However, the highest values in such growth aspects were obtained due to the application of phosphorine fertilizer at 0.5 kg/fed. combined with 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  / fed. in case of Giza 6 cv. obtained results was confirmed during both seasons of study. Obtained results are confirmed with those reported by *Abou-El- Hassan et al (1993)* on common bean . Who indicated that the highest values of plant height and plant dry weight were obtained in case of using the highest phosphorus level regardless the cultivars.

# 4-2- Chemical constituents of plant foliage: -

Table (4) show the effect of cultivars, phosphorus and phosphorine bio-fertilizer on total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content of plant foliage.

Concerning the effect of cultivars, of bean plants such data reveal that irrespective of phosphorus content, which was not significantly affected among the tested cvs. during the both seasons of study, total nitrogen and potassium content in plant leaves and stem were significantly differed. In this regard, Boronco cv. reflected the greatest values in the percentage of estimated elements this result was confirmed during both seasons of study. The highest content for cv. Bronco from total nitrogen and potassium was connected with the longer period elapsed from sowing to flowering (Table 6) in case of Bronco compared with cv. Giza 6 such relatively longer duration for vegetative

Table (4): Total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents of bean plant foliage (mg / 100 g dry weight) as affected by cultivars, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilization level during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

	60000					İ							
Treatments	Season			2000	00					2001	01		
Cultivare	Fertilization level		Stem			Leaves			Stem			Leaves	
		Z	P	X	Z	P	K	Z	Ā	K	Z	P	×
Giza 6		1390	260	2300	2000	220	2460	1560	270	2330	2070	240	2490
Bronco		1660	250	2620	2300	230	2610	1690	270	2650	2280	240	2620
	L.S.D at 0.05	90	n.s.	40	150	n.s.	0.90	90	n.s.	30	200	n.s.	80
	Control	1230	210	1950	1430	170	2060	1270	210	1970	1410	190	2050
	Phos.0.5kg/ fed.	1260	220	2010	1550	190	2240	1350	220	2050	1530	210	2260
	Phos.1kg/ fed.	1420	230	2120	1690	210	2380	1510	240	2120	1650	220	2400
	30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /fed.	1430	230	2230	1930	210	2430	1580	240	2240	1970	230	2400
	60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	1580	250	2490	2010	230	2520	1720	260	2540	2050	240	2560
	Phos.0.5kg+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	1650	270	2660	2600	240	2670	1760	290	2730	2730	260	2640
	Phos.0.5kg+60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	1670	310	2780	2730	270	2770	1770	330	2840	2760	280	2790
	Phos.1kg/fed.+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	1720	300	2920	2690	250	2860	1810	310	2960	2650	260	2890
	Phos.1kg/ fed.+ 60kgP2Os/ fed.	1800	290	2970	2740	250	2910	1840	300	2990	2830	260	2910
	L.S.D at 0.05	80	30	70	120	20	100	90	20	70	90	20	70

growth phase permit the plant to absorb more amounts of such elements. Obtained results are in agreement with those reported by Ahlawat (1996), El-sayed (1990 b), and Hernandez et al. (1996) all working on common bean.

Refering to the effect of phosphatic fertilization on the concentration of N, P and K in plant foliage, the same data in Table (4) show clearly that the content of such attributes (N.P.K) was significantly increased as a result of the application of phosphatic fertilizer as mineral form or bio-fertilizer. In this respect, application of phosphatic fertilizer at rate of 0.5 or 1 /kg / fed. as phosphorine and 30 or 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> / fed. either solely or in combination i.e 0.5 kg phosphorine plus 30 or 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> / and I kg phosphorine plus 30 or 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> /fed. fed. significantly increased all estimated macro elements in plant foliage compared with the control treatment. In addition the highest nitrogen and potassium content was connected with the highest used level of phosphorine and phosphorus in combination form (1 kg + 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> / fed.) while the highest phosphorus content was obtained as a result of using 0.5 kg P.D.B + 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> /fed. this trend was noticed during both seasons of study. Such increment in all estimated macroelements was connected with the increase in vegetative growth parameters (Table 2) as a result of phosphorus application. Obtained results may be due to the role of phosphorus and phosphorine fertilizer on proliferation of roots and consequently increased the absorption ability of bean plants. Similar results were reported by Chavez et al. (1977), Palaniyandi and Smith

(1978), El-Bakry et al. (1980), Singh et al. (1981), Awad et al. (1982), El-Garably and AbdEl-Razik (1982) and, Maek (1983) Abd El-Hafez (1994) and Shahein et al. (2000a) all working on bean and El-Sawah et al. (1985) on broad bean in addition Ismaiel (2001) showed that increasing phosphorus fertilizer level from 0 up to 32 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> / fed. led to a significant increased N, P and K percentage and up take for leaves and stems of common bean plant. Moreover, El-Shamma (2000) on bean and Tantawy (2000) on tomato and Garib (2001) on cucumber reported that application of bio-fertilizers increased N, P, K and protein content of such plants. of Kerlous et al. (1998) on cowpea

On the other hand contra results were obtained by **Smith** (1977) Midan et al. .(1980) all working on bean Moursy et al. (1970) Ahmed (1975) working on broad bean, Fayad (1997) on common bean.

