#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- 1. Effect of Sowing Date on the Growth, Yield and Chemical Composition of Sorghum.
  - A. Effect on growth characters:

#### 1. Plant height:

The data present in Tables(5 and 6)demonstrate the effect of the sowing date on the plant height of sor-ghum at different cuts.

Sowing date showed a significant effect on plant height of sorghum at different cuts in the two successive seasons. Plant height decreased significantly by delaying the time of sowing after 16 th June and 17 th May in the first and second seasons, respectively. The superiority in the plant height of earling sowing might be attributed to the favorable climatic condition prevailing during the growth of sorghum plants or the photoperiod(Tables2 and 3) Similar results were obtained by Fergany (1967), Minor (1971) and Ebrahim (1982). They reported that plant height decreased with delay sowing in forage sorghum.

## 2. Stem diameter:

The data present in Tables(5 and 6)indicate that sowing dates had a significant effect on stem diameter in the both seasons. Stem diameter of sorghum plants significantly decreased with delaying sowing date in the two season. The last sowing date at July 1, produced significantly

Effect of sowing date on the growth characters of sorghum plants in 1981 season. Ŋ Table

	]   	e ratio		0a 0.211b 6a 0.223b 9ab 0.237ab 9b 0.264a	0a 0.298 b 9b 0.314 b 9c 0.328ab
	nt org	Whole plant		51.10a 48.06a 45.89ab 42.19b	41.00a 35.09b 25.99c 18.92d
	Dry weight of plant organs (g)	Stems	sowing)	39.77a 37.51ab 35.85b 33.07b	32.21a 26.59b 18.16c 12.98d
	Dry wei	Leaves	First cutting (60 days after	11.33a 10.55ab 10.04b 9.12b	a 8.32a 4.91a 8.79a b 7.72b 3.41b 8.50a c 6.47c 3.12b 7.83a d 6.06c 2.06c 5.94b
 	Leaf area		ng (60 o	8.62a 7.33b 5.43c 4.38c	4.91a 3.41b 3.12b 2.06c
; 	Number of lea- ves/pl-	ant	st cutti	9.50a 9.04a 8.23ab 7.73b	8.32a 7.72b 6.47c 6.06c
	Number of ste- ms/m2	, ! ! ! ! !	a. Fir	22.49a 20.39a 17.56ab 15.30b	97 88 85 65
	Stem dia- meter(mm)			12.41 a 11.22 b 10.94bc 10.16c	11.15a 10.70ab 10.38b 9.09c
	Plant hei- ght (cm)			271.20 a 262.00 a 233.87ab 212.07b	196.14a 168.38a 157.62bc 143.25c
1 1 1	Sowing	date		May June July	Mey June June
;	ស	ł		17 th 16 th 16 th	17 th 18 st 16 th 1 st

Effect of sowing date on the growth characters of sorghum plants in 1982 season. 9 Table

\$	Plant height	Stem Ndismeter o	2 4d	Number of lea-	Leaf area	Dry welf	Dry weight of plant (g)	t organs	Leaf: stem ratio
date		(mm)	/ m <sup>2</sup>	ves/ plant	index	Leaves	Stems	Whole	
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			8	First o	utting (6	cutting (60 days after	fter sowing)	7	
17 <u>th</u> May 1 at June 16 th June 1 at July	276.17a 261.23a 246.35ab 231.44b	11.15e 10.42b 10.10b 9.87b	29.24a 27.20a 24.77ab 17.07b	8.82a 8.85ab 8.24ab 7.63b	9.41a 7.27b 5.64c 4.32d	11.30a 10.67b 10.22c 9.22d	41.20a 40.53a 37.84b 33.25c	52.50a 51.20a 48.06b 42.47c	0.217b 0.241b 0.247ab 0.288a
			ڡؙ	Second	cutting	(45 days	after first	cut)	
17 <u>th</u> May 1 <u>st</u> June 16 <u>th</u> June 1 <u>st</u> June	201.46a 146.41b 141.57bc 123.80c	201.46a 10.75a 34. 146.41b 9.80b 29 141.57bc 9.58bc 27 123.80c 9.33c 23	34.148 29.16b : 27.45bc 23.41c	7.30a 6.98b 6.33c 5.76d	4.718 3.23b 2.79bc 2.35c	8.54a 7.90a 6.72b 5.57c	23.47a 20.30a 15.11b 10.76c	32.01a 28.20b 21.83c 16.33d	0.331b 0.357b 0.382ab 0.426a

thinner stalks than the other planting dates. Such result was obtained by Fergany (1967) and Ebrahim (1982). They reported that stem diameter decreased as planting date was delayed from April 15 to June 1.

## 3. Number of stems/m<sup>2</sup>:

Sowing dates had a significant effect on number of stems/m<sup>2</sup> in the two successive seasons (Tables 5 and 6 ). Generally, there was a downward trend for the number of stems/m<sup>2</sup> as sowing date was dalyed. Lower number of stems/m<sup>2</sup> was obtained from later sowing of July 1 in the both seasons. On the other hand the early sowing date increased significantly the number of stems/m<sup>2</sup> in the two seasons. These results may be attributed to the good growth characters at the early sowing date. These findings are in harmony with those of Stickler and Pauli (1961), Blum (1972) and Ebrahim (1982).

## 4. Number of leaves/plant:

Sowing dates had a significant effect on the number of leaves of sorghum plants in the two successive seasons. The present data in Tables (5 and 6 ) indicate that there was a significant effect on the number of leaves per plant with delaying the sowing date. Early sowing of May 17 produced higher number of leaves/plant as compared with other dates of sowing, while the sowing at July, gave

the lowest number of leaves per plant. These results could be attributed to the relatively higher temperature during the growth season, resulting in early maturity and subsequently the number of leaves decrease. Similar conclusion was confirmed by Ebrahim (1982).

#### 5. Leaf area index (LAI):

Differences between sowing dates in LAI were significant in the two successive seasons. The date at May 17th sowing gave significantly higher LAI than the other sowing dates Tables(5 and 6). The increase in leaf area may be due to favourable temperature and day length prevailing in early sowings than later ones. These factors produced greater vegetative growth of sorghum plants during the early sowing. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Stickler and Pauli (1961) and Ebrahim (1982) who concluded that leaf area tended to be larger at early sowing than the late ones.

Data in Tables (5 and 6) showed that sowing dates had a significant effect on the leaf: stem ratio in both seasons. The late sowing date, gave significantly higher leaf: stem ratio.

#### 6. Dry weight of plant organs:

#### 6.1. Dry weight of leaves/plant:

As presented in Tables (5 and 6), sowing dates showed significant effect on the dry weight of leaves/plant in both seasons. In general, dry weight of leaves/plant progressively decreased as sowing dates was delayed until July 1. These resutls are expected since sowing dates had a significant effect on the number of leaves/plant.

Similar conclusions are in accordance with those obtained by Ebrahim (1982), who reported that dry weight of leaves/ plant significantly decreased with delaying sowing date from April 15 to June 1 at each cut in both seasons.

#### 6.2. Dry weight of stems/plant:

Dry weight of stems/plant was significantly affected by sowing dates in the two successive seasons (Tables 5 and 6). The late planting, gave significantly lowest dry weight of stems/plant than the other planting sowings. Generally, dry weight of stems decreased as sowing date was delayed. Such result is in line with that of Ebrahim (1982), who found that stem weight decreased remarkably and consistently by delaying time of sowing, while early sowing casused a production of greatest weight of stems/plant.

#### 6.3. Dry weight/plant:

Data in Tables ( 5 and 6 ) revealed that sowing dates showed significant effect on dry weight per plant in the both seasons. Dry weight/plant decreased significantly as sowing was delayed until July 1. Sowing dates seemed to have a considerable effect on accumulation of dry weight in plant. Accumulation of more dry matter of plant during early sowing could be attributed to favourable environmental

conditions prevailing during the growth of sorghum plants, such as temperature, relative humidity, light duration and intensity. These results are true and expected since early sowing increased significantly, number of leaves/plant, number of stems/m<sup>2</sup>, dry weight of leaves and stems per plant. Similar conclusions was obtained by Fergany (1967), Kassam and Andrews (1975) and Ebrahim (1982).

