RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

I- Analysis of variance, mean and heterosis:

a: Protein and amino acids determined as mg/g sample:

In this study, protein and seventeen amino acids namely, lysine, leucine, isoleucine, cysteine, methionine, phenylalanine, tyrosine, threonine, valine, histidine, arginine, aspartic, glutamic, serine, proline, glycine, alanine, total amino acids, essential and nonessential amino acids were determined. Also, the ratios between essential and nonessential amino acids as well as essential to total amino acids, were computed.

The analysis of variance for protein and amino acids content determined as mg/g sample is presented in Table (4). Results indicated that genotypes mean squares were highly significant for the protein content and all the amino acids studied except cysteine. Results also showed that mean squares due to parents were significant for all traits studied except cysteine.

Mean performance of the five wheat parents is presented in Table (5). Results indicated that parental variety P2 had the highest protein content (14.01%) followed by the variety P3 (13.42%). Moreover, this particular variety (P2) gave the highest mean value for: lysine, leucine, isoleucine, methionine, phenylalanine, glutamic acid, serine, and essential and nonessential amino acids content. It is also clear that the parental variety P3 which ranked the second best for protein content had the highest mean values for tyrosine, threonine, valine, histidine, aspartic acid, proline, glycine, and alanine. The variety P1 expressed the highest ratio

Table (4): Observed mean squares from ordinary analysis for protein and amino acids determined as mg/g sample.

Source of d.f Protein lysine	d.f	Protein	lysine	Leucine	Isoleuc-	Methio-	Phenyl	Threonine	Valine	Histidine	Arginine	Tyrosine
variation					ine	nine	alanine					
Rep.	=	0.34	8.33	0.17	83.4	58.00	12.67	11.79	42.77	14.43	19.25	2.06
Genotypes	14	4.33**	32.18**	172.38**	111.13**	13.43**	152.26**	32.93**	150.82**	31.54**	276.14**	33.72**
Parents	4	7.33**	37.32**	182.41**	162.60**	11.316*	164.42**	26.39**	175.20**	51.69**	452.44**	46.98**
Crosses	9	3.41**	33.46**	169.97**	176.62**	15.23**	129.56**	17.52*	132.45**	18.01**	156.25**	4.56*
Parents vs	-	0.62	0.12	153.89**	215.89**	5.64	307.93**	197.74**	218.60**	72.68**	650.03**	153.08**
crosses												
Error	14	14 0.36	4.14	8.632	3.53	2.44	4.62	4.39	2.26	2.97	16.96	4.56
									-			

* and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Table (4): Cont.

									Essential	Non-	Total	Esesential	Esesential Essential
2	•	Assortic	Comment of the Comment		Droline	Clyrine	Alanine Cysteine	Cysteine		essential	amino	non .	total
Source of G.I Asia	5	Pio Pio			7111011			•	acide	Outune	acids	essential	2mino
variation			Beid							ecide		amio	acids
										#CI (C)		acids	
Ren	<u> -</u>	1 142 50	17.40	0.46	30.90	0.32	12.92	0.02	416.00	317.00	1464.00	2.05	0.29
		2011		}					*	**	*	*	**
Genotypes	14	**	*	*	**	*	*		•		£ (,	
		133 39	133 39 488 96	75.47	1771.55	32.23	24.23	99.0	5305.36	6518.14	21927.93	34.51	3.99
Dorrante	_	*	4	*	*	*	*		**	* *	*	*	*
THE THE		7675	4000	101 22	7207	22.20	15.43	0.54	608194	951838	29933 25	17.60	1.89
		1/4./4	1/4./4 330.46	67.101	_		J	10:5	/***	**	_		*
Crosses	6	*	**	*	*		*			•			, ;
		109.16	109.16 270.83	71.98	1708.66	8.16	26.10	0.77	4188.19	5871.44	18954.56	Ñ	2.41
Parents vs	,	**	*		*	*	**		*		##	*	*
crosses	l	186 11	186 11 2286 09	3.77	244.91	284.99	42.68	0.12	12253.50 337.50	337.50	16667.00 231.70	231.70	26.64
Error	14	14 11.69	23.97	6.99	11.88	5.33	5.33 3.40 0.92	0.92	167.82	165.50	594.44	594.44 1.53	0.18
			ı										