As for the interaction effect, data at Table (5) indicate that irrespective of phosphorus content in different plant parts (stem and. leaves) which was not significantly affected as a result of the interaction, nitrogen and potassium content in plant foliage (stem & leaves) for both cultivars i.e Bronco and Giza 6 was increased as a result of fertilization with phosphorus and/or phosphorine at different used levels compared with the control treatment during the two seasons of study. Moreover, the highest content of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium was connected with the highest used level of phosphorine and phosphorus fertilizer in combination form i.e. 1 kg phosphorine + 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> / fed. in this respect *Ssali and Keya* (1983) indicated that,

Table (5): Total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents of bean plant foliage (mg / 100 g dry weight) as affected by cultivars, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilization level during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

							Bronco								Giza 6					Cuminars	Cultivari	Treatments	
	L.S.D at 0.05	Phos.1kg/fed.+ 60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /fed.	Phos.1kg/fed.+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	Phos.0.5kg+60kgP2O5/fed.	Phos.0.5kg+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	i nos.ikg/ ied.	Pho 11-(f.)	Control  Phas 0.51.7/fad	i nos. i ng/ ied. + ovngr <sub>2</sub> Og/ ied.	Phos That fold + College O (for	Phos 11/2/fed +201/2D O / fed	Phos 0 507+601-7P O / for	Phos 0 stra+30trap.O /fcd	60kmP-O-/fod	301/ aD. O. / fee	Phos 11:a/ fod	Phos 0 51-7 feet	Control		its	Cosca
3	110	1930	2020	1830	1820	1790	1520	1570	1280	1250	1670	1440	1520	1500	1380	1330	1270	1250	1210	2			
11.5	n (	<b>79</b> 0	300	310	290	270	230	220	210	210	310	310	330	260	240	230	240	230	230	70	Stem		
5	10	3010	2980	2930	2900	2730	2390	2360	2170	2150	2950	2860	2650	2440	2260	2080	1890	1860	1750	~		21	
1/0	170	7790	2050	2850	2620	2060	1990	1950	1870	1650	2690	2430	2610	2580	1970	1870	1430	1240	1220	Z		2000	
n.s.	200	090	770	390	250	230	220	210	200	170	250	250	270	240	230	220	220	200	190	Ą	Leaves		
n.s.	0,67	2070	2020	0000	2760	2490	2490	2450	2340	2190	2860	2790	2620	2590	2550	2380	2330	1240	1950	×	5		
110	14/0	1070	1000	1000	1970	1840	1570	1560	1310	1260	1720	1650	1660	1660	1620	1600	1460	1400	1290	Z			
n.s.	310	310	310	300	300	770	250	230	220	210	310	310	340	280	260	250	250	230	220	P	Stem		
90	3030	3020	3000	2000	0177	7710	2410	2350	2210	2180	2950	2920	2690	2490	2370	2080	1900	1890	1760	×		2	
120	0.187	2870	2/20	2720	7700	3000	1980	1890	1840	1650	2850	2440	2800	2760	2030	1960	1410	1240	1180	Z		2001	
n.s.	270	280	300	200	240	7 7 7	730	220	210 •	180	260	260	280	260	250	240	240	220	200	Ą	Leaves		
100	2980	2970	2950	2650	2000	7550	7530	2460	2350	2150	2850	2820	2640	2640	2580	2440	2340	2170	1950	Σ,	Ś		

application increased phosphorus uptake and tissue nitrogen of studied *Phaseolus vulgaris* cvs. *Ahlawat (1996)* reached to similar results.

## 4-3- Flowering characteristics: -

Data in Table (6) show the effect of cultivars, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilizer on flowering characteristics of cvs. Giza 6 and Bronco expressed as number of days elapsed to the flowering of 50% of plants, number of flowers and pods produced by plant as well as pods setting percentage.

Regarding the effect of cultivars, such data reveal that there were a significant differences between the used cultivars in flower in earlier number of flowers and pods as well as fruit setting percentage during the two seasons of study. In this Giza 6 was earlier in flowering than Bronco. respect, cv. However, cv. Bronco was superior in number of flowers and pods / plant as well as setting percentage compared with Giza 6 during both seasons of study. Moreover, the number of days elapsed from seeding to 50 % flowering plants was 42.65 and 49.69, 24.80 and 49.43 days during first and second seasons for cvs. Giza 6 and Bronco respectively. Obtained results are similar to those reported by Abdel-Fattah et al. (1974), Abou El-Hassan et al. (1993) and Mohamed (1997) all working on they reported that there were a differences common bean, among cultivars in the number of days elapsed from sowing to flowering. Such variation in the number of days from seeding to flowering among cultivars would be related to the accumulation heat unit.

Table (6): Flowering characteristics of common bean plants as affected by cultivars, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilization level during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

Conce								
Treatments		20	2000			2(	2001	
Cultivars Fertilization level	No. of days	No. of flowering	No. of pods	Setting percentage	No. of days	No. of flowering	No. of pods	Setting
Giza 6	42.65	38.84	18.61	47.76	42 80	AD 50	/Diant	
Bronco	!		10.01	1,.,0	12.00	40.58	17.48	42.43
	49.69	47.14	30.12	63.85	49.43	46.89	30.87	65.82
L.S.D at 0.05	0.78	1.02	1.58	5.55	0.56	0.91	262	6 77
Control	49.25	38.95	20.00	50.58	50.00	40 38	20.62	40.71
Phos.0.5kg/ fed.	48.50	40.13	21.88	53.55	48.42	41.63	21.38	50.97
rnos. I kg/ ted.	47.25	41.63	22.50	53.11	47.42	42.00	21.63	50.97
30kgF <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	46.25	42.38	23.13	53.73	47.08	43.25	22.25	50.80
ourgr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / red.	45.83	43.88	23.50	52.73	45.83	44.13	22.75	80.86
rnos.u.skg+30kgP2Og/ ted.	45.25	43.75	25.25	57.27	45.00	44.38	24.63	54.92
rnos.u.skg+oukgr <sub>2</sub> U <sub>5</sub> / ted.	43.75	45.88	28.54	61.67	43.25	46.38	30.91	65.72
rnos.1 kg/red.+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	44.58	45.13	27.50	60.15	43.92	46.00	26.75	57.05
rnos.ikg/jed.+60kgP2O5/fed.	44.83	45.25	27.00	59.24	44.08	45.50	26.91	58.63
L.3.D at 0.03	0.97	1.73	0.253	5.72	1.17	1.83	2.64	6.86

Concerning the effect of phosphorus and phosphorine fertilizers the same data at Table (6) indicate that application of phosphatic fertilizer at its different used levels i.e. at 0.5 and 1 kg / fed. in case of phosphorine, 30 and 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> / fed. in case of phosphorus either in a single form or in combination steadily decreased the number of days form sowing up to the flowering of 50% of the plant. On the other hand, it increased number of flower and pods per plant as well as fruits setting percentage during both seasons of growth compared with the control treatment. In this connection, the least number of days, the highest number of flowers, pods and setting percentage were resulted due to the application of phosphatic fertilizers in commination form compared with using it in solely form. Moreover, phosphorine at 0.5 kg combined with 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> reflected the highest values in number of flowers, pods as well as setting percentage and the lowest values for number of days from seeds sowing up to the flowering of 50% of plants The same trend was obtained during both seasons of study such results may be due to the main role of phosphorus in flowering and fruit setting of plant. Such results are in agreement with those reported by Sa-Me et al. (1982), Alvino et al. (1988), Pedroza (1994) and El-Shamma et al. (2000). In addition, El-Shamma et al. (2000) indicated that calcium superphosphate stimulated the production of fruit via its effect on increasing total number of flowers produced per plant and /or the increase in percentage of fruit setting.