#### B. Effect on yield:

#### Fresh forage yield:

Evidently, fresh forage yield was significantly affected by sowing dates in the two successive season (Table 7). However, the yield decreased considerably and consistently with delaying the date of sowing. This result was true at all cuts and total fresh forage yield.

In 1981 season, sowing on May 17 produced 15.48, 12.80 and 28.28 ton fresh forage yield more than that of sowing July 1 whereas in 1982 season sowing on May 17 gave 11.32, 11.05 and 22.37 ton more than that of sowing on July 1 at first, second cuts and total fresh forage yield respectively. This might be attributed to the effect of sowing dates on plant height, stem diameter, number of leaves/plant and LAI of sorghum plants. These results are in general agreement with those obtained by Costa (1971),

Effect of sowing date on the forage yield of sorghum in 1981 and 1982 seasons. Table 7 :

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	 	Fresh yiel	1d (ton/fad.)	ad.)		Dry yield (ton/fad.)	on/fad.)		
Sowing date	1 st +	2 nd <sup>++</sup>	Total	Rela- tive	l st+ cut	2 nd <sup>++</sup> cut	Total	Relat.	
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1981	Season				
17 <u>th</u> May 1 st June 16 <u>th</u> June		18.94a 11.88b 9.40c	46.83a 36.39b 31.07b	100 78 66	5.48a 5.02a 4.23b	3.75a 2.56b 1.80c	9.23a 7.58b 6.03c	100 82 65	-48 <del>-</del>
1 st July	12.410	<b>6.14</b> å	18,550	1982	V-1	7 × × × ×	٠ ٢	ţ	
17 th May 1 st June 16 th June 1 st June	28.79a 24.71b 22.08c	19.48a 12.14b 9.73bc 8.44c	48.278 36.85b 31.81b 25.90c	100 76 66	5.91a 5.16b 4.46c 3.48d	3.84a 2.60b 1.85c 1.46c	9.75a 7.76b 6.31c 4.94d	100 80 65 51	!
09 +	+ 60 days after	sowing			++ 45 0	5 days after	first cut		ľ

Azeredo et al., (1978) and Ebrahim (1982).

#### 2. Dry forage yield:

Results in Table ( 7 ) showed that differences between sowing date in the dry forage yield at each cut and total forage yield were significant in the both seasons. Early sowing of May 17 gave the highest dry forage yield compared to the other sowing dates. In 1981 season, early sowing gave 0.45, 0.80 and 1.52 ton/fad. at first cut, 1.20, 0.75 and 0.52 at the second cut, 1.65, 1.55 and 2.04 ton/fad. for the total dry forage yield more than June 1, June 16 and July 1, respectively. In 1982, early sowing at May 17 surpassed the sowing date at June 1, June 16 and July 1 by 0.76, 0.69 and 0.98 ton/fad. at the first cut by 1.24, 0.75 and 0.40 ton/fad. at the second cut and 2.00, 1.44 and 1.37 ton/fad. for the total dry forage yield. results are true since early sowing increased significantly the dry weight of different parts of the sorghum plant namely: leaves and stems per plant. It may be concluded that delaying sowing date caused a rapid conversion of plants from vegetative to reproductive phase which account much for the early cessation of increase in the dry matter content Such conclusions are in accordance with those of plants. obtained by Blum (1972) in Isreal, Fribourg et al. U.S.A and Ebrahim (1982) in Egypt, found that the yield of dry matter decreased by delaying sowing.

#### Protein yield:

The results in Table (8) showed clearly that there was a progressive and consistent depression in the yield of crude protein with delaying the sowing date until July 1. In both seasons, sowing on May 17 gave the highest protein yield/fad. In both seasons sowing date on May 17 increased the total protein by 82.86, 126.20 and 181.12 and 74.43, 118.88 and 170.29 kg/fad. as compared with the other sowing dates namely June 1, June 16 and July 1, respectively in the two seasons.

Such effect was mainly due to the effect of sowing dates on the dry forage yield of sorghum plants. The same trend of results were also recorded by Hussein et al. (1979) and Mirhadi and Kobayashi (1981).

Effect of sowing on the protein yield (Kg/fad.) of sorghum in 1981 and 1982 seasons. œ Table

Sowing		1981 Se	Season			1982 season	Ħ.	
date	1 st cut	2 nd cut	Total Vield	Relative	1 st cut	2 nd cut	Total Vield	Relative
17 th May	225.55 a	151.14 8	376.69a	100	230,538	163,668	394.19a	100
1 st June	201.98 b	91.85 b	293.83b	78	200.18b	119.58b	319.76b	81
16 th June	162.94 c	85.55 b	248.49c	99	173.790	101,52bc 275,3lc	275,31c	70
1 st July	130.85 d	64.72 b	195.57d	52	143.744	80.16c	223.90đ	57
P 09 +	+ 60 days after sowing	wing	 	++	++ 45 days after first cut	ter first	cut	8 8 1 4 4 5 1 7

#### C. Effect on Chemical Composition:

#### 1. Protein percentage:

As presented in Tables (9 and 10) sowing dates exhibited a significant effect on percentage of protein in the tissues of the different parts of sorghum plant, namely, leaves and stems. Delaying sowing date increased the protein content in the different plant organs of sorghum. The effect of sowing date on the percentage of protein was studed by many investigators. Rai (1964), and Ebrahim (1982), they reported that the protein percentage of leaves, stems and total plant increased with delaying sowing date.

#### 2. Carbohydrate percentage:

centage of carbohydrate in the different organs of sorghum plant, namely, leaves and stems in the two successive seasons (Tables 9 and 10). It is apparent that the carbohydrate % decreased with delaying sowing date. Planting dates seemed to have a considerable effect on accumulation of dry matter in leaves, stems and whole plant. Accumulation of more dry matter in the different organs of sorghum plant during early sowing could be attributed to favourable environmental conditions prevailing during the growth and

Effect of sowing date on chemical composition of sorghum plant in 1981 season. Table

		I.J.	rrotein %		S. C.	carbonyarate %	% :te %	HCN	% N	
	Sowing date	Leaves	Stems	Whole plant	Leaves	Stems	Whole plant	Leaves	Stems	Whole plant
				<b>a</b>	First cutting (60 days after	ng (60 d	ays after	sowing)		
17 th	May	7.16 a	4.80 a	5.328	32,08 a	28,96a	29.65a	0.590b	0.914 a	0.842a
L Is is	June	7.55 a	5.03 b	5.58a	30.58ab	27.59b	28,24b	0.646b	0.965 a	0.894 <b>ab</b>
16 th	June	8,26 a	5.22 c	5.88ab	28,31bc	25.91c	26.43c	0.695b	1.025 a	0.952bc
	July	9.51ab	42	6.30b	27.33 c	23.33d	24.42d	0.746a	1.099 a	1,022c
				م	Second cutting (45		days after first cut)	r first cu	t)	
17 th	May	8.42 8	5.56 a	6.17a	30.92 в	27.61a	28,31a	0.501b	0.821 b	0.7528
1 13 14	June	9.14 a	5.77 b	6.58a	29.42ab	26.57b	27.26b	0.551b	0.867 b	0. 790ab
16 th	June	10.24ab	97	7.25b	27.19bc	24.41c	25.24c	0.593ab	0.931ab	0.829ab
1 st	July	11.28 b	6.03 d	7.67b	26.15 c	21.63d	23.04d	0.636 в	0.976 a	0.8630

development of sorghum plants, such as temperature, relative humidity, light duration and light intensity (Tables 2 and 3), as well as superiority of sorghum plant concering number of leaves/plant and leaf area index.

