1- INTRODUCTION

Maximum level and stability of performance are two features desired in an agricultural variety. Therefore, many investigators suggested different methods for measuring performance stability for different genotypes over varied environments. The method outlined by Eberhart and Russell (1966) was widely applied for different field crops. Tai (1971) suggested an accurate and easy method for defining stability level for each genotype, an extended method of Eberhart and Russell. Therefore, this method was chosen since 1978, to be applied in the Egyptian cotton breeding program.

Both of these methods use the linear regression approach to analysing genotype - environment interaction. Westcoll (1986) reviewed and evaluated different stability methods. He concluded that methods involving the linear regression approach and related stability parameters cannot be recommended, because the defects of these methods cannot be overcome by the use of either cluster analysis or principal components analysis.

In the last two decades, many investigators emphasized the importance of Additive Main Effects and Multiplicative Interaction (AMMI) method as a tool for measuring stability in yield trials. Gauch (1992), proposed the advantages of AMMI method compared with other methods. Moreover, he explained the statistical procedures.

Therefore, the present investigation was designed to compare three methods for measuring stability to define the accurate method which should be applied to the Egyptian cotton breeding program. The three methods were AMMI, Tai and Eberhart and Russell.