Table (7): Flowering date and impregnate ratio as affected by cultivars, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilization level during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

Treatments	Season		20	2000			20	001	
Cultivars	Fertilization level	No. of	No. of flowering	No. of pods	Setting	No. of	No. of flowering	No. of	Setting
	Control	45.00	35 KO	/PIAUL		;	/ plant	/plant	Les comes
_	Phos 0 Stalfed	40.00	00.00	14./5	41.55	45.50	38.25	13.50	35.29
-	r Hos. C. Ong. Icu.	44.00	36.25	15.00	41.36	44.50	40.25	14.75	36.67
	Phos.1kg/ fed.	43.50	37.25	16.00	43.09	43.50	40.25	15.25	37.88
Cian K	30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	43.00	37.50	17.25	46.01	43.50	40.75	15.75	38.76
0124	bukgr <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / jed.	42.60	40.00	17.50	44.00	42.30	40.75	16.50	40.41
	rnos.u.5kg+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	42.00	40.00	20.25	50.81	42.00	40.50	19.25	47.57
	Phos.0.5kg+60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	40.50	41.50	22.75	54.90	41.00	42.27	22.33	52.91
	Phos. 11: $\frac{1}{2}$ for $\frac{1}{2}$	41.50	40.25	22.00	54.63	41.30	41.25	19.50	47.31
_	THOSTING/TEG.T OUNGET2O5/TEG.	41.60	41.25	22.00	53.48	41.50	41.00	20.50	50.03
	Control	53.50	42,30	25.25	59.60	54.50	42.50	27.25	64.13
	Phos.0.5kg/ ted.	53.00	44.00	28.75	65.73	52.30	43.00	28.00	65.27
	300, aP.O. / fed	51.00	46.00	29.00	63.12	51.30	43.75	28.00	64.05
D	60kgP.O./fed	49.50	47.25	29.00	61.45	50.60	45.75	28.75	62.84
DFOICO	Phos 0 Slock 201. and 0 / f-3	49.00	47.75,	29.50	61.97	49.30	47.50	29.00	61.30
	Phos 0 StrateOliza O / f-1	48.50	47.50	30.25	63.72	48.00	48.25	30.00	62.26
_	Phos 11/2/ford +201-20 0 / f 3	47.00	50.25	34.33	68.44	45.50	50.50	39.50	78.53
	Phos 12a/fed + 601ap 0 / fed	47.60	50.00	33.00	65.66	46.50	50.75	34.00	66.78
	1 S D at 0.05	48.00	49.25	32.00	65.00	46.60	50.00	33.33	67.23
		1.38	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	1.66	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

As for the effect of the interaction, data presented in Table (7) show clearly that regardless number of days elapsed from seed sowing up to the flowering of 50 % of plants which were significantly decreased as results of the interaction, no significant differences were matched in the other studied flowering parameters. i.e. number of flowers and pods as well as setting percentage due to the interaction effect between the studied cultivars and phosphatic fertilizer during both seasons of growth.

#### 4-4-Green pods yield and its components :-

Data presented in Table (8) show the effect of s, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilizers as well as their combination on total green pods yield and its components expressed as average pod length, diameter and weight as well as pods yield / plant and feddan..

Concerning the effect of cultivar, such data show clearly that, there was a difference in all studied yield parameter between Giza 6 and Bronco cvs. during both seasons of growth. In this regard such differences failed to reach the level of significancy in case of the average pod length during both seasons of study and yield of green pods per plant while cv. Bronco was superior in case of green pods yield either for plant or feddan during both seasons of growth The superiority of cv. Bronco in and feddan in case of the second season. Moreover, cv. Giza 6 showed higher values for average green pod parameters (diameter and weight) both green pods yield per

Table (8): Total green pods yield and its components as affected by cultivars, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilization level during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

	L.S.D at 0.05	Phos 1kg/fed + 60kgP.O./fed	Phos. 1kg/fed + 30kgP.O./fed	Phos.0.5ko + 60kaP.O./fed	Phos 0 5kg + 30kgP-0-/ fod	filler D. / fed	30kgP_O_/fed	Phos. 1kg/fed	Phos.0.5kg/fed	Control	I S Dat 0 05	Bronco	CHEAO	Circ 6	Cultivars Fertilization level			Treatments
0.47	+-			12.33	11.90	11.68	11.32	11.02	10.09	ins.	100	11 86	11.73	(cm)	Length			Season
0.04	1.09	1.09	1.11	1.07	1.07	1.03	1.02	. 00	0.94	0.04	0.00	0 8 9	1.20	(cm)	h Diameter	Average green pod		
n.s.	10.06	9.93	10.21	9.77	9.60	9.18	9.05	9.01	8.19	0.29	0.02	0	10.80	(g)	r   Weight	n pod		2000
27.36	241.80	237.38	260.26	227.38	210.87	204.80	202.37	180.47	160.18	10.71	\$0.807	3 6	189.00	prant (g)	Pods yield			
796	7282	7252	7703	6822	6326	6144	6017	5414	4802	373	/255	1 0	5593	(kg)	yield /	Pods		
0.78	13.08	13.18	13.74	12.75	12.32	12.08	11.85	11.64	10.64	n.s.	12.34		65.61	(cm)	Length	Av		
0.05	1.10	1.09	1.13	1.10	1.10	1.06	1.06	1.03	0.99	0.05	0.90	1.23	1 25	(cm)	Diameter	Average green pod		
1.12	9.94	9.54	10.56	9.25	8.91	8.76	8.60	7.98	7.22	0.67	7.17	10.//	10 77	@ g	Weight	pod	1007	2001
27.82	221.49	217.91	237.16	212.27	196.51	193.37	186.45	174.45	165.50	n.s.	202.76	198.38	100 70	/plant	yield	Pods		
807	6550	6509	7112	6368	5895	5846	5593	5233	4709	n.s.	6037	5922	2022	(kg)	rous yield			