# 3. Hydrocyanic acid content (HCN):

The results in Tables ( 9 and 10 ) indicate that the Highest HCN% was in the stems compared with leaves. Similar result was obtained by Longo (1969). On the other hand, Wheeler (1950), found that leaves were high in HCN acid especially the yonger leaves.

Differences between sowing dates in the percentage of HCN acid was significant in both seasons except the stems in the first season. The obtained data showed that HCN% increased as sowing date was delayed. The sowing date at July 1 gave the highest precentage of HCN acid in the two successive seasons in both leaves and stems. Similar results was obtained by Nasr (1973), who reported that HCN% increased as the date of sowing was delayed. On the other hand, Fergany (1967) reported that HCN in plants of summer season surpassed those of nili season.

- 11. Effect of Varieties on the Growth, Yield and Chemical Composition of Sorghum:
  - A. Effect on growth characters:

## 1. Plant height:

Results in Tables (11 and 12) show that varieties exhibited significant effects on plant height of sorghum plant. Sudan (2) produced significantly higher plants than either sordam (79) or Pioneer (988) in both seasons. The difference in plant height between varieties is attributed to difference in the gentical make-up. However, Clapp and Chamblee (1970); El-Hifny et al. (1972) and Ebrahim (1982), who reported that there was a great variation in the differential respone of plant height.

## 2. Stem diameter:

With regard to the varieties effect data presented in Tables(11 and 12) show that there was a significant effect on the stem diameter in the two successive seasons. Sudan (2) resulted in thinner stalks than the other two varieties. Pioneer (988) plants were significantly thicker than those of sordan (79).

The results also indicate that the stem diameter was inferior at second cut as compard with first cut in

Effect of warieties on the growth characters of sorghum plants in 1981 season 11 : Table

Varietios	Plant hieght	Stem diameter	Number r of stems/	Number of le-	Leaf	Dry wei	Dry weight of plant organs (g)	plant	Leaf	!
	(cm)	(mm)	#5	aves/ plant	index	Leaves	Leaves Stems	Whole plant	. Stem ratio	
	œ		First cutting (60 days after sowing)	vs after	sowing)				! ! ! ! !	1
Pioneer (988) Sordan (79) Sudangrass Giza (2)	227.18 c 237.20 b 269.97 a	11.89 a 11.06 b 10.59 c	21.80 a 18.38 b 16.63 b	9.06 a 8.67 b 8.15 c	7.78 a 6.76 b 5.07 c	11.01a 10.26b 9.50c	38.41a 49.42 36.62b 46.88 34.62c 44.12	49.42 a 46.88 b 44.12 c	0.250 a - 0.230 b 2.0 0.216 c	=57 <b>-</b>
	<b>Å</b>		Second cutting (45 days after first cut)	ays after	r first (	out)				
Pioneer (988) Sordan (79)	152.25 c 10.78 a 163.33 b 10.38ab	10.78 a	25.23 a 22.84 b	7.43 a 3.95 a 7.21 a 3.39 al	3.95 a 3.39 ab	8.65a 8.04b	25.33a 22.48b	25.33æ 33.98 æ 0.361 æ 22.48b 30.52 b 0.335 b	0,361	<b>a</b> 5

0.361 a 0.335 b

8.65a 25.33a 33.98 a 8.04b 22.48b 30.52 b 6.60c 19.64c 26.24 c

3.95 a 3.39 ab 2.79 b

7.43 a 7.21 a 6.78 b

25.23 a 22.84 b 20.70 c

9.83 b

183.48 a

Sudangrass

G1za (2)

0.304

19.64c 26.24 c

Effect of varieties on the growth characters of sorghum plants in 1982 season. Table 12

	Plant	8tem	Number	Number	Leaf	Dry weight of plant organs (g)	t of plan	t organs	Leaft
Varieties	height (cm)	disme- of a ter(mm) /m2	of steme	of stems of les-	area	Leaves	Stems	Whole plant	ratio
		<b>8</b>	rst outt	ing (60	days at	a. First cutting (60 days after sowing)	a		
Pioneer (988) 241.55 b 10.75 a Sordan (79) 251.13 a 10.43 a Sudangrasa 268.70 a 9.98 a	241.55 b 10.75 251.13 a 10.43 268.70 a 9.98	10.75 a 110.43 a 19.98 a	27.90 a 8.69 24.86 b 8.40 20.95 c 7.84	8.69 m 8.40 m 7.84 b	8.25a 6.90b 4.83c	11.07 a 10.57 a 9.42 b	40.20 a 38.06 b 36.36 c	51.27 a 48.63 ab 45.78 b	0.268 a 0.249 a 0.228 b
Giza (2)		ا م	scond cut	ting (45	days a	Second cutting (45 days after first cut)	cut)	•	
Pioneer (988) 146.09 b 10.43 a Sordan (79) 152.68 b 9.95 b Sudangrass 161.16 a 9.22 c Giza (2)	146.09 b : 152.68 b : 161.16 a	) 146.09 b 10.43 a 152.68 b 9.95 b 161.16 a 9.22 c	33.13 a 7.01 28.66ab 6.55 23.84 b 6.22	7.01 a 6.55 b 6.22 b	3.81a 3.25b 2.75c	8.15 a 6.95 b 6.45 c	19.41 a 17.42 b 15.45 c	27.56 a 24.37 b 21.90 c	0.399 a 0.376 b 0.348 c

both seasons. These results might be attributed to the reduction in the vegetative phose of growth at second cut. Similar findings were obtained by Burger et al. (1961), El-Hifny et al. (1972) and Ebrahim (1982), they reported that the stem diameter is a varietal characteristic.

# 3. Number of stems/ m<sup>2</sup>

Data reported in Tables(11 and 12) indicate clearly that there was a significant differences in the number of stems/ m<sup>2</sup> among sorghum varieties. In both season, Pioneer (988) surpassed significantly the other varieties in number of stems/m<sup>2</sup>. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Stickler and Pauli (1961), Clapp and Chamblee (1970), El-Hifny et al. (1972) and Ebrahim (1982).

## 4. Number of leaves/plant:

Number of leaves/plant differed significantly among genotypes at each cut in both two seasons Tables(11 and 12) Pioneer (988) exhibited greater number of leaves/plant than the other two varieties. Ebrahim (1982), in Egypt, found that high varietion in number of leaves/plant among four sorghum varieties.

#### 5. Leaf area index:

The results in Tables (11 and 12) showed that differences between varieties in LAI were significant in the two successive seasons. Sudan (2) had significantly smaller LAI than the other two varieties, while Pioneer (988) revealed a significant superiority in LAI over Sordan (79) and Sudan(2). These results were excepted since Pioneer (988) gave higher number of stems/m<sup>2</sup> as well as number of leaves/plant. These results are in agreement with those obtained by E1-Hifny et al. (1972) and Ebrahim (1982), who found high variation between sorghum varieties in leaf area. The results in Tables (11 and 12) demonstrate that the leaf: stem ratio at each cut was affected by varietal characteristics. Pioneer (988) surpassed the other varieties, whereas Sudan (2) produced the lowest values.

## 6. Dry weight of plant organs:

## 6.1. Dry weight of leaves/plant:

The obtained data in Tables (11 and 12) indicate clearly that dry weight of leaves/plant was affected by varietal characteristics. The differences among varieties in leaves dry weight per plant were signicicantly confirmed in 1981 and 1982 seasons. Varieties could be arranged in ascending order as follows: Sudan (2), Sordan(79) and Pioneer (988) at the first and second cuts in the two seasos. Pioneer (988) was superior and Sudan (2) was the inferior in there effect on the dry weight of leaves/plant. These results might be attributed to the significant effect

of varieties on the number of leaves/plant. Similar results were obtained by Ebrahim (1982), who found high variation between four sorghum varieties in the dry weight of leaves/plant.

