CONTENTS

1.	TNTPODICMION	Page
_ •		1
2.	REVIEW OF LITERATURE	٦.
3.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	3
		47
4.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	60
	4.1 Morphological characteristics of	
	area	
	4.1.1 Coastal barrier plains and beaches	60 60
	4.1.2 Fluvio-marine deposits 4.1.3 Recent Nile alluvial	72
		87
		96
		96
	- CATOLUM CALDONALA	103
		103
		104
	4.2.6 Total soil porosity	104
	4.2.7 Soil hydraulic conductivity 4.2.8 Soil moisture characteristics	111
	4.2.8 Soil moisture characteristics 4.3 Soil chemical properties	112
	4.3 Soil chemical properties	112
	4.3.1 Distribution of soluble salts 4.3.2 Cation exchange garagitation	114
		114
		101
		121 126
	4.4.1 Nitrogen	126
		126
	4.4.1.2 Available nitrogen	131
		132
	4.4.2.2 Available	132
	4.4.2.2 Available phosphorus 4.4.3 Potassium 4.4.3.1 Total potassium	133
		134
		134
		134
		135
		135
		145
		147
	4.5.6 Available zing	151 154
		154 158
		158 159
		163
	4.5.9 Total boron	165
	4.5.10 Available boron	160

	Page
4.6 Land evaluation	171
4.6.1 Qualitative rating of land	171
capability classification 4.6.1.2 The FAO land suitability	171
classification	178
4.6.2 Quantitative rating of land	178
1963)	182 184
5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION	192
6. REFERENCES	200
APARTO CIMMADY	

LIST OF TABLES

			Page
Table	(1)	Some climatological data of Damietta, Baltim, Rosetta and Sakha meteorological stations (1978-1987)	5
Table	(2)	The calculated aridity degrees	8
Table	(3)	Structure of land suitability classification	32
Table	(4)	Limitation levels and their rating	38
Table	(5)	Examples of limitations (type and degree) in land capability classification of the USDA	55
Table	(6)	Summary for the structure of land suita-	
		bility classification (FAO, 1976)	57
Table	(7)	Criteria used for assigning values to factors A, B, C, X, and Y in determining land productivity indices	59
Table	(8)	Particle size distribution, texture class, CaCO ₃ , O.M, and gypsum contents of the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	97
Table	(9)	Minimum, maximum and average values of particle size distribution, CaCO ₃ , organic matter and gypsum of the studied geomorphic uints	101
Table	(10)	Some soil physical properties of the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	106
Table	(11)	Minimum, maximum and average values of bulk density, total porosity, hydraulic conductivity, soil moisture contents at field capacity and wilting point, and available water % in the three geomorphic units	109
Table	(12)	Correlation coefficients between some soil physical properties and some soil constituents of the studied soil profiles	110
Table	(13)	Chemical analysis of the soil saturation extract of the profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	115

		Page
Table (14)	Cation exchange capacity (CEC) and exchangeable cations of the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	122
Table (15)	Total and available nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium contents in the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units.	127
Table (16)	Total and available iron, manganese, zinc, copper and boron in the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units.	136
Table (17)	Weighted mean, trend and specific range of iron in the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	141
Table (18)	Correlation coefficients between some soil constituents and total and available micronutrients in the studied geomorphic units .	143
Table (19)	Weighted mean, trend and specific range of manganese in the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	148
Table (20)	Weighted mean, trend and specific range of zinc in the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	156
Table (21)	Weighted mean, trend and specific range of copper in the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	161
Table (22)	Weighted mean, trend and specific range of boron in the soil profiles representing the studied geomorphic units	166
Table (23)	Land evaluation of the studied area following qualitative systems	173
Table (24)		
Table (25)		181

			Page
Table	(26)	Intensity of limitations and suitability classes of the studied soils (calculated according to Sys & Verheye, 1978)	187
Table	(27)	Land evaluation of the studied area following qualitative and quantitative systems	191

LIST OF FIGURES

			Page
Fig.	(1)	The average percentages distribution of coarse sand, fine sand, silt and clay in the studied geomorphic units	102
Fig.	(2)	Intensity with which each land capability class can be used with safety. (Brady, 1974) .	172
Fig.	(3)	The area of each class following the USDA system of land capability classification	176
Fig.	(4)	The area of each class following the FAO system of land suitability evaluation	179
Fig.	(5)	Relative area of each productivity rating according to modified Storie index	185
Fig.	(6)	The area of each class according to Sys and Verhey's system	189

LIST OF MAPS

			Page
Map	(1)	Location of the studied area	4
Map	(2)	Locations of the studied soil profiles	48
Map	(3)	Physiographic map of the Northern Delta (After High Dam soil survey, FAO, 1963 with some modifications by El-Nahal et al., 1977)	61
Map	(4)	Land use sketch map of the studied area following the USDA system of land capability classification	177
Map	(5)	Land use sketch map of the studied area following the FAO system of land suitability evaluation	180
Map	(6)	Land use sketch map of the studied area according to modified Storie index	186
Map	(7)	Land use sketch map of the studied area according to Sys and Verheye's system	190