plant and feddan was connected with, the highest number of green pods produced per plant Table (6) and higher, chemical content of assayed macro-elements Table (4) which play the mean role in plant growth and its productivity. Obtained results are a griment with those reported by Abd El-Fattah et al. (1974), Nassar (1986), El-Sayed (1990 a), Roy and Parthasarathy (1999) and Amer et al. (2002) all working on bean.

Regarding the effect of phosphatic fertilizers on total green pods yield and its components, the same data in Table (8) reveal that application of phosphatic fertilizers i.e phosphorus at 30 and 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  /fed. and phosphorine at 0.5 and 1 kg /fed, either in a single form or in combination

significantly increased total green pods yield and its components expressed as average pod length, diameter and weight as well as green pods yield per plant and feddan compared with the control treatment during both seasons of growth. Obtained results show that common bean plants positively responded application to the application. of phosphatic fertilizer in its two forms .i.e. mineral and bio form. In addition, application of phosphorine combined with phosphorus fertilizer at its different used levels increased the total produced green pods yield and its components compared with using phosphorine or phosphorus solely and the control. In this respect using phosphorine at 0.5 kg combined with 60 kg  $P_2O_5$ /fed. led to the highest increments in all studied yield parameters followed by application of phosphorine at 1 kg plus 30 kg  $P_2O_5$ /fed. This result was confirmed during both seasons of study such

increment effect of phosphorine and/or phosphorus application on yield and its components was due to the enhancing effect on vegetative growth Table (2) and increasing the macro-nutrient (N P K) content of plant foliage Table (3) which judging the productivity of plants. Also such increasing effect of phosphatic fertilizers addition may be attributed to the main role of phosphorus on flowering and fruit yield of plant. Similar results were reported by Awad et al. (1982), Browing et al. (1983), El-Abou El-Hassan et al. (1993), Roy and Gizy (1990), Parthasarathy (1999), El-Shamma et al. (2000) and Singer et al. (2000) all working on common bean, Chamberland (1982) and Midan et al. (1982) on pea and El-Nekhlawy et al. (1988), Shafik et al. (1988), Shahein (1991) and Shahein et al. (1995) on faba bean They reported that P is of a great importance on productivity of yield. In this regard Abou El-Hassan et al. (1993) reported that the green yield for Morgan, cvs. Giza 3 and Giza 6 was increased with increasing phosphorus application from 0 up to 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  / feddan.

As for the effect of combination between used cultivars and phosphatic fertilizer, data illustrated at Table (9) show clearly, that, there were no significant differences in total green pods yield and its parameters of both cvs. Giza 6 and Bronco due to the application of phosphatic fertilizers at different used levels either in a single form or in combination during the two seasons of growth. However the highest produced green pods yield and its parameters for both varieties were obtained as a result of using phosphorine at 0.5 kg combined with 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>/

Table (9): Total green pods yield and its components as affected by cultivars, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilization level during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

	Season			0000					2001		
1 realments		Δv	Average green and	nod		Pods	Αv	Average green pod	bod	Pods	
			0. 0.	1	Pods vield	vield /	•	. o	***		roas ytera
Cultivars	Fertilization level	Length (cm)	Diameter (cm)	Weight (g)	/plant (g)	fed.	Length (cm)	Diameter (em)	Weight (g)	/plant	/ tcd. (kg)
	Control	10.28	1.09	9.15	135.34	3664	11.10	1.15	8.78	165.49	470
	Phos.0.5kg/fed.	11.08	1.14	10.49	157.25	4718	11.92	1.18	9.21	168.20	50
	Phos.1kg/fed.	11.11	1.17	10.32	178.88	5366	11.97	1.22	10.53	186.80	56
	30kgP <sub>7</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	11.57	1.19	10.47	180.40	5412	12.21	1.23	10.87	192.04	57
	60kgP2Os / fed.	11.68	1.23	11.19	188.81	5664	12.35	1.28	10.94	194.09	58
Giza 6	Phos. $0.5$ kg + $30$ kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	12.36	1.24	10.82	198.85	5966	12.90	1.28	11.22	210.21	63
	Phos. $0.5$ kg $+ 60$ kg $P_2$ O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	12.80	1.28	10.65	232.20	6757	13.45	1.32	12.04	237.00	71
	Phos.1kg/fed. $+30$ kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	12.17	1.26	11.69	212.98	6389	12.72	1.29	11.50	215.38	6461
	Phos.1kg/fed. + 60kgP2O5/fed.	12.54	1.27	11.46	218.29	6402	12.92	1.31	11.84	216.21	64
	•		9		105.00	5040 -	1010	0 8 4	2 66 2	165 51	47
	Control	9.90	0.80	2.24	20.061	0466	10.17			100.01	
	Phos.0.5kg/fed.	10.97	. 0.87	7.53	203.71	6111	11.36	0.90	6.75	180.68	54
	Phos.1kg/fed.	11.55	0.87	7.78	225.88	6776	11.74	0.91	6.67	186.09	5583
	30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	11.79	0.88	7.89	229.21	6876	11.95	0.90	6.66	194.69	593
Bronco	60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	12.13	0.91	8.02	232.93	6988.	12.29	0.93	6.89	198.97	59
	Phos. $0.5$ kg + $30$ kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	12.31	0.91	8.11	255.95	7678	12.60	0.93	7.28	214.33	2
	Phos. $0.5 \text{kg} + 60 \text{kgP}_2 \text{O}_5 / \text{fed}$ .	12.74	0.93	8 77	288.31	8649	14.04	0.95	9.03	237.33	71
	Phos.1kg/fed. + $30$ kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	12.70	0.91	8.16	261.82	8115	13.64	0.90	7.58	220.44	65
	Phos.1kg/fed. +60kgP2O5/fed.	12.69	0.91	8.67	265.30	8163	13.24	0.90	8.04	226.78	6615
	L.S.D at 0.05	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