## 6.2. Dry weight of stems/plant:

Data in Tables(11 and 12) demonstrate that the stems dry weight/plant at each cut varied with varieties. Pioneer (988) surpassed the other varieties whereas Sudan (2) produced the lowest values. The following is a descending order according to dry weight of stems/plant: Sudan (2), Sordan (79) and Pioneer (988). These results were excepected science Pioneer (988) surpassed significantly the other two varieties in the number of stems/m<sup>2</sup>. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Ebrahim (1982).

## 6.3. Dry weight of whole plant:

Varieties exerted a marked effect on the dry weight of whole plant at each cut in the two successive seasons. Pioneer (988) produced greatest dry weight/plant, whereas Sudan (2) produced the lowest values at the two cuts in the two seasons. These results may be attributed to the significant effect of varieties on the dry weight of leaves and stems per plant. Similar results were obtained by

#### B. Effect on yield:

#### 1. Fresh forage yield:

The results in Table (13) showed that the fresh forage yield at each cut or as a total yield was remarkably influenced by varieties characteristics. The present data show that Pioneer (988) surpassed Sordan (79) and Sudan (2) by 3.55 and 6.91 in the first cut, 2.21 and 4.69 in the second cut and 5.76 and 11.60 ton/ fad. in the total yield respectively in 1981 season. Concerning the fresh forage yield in 1982 season, the results indicate that Sudan (2) was inferior in the first. second cut and total yield, while Pioneer (988) and Sordan (79) were superior and the differences between them failed to reach the level of significance at 5% in first and second cut. The total fresh forage yield in both seasons could be arranged in descending order to three groups, i.e., Pioneer (988), Sordan (79) and Sudan (2). These results are in agreement with those obtained by Wedin (1970). Habib et al. (1971), Blum (1972), Broadhead and Freeman (1980) and Ebrahim (1980).

## 2. Dry forage yield:

Differences between the varieties in dry forage yield at each cut as well as the total fresh forage yield were significantly confirmed in both seasons Table (13).

Effect of varieties on the forage yield of sorghum in 1981 and 1982 seasons. Table 13:

4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Fresh	Yield	(ton/ rad.)	Relative	Dry Yield (ton/	(ton/ fad.)	Relative
Varieties	1 at tout	2 nd teut	Total	 	lat cut 2nd+	Total	
Pioneer (988)	25.01 8	12.83 a	37.84 в	1981 Season 100 5	on 5.01 a 2.43 a	7.44 a	100
Sordan (79)	21.46 b	10,62 b	32.08 b	84.78	4.24 b 2.08 b	6.32 b	84.95
Sudangrass	18.10 c	8.14 c	26.24 c	69.34	3.75 b 1.74 c	5.49 c	73.79
G1za (2)				1982 Season	ou		
Pioneer (988)	25.92 a	13.01 a	38.93 в	100	5.20 a 2.50 a	7.70 a	100
Sordan (79)	24.16 a	11.63 в	35.79 b	91.93	4.88 a 2.20ab	7.08 a	91.95
Sudangrass Giza (2)	19.72 b	8,81 b	28.52 c	73.25	4.26 b 1.87 b	6.13 b	79.61

It is worthmentioning that the varieties could be arranged in this concern in a descending order as follows: Pioneer (988), Sordan (79) and Sudan (2). These results hold fairly true in both seasons at each cut and as well as total yield. Superiority of Pioneer (988) might have been due to more number of stems/ m<sup>2</sup>, stem diameter and number of leaves per plant. The difference in fresh and dry yield between varieties is due to the variation in the gentical make-up. Similar results were obtained by Clapp and Chamblee (1970), Wedin (1970), Habib et al. (1971), Worker (1973) and Fribourg et al. (1976) and Ebrahim (1982).

#### 3. Protein yield:

Data reported in Table (14) indicate clearly that there were significant differences in protein yield of sorghum plant among varieties. Pioneer (988) revealed a significant superiority in the protein yield followed by Sordan (79) and Sudan (2) in descending order. This results might be attributed to the effect of varieties in forage dry yield as well as protein percentage in plant organs of sorghum.

## C. Effect on Chemical Composition:

The resutls in Tables(15 and 16) demonstrate that varieties showed significant effect on chemical composition of

Effect of varieties on the protein yield (kg/fad.) of sorghum in 1981 and 1982 seasons. 14 Table

:		1981 season	ason			1982	1982 season	
Varieties	1 st <sup>+</sup> cut	2nd ++ cut	Total	Relative 1 Et 2 nd++ cut cut	1 Et+	cut	Total Vield	Relative
Pioneer (988) 201.80a	201.80a	116.39a	39a 318.19 a	100	201.58a 126.91 a 328.49	126.91 a	328.49 в	001
Sordan (79)	187.88a	100.070	07b 287,95 b	90.50	189.50a	111.37 b	189.50a 111.37 b 300.87 b	91.59
Sudangrass	158.08b	87.88 b	87.88 b 245.96 c	77.29	162,63b	101.04 b	162.63b 101.04 b 263.67 c	80.26
G1za (2)								

+ 60 days after sowing

++ 45 days first cut

-67-

Effect of varieties on chemical composition of sorghum plant in 1981 season. Table 15:

Varieties		Protein %		υ	Carbohydrate %	ate %		HCN %	
	Leaves	Stems	Whole	Leavea	Stems	Whole plant	Leaves Stems	Stems	Whole
			B. Fir	st cutti	ng (60 de	First cutting (60 days after sowing)	sowing	7	
Pioneer (988) Sordan (79) Sudangrass Giza (2)	8.53 a 8.16ab 7.67 b	5.52 a 5.09ab 4.74 b	6.19a 5.76ab 5.37b	31.99a 29.73b 27.02c	27.68 a 27.58 a 25.31 b	28.64ª 0.62b 28.05ab 0.73g 25.67b 0.66b	0.62b 0.73a 0.66b	0.95b 1.07a 0.99ab	0.87a 0.99b 0.91ab
			b. Sec	ond cutt	ing (45 d	Second cutting (45 days after first	first	cut)	į.
Pioneer (988) Sordan (79)	10.21a 9.79ab	6.26a 5.81b	7.26a 6.85ad	30.84a 28.57b	26.29a 25.07b	27.44 0.51c	0.51c	0.82c	0.74 a
Sudangrass Giza (2)	9.31b	5.43b	6.40 b	25.85c	23.81c	24.32 b	0.50	0.90b	0.81 8

Effect of varieties on chemical composition of sorghum plant in 1982 season. Table 16 :

4 4 2 2	<b>A</b>	Protein %		Carb	Carbohydrate %	86		HCN %	
	Leaves	Stems	\hole plant	Leaves	Stems	Whole plent	Leaves	Stems	Whole plant
		•		Winst out time (60 doug of the		\$			
		ชื่	•	מהיישה	A CONTRACTOR	750 750 6	SUM THIS		
Pioneer (988)	8.65 a	5. 70a	6.338 34.63	34.63 a	30.61a	31.478	0.56 b	0.90 a	0.82 a
Sordan (79)	8.29ab	5.21ab	5.87ab 31.51	31.51 b	27.50b	28.37ab	0.67 B	1.02 €	0.94 b
Sudangrass	7.83 b	4.90b	5.50b	29.13 c	25.15c	25.96b	0.59 b	0.93 a	0.86ab
G1za (2)									
		Ď.		Second cutting (45 days after first cut)	g (45 day	s after	first o	ut)	
Pioneer (988)	10.37 a	6.31a	7.51a	33.67 в	27.718	29.47a	0.46 c	0.82 B	0.71a
Sordan (79)	9.98ab	5.86ab	7.03ab 30.51	30.51 b	26.57b	27.69ab 0.59	0.59 a	0.92 a	0.82a
Sudangrass Giza (2)	9.51 b	5.48b	<b>99.9</b>	28.20 c	25 <b>.</b> 07e	25.99b	0.50 b	0.85 a	0.74B

sorghum plants.

#### 1. Protein percentage:

Varieties differed significantly in the protein percentage in plant organs, namely, leaves, stems and whole plant. It is obvious that the protein content was greater in Pioneer (988) than in Sordan (79) and Sudan (2). These results hold true at the different cuts in the two successive seasons. The average protein percentage in the whole plant among the tested varieties ranged from 5.37 to 6.19% and from 5.50 to 6.33% at the first cut in the two seasons, respectively. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Burns and Wedin (1964), Rai (1964), Worker and Marble (1968), Clapp and Chamblee (1970), and Pedreira (1970), Worker (1973), Harms and Tucker (1973) and Ebrahim (1982). They reported that the total crude protein production varied by variety and stage of harvest.

## 2. Carbohydrate percentage:

In both seasons, the varieties differed significantly in the carbohydrate percentage Tables(15 and 16). The results indicate that Pioneer (988) gave the highest percentage of carbohydrate in leaves, stems and whole plant,

whereas the lowest percentage was obtained from Sudan (2). Accordingly, it could be predicated that protein content in leaves, stems and whole plant is positively correlated with carbohydrate percentage of the different organs of the plant. These results hold true at the different cuts in both seasons. However, the average carbohydrate in the whole plant among varieties ranged from 25.67 to 28.64% and 25.96 to 31.47% at the first cut and from 24.32 to 27.44 and 25.99 to 29.47% at the second cut in the 1981 and 1982 seasons, respectively. Similar results were obtained by McBee and Miller (1982).

#### 3. Hydrocyanic acid content (HCN):

The mean performances of the varieties for the HCN content are shown in Tables(15 and 16). It was found that HCN acid content was higher in the first cut growth than in the second growth of the plant of sorghum forage crops in the corresponding ages. Similar results were obtained by Karim (1965), George (1970), and Nasr (1973).

They found 15% less than HCN acid in the second growth of sorghum than in the first growth. The tested varieties differed significantly in the percentage of HCN acid in both seasons at each cut. In this concern, the varieties could be arranged in a descending as follows: Pioneer (988),

Sudan (2) and Sordan (79). It is obvious that the HCN% of leaves, stems and whole plant was greater in Sordan (79) than the other two varieties. The variation in HCN acid percentage may be attributed to differences in the genetical make-up of different cultivars. These findings are in harmony with those obtained by George (1970) and Nasr (1973), who reported that sudangrass was higher in HCN acid content than sweet sorghum.

# III. Effect of Seeding Rates on the Growth, Yield and Chemical Composition of Sorghum:

- A. Effect on growth characters:
- 1. Plant height:

Data in Tables (17 and 18) show the effect of seeding rates on plant height of sorghum at different cuts. Plant height was significantly increased with increasing seeding rates up to 20 kg/fad. at the different in the two successive cut. This increase in plant height at high seeding rates may be due to competition among plants for light. These results are in harmony with these obtained by Koller and Scholl (1968), Blum (1970) and Ebrahim (1982). They reported that increased seeding rate increased plant height. On the contrary, Abd El-Gawad (1981), found that increasing seeding rate of sorghum led to a reduction in plant height,

Effect of seeding rates on the growth characters of sorghum plants in 1981 season. Table 17:

neight diame of st. of lear area (cm) ter(mm) eng/plant index leaves Stems (cm) ter(mm) eng/plant index leaves Stems a. First cutting (60 days after sowing) 229.02 c 11.76 a 16.81 c 9.10 a 5.47 c 11.07a 38.89 a 4 260.87 a 10.58 c 21.10 a 8.62 b 6.40 b 10.20b 36.37 b 4 260.87 a 10.58 c 21.10 a 8.16 c 7.44 a 9.50c 34.38 c 3 157.08 c 10.98 a 19.80 c 7.54 a 2.70 c 8.50a 24.14 a 3 166.52 b 10.36 b 22.80 b 7.13 b 3.43 b 7.69b 22.62 b 3 175.45 a 9.65 c 26.18 a 6.75 c 4.01 a 7.11c 20.69 c 2		Plant	Sten	Number	Number	Leaf	Dry weight of plant organs (g)	plant )	Leaf :
a. First cutting (60 days after sowing)  229.02 c 11.76 a 16.81 c 9.10 a 5.47 c 11.07a 38.89 a  244.46 b 11.20 b 18.90 b 8.62 b 6.40 b 10.20b 36.37 b  260.87 a 10.58 c 21.10 a 8.16 c 7.44 a 9.50c 34.38 c  b. Second cutting (45 days after first c  157.08 c 10.98 a 19.80 c 7.54 a 2.70 c 8.50a 24.14 a  166.52 b 10.36 b 22.80 b 7.13 b 3.43 b 7.69b 22.62 b  175.45 a 9.65 c 26.18 a 6.75 c 4.01 a 7.11c 20.69 c	Seeding rates kg/fad.	neight (cm)	dleme- ter(mm)	of st- ems/ m2	of lea- ves/ plant	area index		Whole plant	ratio
229.02 c 11.76 a 16.81 c 9.10 a 5.47 c 11.07a 38.89 a 244.46 b 11.20 b 18.90 b 8.62 b 6.40 b 10.20b 36.37 b 260.87 a 10.58 c 21.10 a 8.16 c 7.44 a 9.50c 34.38 c b. Second cutting (45 days after first c b. Second cutting (45 days after first c 157.08 c 10.98 a 19.80 c 7.54 a 2.70 c 8.50a 24.14 a 166.52 b 10.36 b 22.80 b 7.13 b 3.43 b 7.69b 22.62 b 175.45 a 9.65 c 26.18 a 6.75 c 4.01 a 7.11c 20.69 c					st cuttir	ig (60 d	ays after sowing		
244.46 b 11.20 b 18.90 b 8.62 b 6.40 b 10.20b 36.37 b 260.87 a 10.58 c 21.10 a 8.16 c 7.44 a 9.50c 34.38 c b. Second cutting (45 days after first c 157.08 c 10.98 a 19.80 c 7.54 a 2.70 c 8.50a 24.14 a 166.52 b 10.36 b 22.80 b 7.13 b 3.43 b 7.69b 22.62 b 175.45 a 9.65 c 26.18 a 6.75 c 4.01 a 7.11c 20.69 c	10	229.02 c	ಥ		9.10 a	5.47 c		49.96 a	0.215 c
260.87 a 10.58 c 21.10 a 8.16 c 7.44 a 9.50c 34.38 c b. Second cutting (45 days after first c 157.08 c 10.98 a 19.80 c 7.54 a 2.70 c 8.50a 24.14 a 166.52 b 10.36 b 22.80 b 7.13 b 3.43 b 7.69b 22.62 b 175.45 a 9.65 c 26.18 a 6.75 c 4.01 a 7.11c 20.69 c	15	244.46 b	م			6.40 b	10.20b 36.37 b	46.57 b	0.230 b
b. Second cutting (45 days after first c 157.08 c 10.98 a 19.80 c 7.54 a 2.70 c 8.50a 24.14 a 166.52 b 10.36 b 22.80 b 7.13 b 3.43 b 7.69b 22.62 b 175.45 a 9.65 c 26.18 a 6.75 c 4.01 a 7.11c 20.69 c	20				8,16 c		34.38	33.88 c	0.256 a
157.08 c 10.98 a 19.80 c 7.54 a 2.70 c 8.50a 24.14 a 166.52 b 10.36 b 22.80 b 7.13 b 3.43 b 7.69b 22.62 b 175.45 a 9.65 c 26.18 a 6.75 c 4.01 a 7.11c 20.69 c					ond cutt	ing (45	days after first	1	
166.52 b 10.36 b 22.80 b 7.13 b 3.43 b 7.69b 22.62 b 175.45 a 9.65 c 26.18 a 6.75 c 4.01 a 7.11c 20.69 c	10					2.70 c		32.64 B	0.303 c
175.45 a 9.65 c 26.18 a 6.75 c 4.01 a 7.11c 20.69 c	15				7.13 b	3.43 b		30.31 b	0.335 b
	20	175.45 B	9.65	26.18 a	6.75 c			27.80 c	0.363 в