fed. Such trend was true during both seasons of study. In this concern, Abou El-Hassan et al. (1993) found that the highest green yield was obtained in case of cv. Giza 3 and application of 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> / feddan. In addition Roy and Parthasarathy (1999) found that the heights yield (7.96 t/ha.) was obtained with 120 kg P in case of cv. Tender crop it could be concluded that phosphorine at 0.5 kg combined with 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> / fed. was recommended for higher green pods yield with best quality under such condition of study.

## 4-5-Dry seed yield and its components-

Data presented in Table (10) show the effect of cultivars and phosphorus as well as phosphorine on dry seed yield and its components expressed as number of seeds per pod, seed yield per plant and total yield per fed.

Concerning the effect of varieties such data show clearly that, irrespective of the average number of seeds per pod which was significantly affected, both the produced yield per plant or feedan did not show any significant differences between varieties under study (Giza 6 and Bronco) during the two seasons of growth. In this concept. cv. Bronco shows the highest number of seeds per pods compared with cv. Giza 6 during both seasons of study. Such character was genetic one and was not affected by agricultural treatments. Even though the number of seeds per pod and the number of pod per plant (Table 10 and 6) of cv. Giza 6 was less than that of cv. Bronco, the total produced dry seed yield either or plant or feddan was almost the same this is due to

Table (10): Total dry seed yield as affected by cultivars, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilization level during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

Treatments	Season		2000			2001	
Cultivars	Fertilization level	No. of seeds/	Seed yield / plant (g)	Seed yield / fed. (kg)	No. of seeds/ pod	Seed yield / plant (g)	Seed yield / fed. (kg)
Giza 6		3.97	22.98	920.44	4.34	22 73	033.61
Bronco		4.60	21.89	839.74	629	22.65	920.34
	I S D at 0.05						20.0
	Control of the control	0.03	11.5.	n.s.	0.26	n.s.	n.s.
	Control	3.30	16.14	625.99	4.05	16,44	667.25
	Phos.0.5kg/ fed.	3.8!	19.12	760.83	4.87	19.63	782.84
	Phos.1kg/ fed.	3.95	19.53	777.20	5.11	20.36	849.12
	30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	4.13	23.23	866.14	5.18	22.60	928.25
	60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	4.17	24.10	935.01	5.25	23.73	951.72
	Phos.0.5kg+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	4.25	24.42	947.75	5.37	24.15	1007.30
	Phos.0.5kg+60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	5.03	25.63	1016.84	6.03	26.32	1031.62
	Phos.1kg/fed.+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	4.96	24.68	993.07	5.86	25.35	1058.76
-	Phos.1kg/fed.+60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /fed.	4.97	25.07	998.00	6.15	25.65	1055.89
	L.S.D at 0.05	0.39	2.64	126.65	0.48	2.86	87.46

the large seed index of cultivar Giza 6 comparing to cultivar Bronco during the seasons of study Similar results were reported by. Vidal et al. (1982), Ahlawat and Sharam(1989), Abou El-Hassan et al. (1993) and Ahlawat (1996) all working on beans.

As for the effect of fertilization, the same data at Table (10) indicate that the total produced seed yield and its components i.e. number of seeds per pod, seed yield per plant or feddan was significantly increased due to

phosphatic fertilizer application at different used levels either in the form of phosphorus or phosphorine compared with the control treatment. Obtained results were true during both seasons of study. In addition, using phosphorine and phosphorus in combination increased total produced seed yield and its components compared with using each of them in single form. In this regard. phosphorine at 0.5 kg / fed. combined with 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  /fed. and 1 kg / fed. combined with 30 or 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  /fed. reflected the highest total produced seed yield and its components during both seasons of study. However, no significant differences could be noticed between such treatments. Obtained results were connected with the effect of such treatments on the vegetative growth of plant Table (2) and flowering ability (Table 6) which reflected on the productivity of plant. In this respect, it could be concluded that, phosphorine at 1 kg plus the addition of 30-kg  $P_2O_5$  / fed. could be recommended for higher seed yield production under such condition. Obtained results are confirmed with those reported by Mahatanya (1980), Semu et al. (1982), Vidal et al. (1982), Frizzon et al.

Table (11): Total dry seed yield as affected by cultivars, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilization level during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

Treatments	Season		2000			2001	
Cultivars	Fertilization level	No. of seeds/	Seed yield / plant (g)	Seed yield / fed. (kg)	No. of seeds/ pod	Seed yield / plant (g)	Seed yield / fed. (kg)
	Control	2.83	16.13	668.49	3.23	16.08	700.89
	Phos.0.5kg/ fed.	3.50	20.14	824.28	3.90	19.92	806.26
	Phos.1kg/ fed.	3.53	20.82	857.30	4.13	20.56	840.71
	30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	3.88	23.30	862.42	4.20	22.57	944.34
Giza 6	60kgP2Os / fed.	4.05	24.62	980.92	4.30	24.05	977.00
	Phos.0.5kg+30kgP2O5/ fed.	4.10	25.00 ~	1013.22	4.38	24.78	981.98
	Phos.0.5kg+60kgP2O5/ fed.	4.68	26.36	1019.86	5.10	25.89	1019.31
	Phos.1kg/fed. $+30$ kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	4.63	24.93	1025.42	4.90	25.16	1068.08
	Phos.1kg/ fed.+ 60kgP2O5/ fed.	4.59	25.58	1032.08	5.00	25.59	1064.60
	Control	3.78	16.15	583.49	4.88	16.81	633.63
	Phos.0.5kg/ fed.	4.14	18.12	697.39	5.85	19.33	759.43
	Phos.1kg/ fed.	4.38	18.24	797.11	6.10	20.16	857.53
	30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	4.40	23.17	869.88	6.18	22.65	912.16
Bronco	60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	4.30	23.59	889.11	6.20	23.43	926.45
,	Phos.0.5kg+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	4.40	22.84	882.28	6.38	23.54	1033.13
	Phos.0.5kg+60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	5.40	24.92	1013.78	6.96	26.76	1043.93
	Phos.1kg/fed.+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	5.31	24.43	960.73	6.85	25.54	1049.45
	Phos.1kg/fed.+60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /fed.	5.36	24.55	963.92	7.30	25.71	1067.38
	L.S.D at 0.05	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.	n.s.