-73**-**

Effect of seeding rates on the growth characters of sorghum plants in 1982 season. Table 18:

Leaf: stem ratio	0.225 c		0.274 a		0.333 c	0.379 b	0.411 a
nt Whole	cd		45.34 c	•	26.92 a	24.59 b	22.27 c
Dry weight of plant organs (g) Leaves Stems W	sowing)		35.84 c	first cut)	19.13 a	17.48 b	15.62 c
Dry welgon	after	10.49 b	9.50 c	after	7.79 а	7.11 b	6.65 b
Leaf area index	(60 day	6.67 b	7.96 в	g (45 da	2.53 c	3.32 b	3.96 a
Number of le- aves/	cutt 8.64	8.35 a	7.94 b	Second cutting (45 days	6.95 в	9°90	6.23 c
Number of stems/ m <sup>2</sup>	3 4		27.52 a	b. Second	24.63 c	28.94 b	32.06 в
Stem diameter (mm)	a		а 9.74 с		10.35 a	9.86 b	9.41 c
Plant height (cm)	10 11 9 65 272	254.00 b 10.40	263,46 a		142.41 c 10.35	153.89 b	163.64 B
Seeding rates	٥٦	15	20		10	15	200

while Porter et al. (1960), Robinson et al. (1964)
Stickler and Younis (1966), Fergany (1967) and Kallah
(1981), reported that seeding rate did not significantly influence on plant height of sorghum.

#### 2. Stem diameter:

Stem diameter was significantly affected by seeding rates Tables (17 and 18). Data show clearly that there was a progressive and consistent decrease in stem diameter with increasing seed rate from 10 to 20 kg/fad. These results were true at different cuts for the two growing sea-The dense sowing gave the maximum plant density which increased the competition among plants for space, water, nutrients and other environmental factors and then resulted in the decreasing of stem diameter. These results are in accordance with those reported by Burger et al. (1961), Kukedi (1968), Choudhari and Tatwawadi (1977), Abd El-Gawad (1981) and Ebrahim (1982). They found that increasing seeding rate of sorghum led to a reduction in stem diameter. On the other hand, Burger and Compbell (1961) and Fergany (1967), reported that stem diameter of culms of different seeding rates did not differ significantly.

## 3. Number of stems/m2:

Results in Tables (17 and 18) indicat clearly that number of stems/m<sup>2</sup> was significantly affected to different

extents by plant population (seeding rate). The number of stems/m<sup>2</sup> before the different cuttings increased by increasing seed rate up to the highest level, i.e. 20 kg/fad. in the two seasons. As it is well known, the number of stems/m<sup>2</sup> is a product of number of plants per unit area. Since the high number of stems/m<sup>2</sup> resulting from dense sowing is a logic result of increasing seeding rate. Similar results were obtained by Burger and Campbell (1961). Escalada and Plucknett (1975) and Ebrahim (1982), who reported that number of stems/m<sup>2</sup> were directly related to seeding rate.

#### 4. Number of leaves/plant:

The obtained resutls in the two seasons indicated that number of leaves/plant was significantly affected by seeding rates Tables (17 and 18). The highest number of leaves/plant was obtained at rate of 10 kg/fad., while the lowest one was obtained by using 20 kg/fad. The reduction in number of leaves/plant at higher seeding rates, might be attributed to the competition between plants for the above and under ground space was greater indense sowing than thin one. These results are in harmony with results, obtained by Kukedi (1968), Abd El-Gawad (1981) and Ebrahim (1982), while Fergany (1967) showed that the number of leaves/ plant was not significantly affected by seeding rate.

#### 5. Leaf area index (LAI):

The LAI tended to increase as the seed rate increased at different cuts in the two successive seasons Tables (17 and 18). Similar conclusion was confirmed by Kukedi (1968), Nunez and Kamprath (1969), El-Hifny et al. (1972) and Ebrahim (1982). They reported that LAI was directly related to seed rate in sorghum plants.

As regard to the effect of seed rate on leaf: stem ratio, data represented in Tables (17 and 18) showed heighest seeding rate gave the highest leaf: stem ratio.

Similar resutls were obtaine by Rakhimkulov and Amangel'diev (1973) and Hassanein (1983), who found that leaf: stem ratio of sudangrass decreased with increasing spacing.

## 6. Dry weight of plant organs:

## 6.1. Dry weight of leaves/ plant:

Resutls in Tables (17 and 18) indicate clearly that dry weight of leaves/ plant was significantly affected by seeding rate in the two seasons. Increasing seeding rate caused significant decreases in dry weight of leaves/plant. The highest leaves dry weight/plant was obtained at the rate of 10 kg/fad., while the lowest one was obtained by using 20 kg/fad. The reduction in dry weight of leaves/plant at higher seeding rates, being results of decreasing the number

of leaves/plant, might be attributed to the competition between plants for growth factors namely, water, nutrients and light which are necessary for leaf formation. These findings are in general agreement with those reported by Ebrahim (1982).

## 6.2. Dry weight of stems/plant:

Dry weight of stems/plant was significantly decreased at different cuts as seeding rate was increased. These results were true for the two growing seasons. This reduction may be due to the reduction in number of stems/plant as a result of induced competition by increasing seeding rate. Similar result was obtained by Ebrahim (1982).

## 6.3. Dry weight/plant:

The effect of seeding rate on the dry weight of whole plant at different cut are presented in Tables (17 and 18). In both seasons, an increase in the dry matter of plant was observed by decreasing seed rate. In the first season, dry weight/ plant was significantly decreased from 49.96 to 46.57 and 33.88 g. at the first cut, 32.64 to 30.13 and 27.80 g. at the second cut. In the second season, dry

weight/plant decreased from 51.57 to 48.76 and 45.34 g. at the second cut, when seeding rate was increased from 10 to 15 or 20 kg/fad. The high dry weight/plant observed in thin sowing may be attributed to the vigorousness of plants of thin sowing than dense one. These results are in harmony with results, obtained by Ebrahim (1982).

#### B. Effect on Yield:

#### 1. Fresh forage yield:

Results in Table (19) indicate clearly that fresh forage yield was significantly influenced to different extents by plant population (seeding rates). The fresh forage yield at each cut as well as the total yield/fad. increased with the increase in seed rate up to the highest level i.e. 20 kg/fad. Increasing seed rate from 10 to 20 kg/fad. increased the fresh forage yield by 26 and 12% in 1981 season, whereas the increase amounted to 21 and 11% in 1982 season at first and second cuts, respect-Total fresh forage yield/fad. of dense sowing (20 kg/fad.) exceeded that of thin sowing (10 kg/fad.) by 26 and 21% in 1981 and 1982 seasons, respectively. results are in harmony with obtained by Halasz (1975), Tsukuda et al. (1977), Abd El-Gawad (1981), Ebrahim (1982) and Hassanein et al. (1983). They reported that increasing

Effect of seeding rates on the forage yield of sorghum in 1981 and 1982 seasons. Table 19:

	Fresh	Fresh yield (ton / fad.)	on / fad.	<u>.</u>	Dry	Dry yield (ton / fad.)	ton / fad	
Seeding rates kg/fad.	l st cut	2nd cut	Total	Rel- ative	lat cut	2nd cut	Total	Relative
	1 1 1 1 1 1	;   	) T		Season			
10	19.00 c	9.87 c	28.87 c	100	4.08 c	1.97 c	6.05 c	001
15	21.88 b	11.10 b	32.98 b	114	4.50 b	2.22 b	6.72 b	111
20	23.99 a	12.48 a	36.47 в	126	4.90 B	2.50 a	7.40 a	122
			귀	1982 Season	gon			·
10	21.11 c	10.41 b	31.52 c	100	4.35 c	2.08 c	6.43 c	100
15	23.18 b	11.70 a	34.88 b	110	4.83 b	2.34 b	7.17 b	111
50	25.51 a	12,68 a	38.19 а	121	5.26 в	2.54 B	7.80 в	121
			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	! ! ! !	 			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

seed rate of sorghum increased the forage yield.

### 2. Dry forage yield:

Seed rate had a significant effect on the dry forage yield at each cut and the total yield fad. Table (19).

In the first season, the dry yield of dense sowing (20 kg/fad.) outyielded that of thin one (10 kg/fad.) by 0.82, 0.53 and 1.35 tons/fad. at first, second cut and total dry forage yield. In the second season, the corresponding values were 0.91, 0.46 and 1.37 tons/fad.

These results reveal that the forage yield per faddan was greatly influenced by the number of stems/m<sup>2</sup> as well as plant height regardless of the increase in number of leaves/plant, stem diameter, dry weight of leaves/plant as well as dry weight of stems/plant which resulted from decreasing seeding rates. These results are harmony with those obtained by Halasz (1975), Tsukuda et al. (1977), Abd El-Gawad (1981), Ebrahim (1982) and Hassanein et al. (1983). They recommended with the dense plantings to attain maximum forage yield of sorghum plants.

## 3. Protein yield:

The obtained results in the two seasons indicated that the protein yield at each cut and the total yield

significantly increased by increasing seeding rates Table(20).

Increases in total protein yield reached 12 and 26% in the first season and 12 and 23% in the second season as the seed rate increased from 10 to 15 or 20 kg/fad., respectively. This superiority in protein yield could be attributed mainly to the increase in forage yield. Similar results were obtained by Koller and Scholl (1968) and Ebrahim (1982).

## C. Effect on Chemical Composition:

## 1. Protein percentage:

Results in Tables (21 and 22) show that protein percentage was significantly influenced by seeding rates. The percentage of protein in the leaves, stems and whole plant decreased with the increase in the seed rate up to 20 kg/fad. in the two successive seasons. These reduction in protein percentage at higher seeding rates, might be attributed to the competition between plants for growth factors namely, water and nutrients, which are necessary for protein formation. These findings are in general agreement with those reported by Koller and Clark (1965), Koller and Scholl (1968), Worker (1973) and Ebrahim (1982). They reported that the protein percentage in the different plant organs decreased consistantly with increasing seed rate at each cut.

Effect of seeding rates on the protein yield (kg/fad.) of sorghum in 1981 and 1982 seasons. Table 20:

Seeding rates	1981 season	_ 1982 season
kg/fad.	lgttcut 2ndtcut Total Rel- yield ative	lst cut 2nd++cut Total Relative
10	001	167.88 c 99.16 c 267.04 c 100
15		184.80 b 113.93b 298.73 b 112
20	200.23 a 117.46 a 317.69 a 126 201.	201.03 a 126.23a 327.26 a 123

45 days after first cut

**+** 

+ 60 day after sowing

Effect of seeding rates on chemical composition of sorghum plant in 1981 Beason. Table 21 :

		Protein	<i>P6</i>	Carbohy	Carbohydrate %	- HCN %	<i>8</i> %
Seeding rates kg/fad.	Leaves	Stems	Whole plant	Leaves	Stems	Whole Leaves Siplant	Whole Stems plant
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	80	rst cutti	ng (60 d	First cutting (60 days after sowing)	
10	8.49 в	5.42 a	6.10 a	26.98 c	24.38 c	24.95 b 0.618 c 0.874	874 c 0.817 a
15	8.13 ab 5.12	5.12 ab	5.77ab	29.89 b	26.57 b	27.29ab 0.662 b 1.026 b	026 b 0.946 ab
20	7.74 b	4.82 b	5.45 b	31.86 &	28.63 a	29.32 a 0.727 a 1.103	103 a 1.021 b
			b.	Second cutt	cutting (45	days after first cut)	i <del>t</del> ).
10	10.71 a	6.18 a	6.21 a	25.82 c	22.87 c	23.63 b 0.515 c 0.819 c 0.739	.819 с 0.739 в
15	9.75 ab	ab 5.82 b	5.92ab	28.74 b	25.18 b	26.08ab 0.572 b 0.898	898 b 0.815 ab
20	9.40 b	5.50 b	5.55 b	30.70a	27.11 a	28.02 a 0.623 a 0.991	991 a 0.896 b

-ره-

Effect of seeding rates on chemical composition of sorghum plant in 1982 season. Table 22 :

Seeding rates		Protein %		Carbol	Carbohydrate %		HCN %
kg/fad.	Leaves	Stems	Whole plant	Leaves	Stems Whole	le Leaves at	Whole Stems plant
			œ	First cu	First cutting (60 days	after	sowing)
10	8.62 a	5.56 B	6.21 a 2	29.47 c	25,46 c 26,32 b		0.559 c 0.819c 0.763
15	8.26 ab	5.29 ab	5.92ab	31.81 b	27.83 b 28.68ab		0.605 b 0.974b 0.894
20	7.89 b	4.94 b	5.55b	33.98 в	29.97 a 30.81a		0.663 в 1.056в 0.973
			ပံ	· -	Second cutting (45 days		after first cut)
10	10.34 a	6.23 в	7.41 a 2	а 28.51 с	24.31 c 25.52 b		0.461 c 0.761c 0.674
15	9.94 ab	5.87 b	7.04ab	30.85 b	26.49 b 27.75ab	0.512	b 0.864b 0.762
20	9.58 b	5.54 b	6.71 b 33.03	33.03 в	28.56 a 29.76	6 a 0.572 a	. 0.958a 0.838
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1	1 1 1	1		

#### 2. Carbohydrate percentage:

Data reported in Tables (21 and 22) showed that the carbohydrate percentage was significantly affected to different extents by plant population (seeding rates). Carbohydrate percentage in leaves, stems as well as whole plant become greater by increasing seed rate from 10 to 20 kg/fad. These increases in carbohydrate content resulted from the increases in seed rate were quite expected, since the leaf: stem ratio was significantly increased in the same trend. Similar results were obtained by Mc Bee and Miller (1982). They reported that the closer-spaced plants were significantly higher in total carbodydrates. Whereas, Eilrich et al. (1964), reported that carbodydrates percentage was not significantly affected by plant populations.

## 3. Hydrocyanic acid content (HCN):

The effect of seeding rates on HCN content were significant at each cut in the two seasons (Tables 21 and 22). Increasing plant population by increasing seeding rate increased HCN% in leaves, stems as well as whole plant. In 1981 season, it ranged in whole plant from 25 to 9% and from 21 to 11% in the first and second cuts, when seeding

rate increased from 10 to 20 kg/fad. The same trend hold true as previously shown in the second season. Whereas, Fergany (1967), found that seeding rate had no significant effect on HCN acid content.

## IV. Effect of the Interactions:

1. Effect on the interaction between varieties and sowing dates:

The effect of the interaction between sowing date and varieties on the growth characters, yield and chemical composition was not significant in the 1981 season. Results in Table (23) indicated clearly that the effect of the interaction of sowing date with varieties on dry weight of leaves/plant, forage fresh yield and carbohydrate content in leaves and stems was significantly confirmed at the 1 st and 2 nd cut in 1982 season. The highest values from the previous characters resulted from sowing Pioneer (988) on May 17 th, while the lowest one was obtained from Sudan (2) sown on June 16 th and July 1 st.

From the previous results it is clear that high fresh forage yield/fad. can be achieved by sowing Pioneer (988) or the new varieties of high yielding capacity during May.

2. Effect of the interaction between sowing dates and seeding rates:

Data represented in Table (24) showed that the effect of the interaction of sowing dates with seeding rates on LAI in the two seasons and on protein yield in the first

Table 23: Effect of the interaction between varieties and sowing dates on some characters of northum in 1982 season.