(1982), Thung et al. 1982, Gallo (1984) Les and Stam (1984), Selim et al. ((1986), Prabhakar et al. (1986), Alt (1987) Ahlawat and Sharmma (1989), El-Sayed (1990 a), Otabbong et al. (1991), Abo-El-Hassan et al. (1993), Simthon et al. (1993), Ahlawat (1996), Abd El-Hafez (1994) El-Shamma (1998) El-Shamma et al. (2000) and Ismael (2001) all working on common bea and Kamel (1994) on cowpea.

Regarding the effect of the interaction between the used cultivars and phosphatic fertilizers application Table (11) showed that, no significant differences were obtained, in total dry seed yield and its components due to the interaction during both seasons of growth. However the highest values of produced yield in both studied cultivars was obtained due to the application of 1 kg phosphorine combined with 30 or 60 kg  $P_2O_5$ / feddan.

# 4- 6- Chemical constituents of seeds

## A- Minerals constituents : -

Data at table (12) show the effect of cultivars, phosphorus and phosphorine on total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content of produced dry seeds.

As for the effect of cultivars such data indicate that estimated -macro-elements i.e-total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were significantly differ among the studied cultivars i.e. cvs. Giza 6 and Bronco during both growing seasons. In this respect the highest values in all determined macro-elements were obtained in case of cv. Bronco the same trend was true in the two seasons of study. The higher mineral content in case of cv.

Table (12): Chemical constituents of dry seeds as affected by variety, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilization level during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

	P		ם.	P	- 61	<u>_</u>	٩.				Bronco	Giza 6	Cultivar	Treatments	
L.S.D at 0.05	Phos.1kg/fed.+60kgP2O5/fed.	Phos.1kg/fed.+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	Phos.0.5kg+60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	Phos.0.5kg+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	Phos.1kg/fed.	Phos.0.5kg/ fed.	Control	L.S.D. at 0.05			Fertilization level		Season
110	2990	2950	2940	2740	2640	2160	2360	2150	1880	240	2600	2570	Mg/	Z	
20	580	590	600	550	530	520	480	440	430	20	550	490	Mg / 100 g. D.W.	P	
40	1730	1690	1640	1570	1490	1450	1400	1230	1150	40	1490	1470	).W.	7	
0.09	3.61	3.51	3.43	3.27	3.13	2.89	2.74	2.58	2.43	0.02	2.95	2.18	Soluble (%)		2000
0.25	11.05	10.90	10.73	10.52	10.37	10.23	9.95	9.35	8.54	0.14	10.31	10.06	Non Soluble (%)	Sugars	
0.23	14.66	14.41	14.16	13.79	13.50	13.12	12.69	11.93	10.97	n.s	13.26	13.23	Total (%)		
90	3030	3010	2980	2820	2750	2660	2480	2170 450	1880	70	2620	2660	Mg	Z	
30	570	560	610	570	540	520	480	450	430	30	550	500	Mg / 100 g, D.	P	
40	1700	1680	1660	1620	1520	1510	1410	1240	1180	10	1520	1490	D.W.	7	
0.11	3.73	3.55	3.44	3.13	3.08	2.95	2.77	2.65	2.53	0.05	2.99	3.23	Soluble (%)		2001
0.30	11.25	11.05	10.82	10.66	10.59	10.34	10.02	9.52	8.67	0.13	10.58	10.08	Non Soluble (%)	Sugars	
0.25	14.98	14.60	14.26	13.79	13.67	13.29	12.79	12.17	11.20	0.13	13.57	13.31	Total (%)		

Bronco was connected with the higher vegetative growth compared with cv. Giza 6 (Table 2 &3). However, *Mohamed et al.* (1999) working on three cvs. cowpea, showed that no significant differences were observed among the studied cultivars in NPK content of produced seeds.

With regard to the effect of phosphorus and phosphorine fertilizer, the same data at Table (12) show clearly that application of phosphorus or phosphorine at different used levels either in a single form or in combination significantly increased the total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content of the produced seeds compared with the check treatment. In this regard application of phosphatic fertilizers in combination positively affected the content of seeds from determined macroelements compared with using such fertilizer in a single form. In this respect, the highest N and K content was obtained as a result of the highest used level of phosphorine and phosphorus fertilizer .i.e. 1 kg phosphorine + 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> / fed. but the highest content of P was recorded as a result of application of 0.5 kg phosphorine plus 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> /fed. Such results are true during the two seasons of trail. Obtained results may due to the synergistic effect of phosphorus in increasing the absorption of nitrogen and potassium element by plant. Such results are agree with those found by Aulaks and Pasricha (1977), Peck et al. (1980), Lauer (1982), Eid (1991), Shafshak (1991) Abd El-Hafez (1994), El-Shamma(2000) and Ismael (2001) all working on bean mentioned that increasing phosphorus fertilizer level increased N, P and K content for the produced seeds Moreover,

Shamma (2000) on bean indicated that addition of phosphorus or phosphorine; in addition; Rhizobium increased N and P content of produced seeds. On the other hand, Midan et al. (1980), Vieria (1986) reported contra results

Concerning the effect of the interaction data recorded at Table (13) indicate that total nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium content of the produced seeds was steadily increased as a result of phosphatic fertilizers

uring the two seasons of growth. Such increments failed to reach the level of significancy during the first season only in case of total nitrogen and potassium. In addition the highest values of such macro-element were obtained due to the application of phosphorine at 0.5 kg plus 60 kg  $P_2O_5$ /fed. in case of phosphorus and 1 kg phosphorine plus 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  / fed. in case of total nitrogen as well as potassium.