4 2 B + 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	Variet- Dr	 y wei-	Fresh	Carb	ohydrate	*
Sowing	ies gh le	t of aves/(	yield ton/	Leaves at 1 st	Loaves at 2 <u>nd</u> cut	Stems
	Pioneer 10	),00 a 2	20,20 a	38.89 a	37.95 a	34.83 a
17 th May	Sordan (79)	7.97 c :	17.84 a	33.94 ¢	32.98 c	29.94 0
G	Sudangrass i 28 (2)	7.66 a :	11.42 b	31,55 4	30.62 d	27.56 d
	Pioneer (988)	8.97 b	11.49 b	35.56 b	34.71 b	31.56 ъ
1 at June	Sordan (79)	7.59 0	10.42 b	32,22 d	31.28 d	28.22 d
·	Sudangmer Gizā(2)	7.15cd	8,51 1	29.89 f	29.21 •	26.00 e
	Pioneer (988)	7.43 c	10,33 1	32.67 d	31.62 d	28.67 d
16 <u>th</u> June	Sordan (79)	6.64 d	9,62	b 30,39 G	29.34 0	26,38 e
	Sudangras Gizā(2)	6.12 d	8.03	b 27.89 e	26,88 <b>f</b>	23.89 ef
	(988)					27.39 de
l <u>st</u> July	(79)				28.43 •	
·	Giza (2)	64.89e			26.07 f	23.17 f

Table 24; Effect of the interaction between sowing dates and seeding rates on some characters of sorghum in 1981 and 1982 seasons.

	Seeding	1981 5	Season	1982 <b>S</b> eas	on
Sowing	rates kg/fad.	LAI at the 2 <u>nd</u> cut	Protein yield kg/ fad. 2nd cut		LAI at the 2 <u>nd</u> cut
	10	3.85 c	135.94 c	7.57 d	3.68 c
17 th May	15	4.90 b	151.80 b	9.49 Ъ	4.71 b
	20	5.98 a	165.68 a	11.18a	5.74 a
	10	2.76 e	100.39 f	5.95 e	2.40 e
l st June	15	3.50cd	122.79 d	7.23 đ	3.76 c
	20	3.96 c	135.57 c	8.64 c	3.90 c
	10	2.51 e	90.68 g	5.54 e	2.18 ef
16 th June	15	3.22 d	100.96 f		2.82 e
mo ==	20	3.63 c	112.91 e	6.83de	3.37 cd
	10	1.68 f	69.60 1	3.36g	1.87 f
l st July	15	2.07ef	80.15 k	4.42f	2.36 e
	20	2.43 e	90.75 g	5.18ef	2.82 e

were more clear when the sorghum plant sown late on July 1 st, whereas this effect decreased with earler sowing dates on May 17 th. The highest protein yield was obtained from higher seeding rate (20 kg/fad.) of sorghum plant at 2 nd cut when sown early on May 17 th, whereas, the lowest protein yield was obtained from 10 kg/fad. when sown late on July 1 st.

# 3. Effect of the interaction between varieties and seeding rates:

The results in Table (25) indicate that the effect of the interaction of varieties and seeding rates on L.A.I and the number of stems/ m<sup>2</sup> was significant in 1981 season, while in the second season, the effect was significant on stem diameter, L.A.I. and the carbohydrate percentage of stems.

In 1981 season, the highest number of stems/m<sup>2</sup> as well as L.A.I. was obtained from Pioneer (988) and seeding rate 20 kg/fad. While the lowest number of stems/m<sup>2</sup> and L.A.I. was obtained from Sudan (2) and 10 kg/fad. (Table 25).

In 1982 season, the effect of the interaction on L.A.I. was similar as in the first season. On the other

Table 25: Effect of the interaction between varieties and seeding rates on some characters of sorghum in 1981 and 1982.

	Seeding	198	l Season	198	2 Seasc	on
Varieties	rates kg/fad.	No. of Stems/ m <sup>2</sup>	LAI	Stem diameter (mm)	LAI	Carboh- drate of stem
	10	18.86 d	6.37 d	11.63 a	6.69	25.66 e
Pioneer (988)	15	21.90 b	7.45 c	10.70 ъ	8.20 t	27.63 c
	20	24.64 a	8.52 a	9.91 d	9.86 ε	a 29.83 a
	10	16.56 e	5.67 e	10.97 b	5.31 d	1 24.79 <b>f</b>
Sordan (79)	15	18.48 d	6.73 d	10.52 bc	6.98 d	26.33 d
	20	20.11 c	7.89 ъ	9.80 d	8.43 h	28.58 b
	10		_	10.45 c		_
Sudangrass	15	16.32 e	5.02 f	10.00 a	4.84 6	25.50 e
Giza (2)	20	18.55 d	5.81 e	9.50 e	5.58 d	1 2 <b>7.</b> 25 c

hand, the highest stem diameter was obtained from Pioneer (988) with the lowest seeding rate (10 kg/fad.), whereas the lowest stem diameter was obtained from Sudan (2) and 20 kg seeds/fad. such effect was expected since varieties and seeding rates had significant effect on the number of stems/ $m^2$ .

4. Effect of the intraction between sowing dates, varieties and seeding rates:

The effect of the interaction of sowing dates, varieties and seed rate on the L.A.I. as well as protein yield was significantly at the first cut in 1981 season (Tables 26 and 27).

The highest L.A.I. as well as protein yield/fad. at the 1 st cut in 1981 season was obtained from Pioneer (988) when sown on May 17 th with the highest seeding rate (20 kg/fad.). On the other hand the lowest values from the two previous chatacters were obtained from Sudan (2) when sown late on July 7 st with the lowest seed rate (10 kg/fad.).

Table 26: Effect of the interaction between sowing dates, varieties and seeding rates on LAI at the first cut in 1981 season.

						1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4				
See	Seeding rates Pionee kg/fed.	Pion	4 (9			Sordan (79)	(62)		Sudengrass Giza (2)	88 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8
Sowing dates	dates	10	15	50	10		_	10	15	20
17 th		<b>'0</b> 0	10.89 c	13.20	в 7.54е	10,33e	12.22b 6.02f		7.24 e	8.12 de
1	June	7.36 e	8.90 d	10.63c 5.9lf	5.91£	7.63e	9.10d	4.58g	9.10d 4.58g 5.17 f	6.19 ef
16 <u>th</u>	June	5.70 £	7.51 e	9.36d	9.36d 4.77fg	5.50e	7.00e 3.16k	3.16k	3.63 &	4.13 8
L m tt	July	4.56 g	5.50 £	6.26e	6.26e 3.02k	4.538	5.40f 2.50k	2.50k	3.31gk	3.90 €

Table 27: Effect of the interaction between sowing dates, varieties and seeding rates on protein yield (kg/fad.) at the first cut in 1981 season.

Seeding rates Pioneer (988 kg/fad.		Pioneer (988)	3)	Sorde	Sordan (79)		Su	Sud <b>angrass Giza</b> (2)	Giza
ng date	707	15	20	10	15	20	10	15	20
17 th May		230.47 b 238.12 b 267.89 a 221.39c 229.00bc 265.26a 182.31de 193.93d	o 267.89 a	. 221,390	229.00bc	265.26а	182.31de	193.93d	201.33 cd
I st June	214.19 c		224.02 c 240.22ab 200.99d 216.22 c 220.90€ 130.97g 177.68e	200.99d	216.22 c	220.90€	130.978	177.68e	192.69 d
16 th June	172.25	e 185,20 d	185.20 d 199.93 d 147.14f 156.79 f 176.01e 124.20gk 145.04f	147.14£	156.79 f	176.01e	124.20gk	145.04f	159.93 ₽
1 at July	129.14 g		148.89 f 171.20 e 122.54k 137.35fg 160.66ef 112.55k 129.59g	122.54k	137.35fg	160,66ef	.112.55k	129.59g	146.70 f