## B- sugars constituents:-

Data presented in Table (12) indicate the content of reducing, non-reducing and total sugars as affected by cultivars, phosphorine and phosphorus fertilizer.

Concerning the effect of cultivars, it is clear from data in Table (12) that there were a significant differences in assayed sugars reducing, non reducing and total sugars content between the cultivated cvs. In this regard, seeds of cv Bronco contained more total and non-reducing sugars compared with cv Giza 6 during both seasons of growth. On the other hand seeds of cv Giza 6 exceeded that of Bronco in reducing sugars, These results

were true during the two season of growth. Such results are in agreement with those reported by *Abdalla et al.* (1976) and *El-Sayed* (1990 b) who found that sugars content differ among the studied cvs.

With regard to the effect of phosphatic fertilizers, the same data at Table (12) show that application of phosphatic fertilizers, phosphorine and phosphorus at different used levels either in a single form or in combination steadily and significantly increased all determined sugars fraction's in produced seeds compared with the control treatments during both seasons of study. In this respect, the highest sugars content was obtained in case of the application of the highest fertilizer level. i.e. 1 kg phosphorine plus 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> / fed. This trend was true during the two seasons of trials. Such results may be due to the main role of phosphorus in carbohydrates deposition and plant metabolism.

Concerning the interaction effect between cultivated cvs. and phosphatic fertilizer data in Table (13) reveal that reducing , non-reducing and total sugars content of the produced dry seeds were positively affected due to the interaction between cultivars and phosphatic fertilizers. In this regard, the highest sugars content was reported due to the highest fertilizer level; 1 kg of phosphorine plus  $60 \ \text{kg} \ P_2 O_5$  / fed. in case of the seeds of both studied cvs. during the two seasons of study .

Table (13): Chemical constituents of dry seeds as affected by variety, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilization level during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

							Rronco								Giza 6				Cultivar	Treatments	
L.S.D at 0.05	Phos.1kg/fed.+60kgP2O5/fed.	Phos.1kg/fed.+30kgP2O5/ fed.	Phos.0.5kg+60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	Phos.0.5kg+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /fed.	30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /fed.	Phos.1kg/fed.	Phos.0.5kg/ fed.	Control	Phos.1kg/ fed.+ 60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	Phos.1kg/fed.+30kg $P_2O_5$ / fed.	Phos.0.5kg+ $60$ kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	Phos.0.5kg+30kgP2O5/fed.	$60 \text{kgP}_2\text{O}_5$ / fed.	$30 \text{kgP}_2 \text{O}_5 / \text{fed.}$	Phos.1kg/ fed.	Phos.0.5kg/ fed.	Control	Fertilization level	\$	Season
n.5	3100	3130	3100	2610	2750	2540	2370	2110	1890	2880	2770	2800	2870	2720	2700	2350	2200	1870	Mg/	Z	
40	640	640	660	610	570	560	480	460	450	530	540	560	520	500	490	490	430	410	Mg / 100 g. D.W.	P	
n.s	1750	1680	1630	1570	1530	1480	1440 ·	1260	1150	1710	1700	1660	1750	1640	1440	1380	1200	1180	).W.	K	
0.12	3.48	3,43	3.32	3.08	2.93	2.77	2.66	2.57	2.35	3.47	3.61	3.54	3.46	3.32	3.02	2.81	2.61	2.51	Soluble (%)		2000
0.34	11.08	10.89	10.75	10.60	10.48	10.29	10.13	9.78	8.79	11.01	10.92	10.74	10.44	10.26	10.16	9.76	8.92	8.30	Non Soluble (%)	Sugars	
0.32	14.56	14.32	14.07	13.68	13.41	13.06	12.79	12.35	11.14	14.48	14.53	14.28	13.90	13.58	13.18	12.57	11.53	10.81	Total (%)		
130	3070	3130	3030	2700	2620	2570	2510	2140	1190	3000	2900	2940	2950	2900	2770	2460	2200	1860	Mg/	N	
40	630	630	660	000	580	540	490	470	450	520	510	570	550	520	520	500	440	420	Mg / 100 g. D.	P	
50	1700	1660	1660	1610	1560	1560	1500	1280	1160	1720	1710	1670	1630	1480	1470	1330	1210	1200		*	
0.16	3.67	3.36	3.24	3.11	2.90	2.90	2.68	2.64	2.44	3./9	3.74	3.65	3.52	2.26	3.00	2.86	2.68	2.62	Soluble (%)		2001
92	11.32	11.05	10.90	10.85	10.83	10.60	10.54	10.05	9.05	11.18	11.10	10.74	10.47	10.36	10.08	9.51	9.01	8.39	Soluble (%)	Sugars	
0.35	14.99	14.41	14.14	13.96	13.73	13.50	13.22	12.69	11.49	14.97	14.84	14.39	13.99	13.62	13.08	12.37	11.69	10.92	Total (%)		

## 4-7-Seed quality-:

Data presented in Table (14) show the effect of cultivar, phosphorine and phosphorus fertilizers on seeds quality expressed as weight of 100 seeds, seed germination percentage and germination rate.

Regarding the effect of cultivars, presented data at Table (14) show clearly that, there were a differences in weight of 100 seeds, germination

percentage and germination rate of produced seeds among the studied germplasm. Such results reached the level of significancy in case of seeds index and germination percentage during the first season and seed indexand germination rate during the second season of study.

In this concern, cv. Giza 6 Possess the highest values in all the studied seeds quality during both seasons of study. Such results may attributed to the difference in cultivars potentiality represented in seeds size and the storage attributes in side the seeds which represent the substrate for germination embryos. Obtained results are in agreement with those reported by *Vidal et al.* (1982), El-Sayed (1990b) Abou El-Hassan et al. (1993) and Mohamed (1997) all working on common bean, they reported that there were a differences between studied cultivars for 100 seeds weight.

Concerning the effect of phosphatic fertilizers the same data presented in Table (14) show clearly that application of phosphatic fertilizers either in a single form or in combinations

Table (14): Seed quality as affected by variety, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilization level during 2000 and 2001 seasons.

3	Season		2000			2001	
1 reatments		Germi	Germination	100 seeds	Germination	ıtion	100 seeds
Cultivar	Fertilization level	%	Rate (day)	weight (g)	%	Rate (day)	weight (g)
Giza 6		90.37	2.16	43.59	90.50	2.17	43.27
Bronco		89.16	2.15	20.59	89.05	2.11	20.08
	L.S.D. at 0.05	1.04	n.s	0.81	n.s	0.05	0.94
C	Control	83.08	2.29	28.15	85.25	2.26	27.90
P	Phos.0.5kg/ fed.	85.33	2.26	30.35	87.00	2.22	29.64
Ą	Phos.1kg/ fed.	87.25	2.21	31.20	87.75	2.19	30.29
31	30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /fed.	89.75	2.17	31.83	88.75	2.18	31.01
61	60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> /fed.	90.83	2.13	32.35	90.30	2.12	31.75
· P	Phos.0.5kg+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>s</sub> / fed.	91.25	2.11	33.12	91.30	2.09	33.04
ط :	Phos.0.5kg+60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	94.(ii)	2.05	33.80	92.60	2.04	33.95
ק	Phos.1kg/fed.+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	93,00	2.07	33.98	92.41	2.06	33.74
P	Phos.1kg/fed.+ 60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	93.41	2.08	33.99	92.16	2.08	33.75
	L.S.D at 0.05	1.55	0.04	1.44	2.20	0.05	1.35

at different used level significantly affected seeds quality expressed as weight of 100 seeds, germination percentage and germination rate compared to the chick treatment. In this regard, phosphatic fertilizers i.e. phosphorine and application of phosphorus in combination positively affected seeds quality compared with using such fertilizers in a single form. In addition, no significant differences were noticed between such treatment in combination form during both seasons of study. Moreover, application of phosphorine at 0.5 kg combined with phosphorus at rate of 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> / fed. reflected the highest values of germination rate and percentage during both seasons of study. Obtained results were similar to those indicated by SuryanaRayana and Kumar (1981), Vidal et al. (1982), El-Gizy (1990), Abaza ( 1991) Abd el-Hafez (1994), El-Shamma (2000) and Ismael (2001). They indicated that the 100 seeds weight was increased by increasing P-application level. On the other hand, Midan et al .(1980), Vieira (1989) on bean, and Kothari and Saraf (1986) on cowpea found that phosphorus application had no significant effect on seed index. Moreover, Michail et al. (1996) and Kerolus et al. (1998) working on cowpea phosphorine treatments had any significant effect on the weight of 100 seeds.

With regard to the effect of the interaction between the studied cultivars and phosphatic fertilizers data in Table (15) revealed that with the exception of weight of 100 seeds which was significantly affected no significant differences could be detected in both germination percentage and rate during the two

growing season due to the interaction. However, the highest seed index was obtained due to the application of the highest used level of phosphorine and phosphorus i.e. 1 kg phosphorine -plus 60 kg  $P_2O_5$  / fed respectively. during the first seasons and 0.5 kg phosphorine plus 60  $P_2O_5$ / fed. during the second season. Obtained results are in conformity with those reported by **Abou El-Hassan** et al. (1993) on common bean concerning the effect of phosphorus fertilizer.

Table (15): Seed quality as affected by variety, phosphorus and phosphorine fertilization level during 2000 and 2001

Phos.1kg/fed.+30kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed. Phos.1kg/ fed.+60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	Phos.1kg/fed.+30kgP <sub>2</sub> C		Thos.U.yxa+ouxat,C./ fed	The 0 St 1/01 To 10 1		Bronco 60kgP <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> / fed	30kgP.O./fed	Phos The feet	Phos 0 ske/ fed	 )	· ···os.ing/ icu. · ookgi 204/ ieu	Phos 1kg/fed + 60kgr	Phos. 1kg/fed +30kgP.O./fed	Phos.0.5kg+60kgP.O./fed		Giza 6 60koP,O. / fed	30kgP;O; / fed	Phos 1kg/fed	Phos 0 Skg/ fed	Control	Cultivar Fertilization level		Treatments	
	O <sub>5</sub> / fed.	) <sub>5</sub> / fed.	fed.	red.							20¢/ 1eu.	O / for	) -/ fed	fed.	2						vel	$\left\  \cdot \right\ $	Season	C
	91.5	92.3	95.3	91.0	90.5	6.70	00/.0	01.0	81.5 81.5		6.06	95.0	94.0	91.5	91.5	0.08	90.5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.00	0.0	%	Germi		
Ti o	2.09	2.07	2.06	2.10	1.15	2.17	: <u> </u>	1.24	2.28		2.08	2.07	2.05	) [ ]	2.14	2.17	2.22	2.28	2.29	(day)	Rate	Germination	2000	
	22.04	22.08	22.22	21.09	21.05	20.87	19.98	18.30	17.69		45.96	45.89	45.39	45.17	43.67	42.80	42.44	42.41	38.62	(g)	weight	100 seeds		
	91.3	91,3	91.6	90.6	90.3	88.0	87.0	0.68	84.5		93.0	93.5	93.6	92.0	90.3	89.5	88.5	88.0	86.0	à	%	Germination		
	2.05	2.04	2.03	2.06	2.08	2.14	2.16	2.20	2.26		2.11	2.09	2.04	2.13	2.16	2.22	2.23	2,25	2.27	(day)	Rate	ation	2001	
	21.45	21.36	21.70	20.99	20.27	19.99	19.10	18.25	17.66		46.05	46.12	46.21	45.11	43.24	42.04	41.50	41.03	38.15	(g)	weight	100 seeds		