* and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

T. L. (6).	T. L. (E). Constitute mean performance for protein percentage and amino acids determined as mg/g sample.	moon norfo	rmance for	r protein	percentage a	ind amino	icids detern	nined as n	ig/g sampi	֓֡֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓	
Genotypes	Protein	Lysine	Leucine	Isoleucine	Methionine	Phenyelal	Threonine	Valine	Histidine	Arginine	Tyrosine
		•				anine					
	12.04	43 07 L	80.25 f.h	45 17 p.f	11.80 d-f	54.24 g-h	40.88 b	69.70 d	41.11 b-с	80.57 a	35.37 b-f
<u> </u>	13.01 a-e	0 /0.74	103 70 6	65 74 9	17.10 sh	78.87 a	41.81 b	80.28 b	40.32 b-с	81.52 а	44.43 a
2 2	14.01 a-D	# 40.04 # FC 00	105.70 8	63.04 ah	13.63 h-e	67.47 bc	47.03 a	83.58 я	46.25 в	76.14 ab	45.73 a
<u>.</u>	15.42 a-d	39.37 ca	D-01 (7.15	63.63	10 03 d.f	62 47 ce	39.86 bc	63.36 f	33.57 d	51.64 f-h	36.92 b-e
P4	9.32 h	39.53 cd	85.79 d-t	24.30 CU	13.87 0.0	62 14 d-f	37.12 b-e	63.76 f	35.07 d	52.77 f-h	36.72 b-f
<u> </u>	11.24 f-g	41.07 cd	81.40 e-n	40.34 U-1	13,07 4.5	40.78;	39 72 hc	62.98 f	36.90 cd	48.25 g-h	33.88 d-f
P1 x P2	12.55 c-f	39.56 cd	75.38 g-h	51.66 cd	1 40.0	27.40	36 36 90	77.17	36.83 cd	59.25 d-f	32.06 e-f
x P3	11.72 e-f	40.27 cd	75.02 h	53.61 c	10.93 d-1	III 96.26	3-3 07.66	1000	7 60 66	47.45 0.0	31 60 f
x P4	10.06 g-h	37.69 d	74.82 h	39.16 h	11.59 d-f	49.13 i	33.16 e	n 88.86	n 76.76	g-3 C+1/+	20000
7 PS		38.07 cd	77.82 g-h	40.72 gh	10.39 c-e	48.62 i	32.17 e	58.29 g	33.91 d	45.76 h	33.89 C-1
במיי נמ		48.72 a	92 92 bc	48.93 de	12.87 c-e	64.64 b-d	38.36 b-d	73.78 c	36.19 c-d	68.77 b-d	38.16 b-c
C1 X 41	-	10/10	08 50 0	52 03 cd	12.83 a-d	68.63 b	32.83 e	67.36 de	35.99 cd	69.47 bc	36.37 b-f
יין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין אין		40.69.04	77 07 g-h	51.66 cd	14.54 a-d	59.01 e-g	34.67 de	64.84 e-f	33.52 d	60.50 b	34.86 b-f
A L3		45 00 sh	87.54 6-6	51.14 cd	17.15 a	66.19 b-d	39.51 bc	77.64 b	36.23 cd	63.11 с-е	37.69 b-d
FJ X CJ		40 05 au	05.62 h	59 59 h	16.30 a-c	67.14 b-d	39.01 bd	78.88 b	43.38 ab	66.34 c-e	39.27 b
K 7.		40.00 %	02 13 0 45	44 64 6-0	10.32 e-f	57.38 f-h	34.26 de	64.57 e-f	33.14 d	57.63 с-с	32.66 d-f
74× 5	7	47.31 D-0	9-2 CT 70	2 101	000 0	0 666 %	0 640*	0.517	0.500	-0.040	0.724 *
L	0.197	-0.190	0.448	0.609 *	0.099	0.003	0.010	1 72:0			

r: Correlation coefficient between mid parent and F1 mean performance.

Values followed by the same letters are not different at probability 0.05 by Duncan's L.S.R test

* Significant at 0.05 level of probability.

. . .

Table (5): Cont	Cont.								-	ľ		
Genotypes Aspartic	Aspartic	Glutamic	Serine	Proline	Glycine	Alanine	Cysteine	Essential amino acids	Non essential amino acids	Total amino acids	Essential/ non essential amino acids	Essential / total amino acids
P1	68.16 cd	207.73 f	51.06 e-h	140.52 e	44.34 ab	43.82 b-d	1.01	466.22 cd	592.29 ef	1058.52 de	78.71 a	44.04 a
P2	81.87 a	237.22 c	65.04 m	203.64 ab	48.31 a	47.40 ab	0.89	559.18 а	728.80 a	1287.98 a	76.72 ab	43.42 ab
P3	83.13 a	223.90 de	57.32 b-e	208.93 а	49.15 a	48.98 a	0.94	527.83 b	718.03 æ	1245.86 ab	73.52 cd	42.36 cd
P4	65.23 c-e	201.69 f	47.30 g-i	154.59 d	41.19 be	43.31 b-d	0.00	439.74 de	590.23 ef	1029.96 e	74.50 bc	42.69 bc
PS	63.72 de	236.54 c	49.98 f-i	141.08 e	43.13 bc	42.70 cd	0.00	534.72 e	613.87 de	1049.60 de	70.98 e	41.51 de
P1 x P2	63.15 de	232.49.cd	44.09 i	170.20 c	37.54 d-f	41.21 c-e	0.44	411.81 ef	623.46 cd	1035.27 de	66.05 g	39.78 f
x P3	65.96 c−€	248.59 ab	52.14 e-h	171.16 c	39.84 b-f	39.70 de	0.00	425.31 e	649.44 bc	1074.74 de	65.49 g	39.57 f
x P4	58.43 e-f	218.74 e	46.87 h-i	116.47 f	36.70 e-f	38.28 e	0.93	379.54 g	548.38 g	927.91 f	69.21 ef	40.90 €
x PS	53.91 f	240.11 bc	50.52 f-h	118.83 f	35.74 f	38.71 e	00.00	385.76 fg	571.70 fg	957.46 f	67.46 fg	40.28 f
P2 x P3	72.68 bc	232.29 cd	62.48 ab	154.24 d	37.49 d-f	47.20 ab	0.33	484.60 c	645.48 bc	1130.08 с	75.07 bc	42.88 bc
x P4	69.45 cd	249.60 ab	58.76 b-d	155.98 d	38.99 b-f	43.54 b-d	96.0	478.74 c	654.50 b	1133.24 с	73.15 cd	42.25 cd
x P5	72.03 bc	240.59 bc	53.26 d-g	172.97 c	38.32 c-f	43.10 b-d	1.42	435.73 e	657.32 b	1093.05 d	66.29 g	39.87 f
P3 x P4	71.72 b-d	252.72 a	55.00 c-f	204.72 ab	42.34 b-d	45.50 a-c	1.88	484.99 c	710.99 a	1195.98 b	68.22 e-g	40.55 ef
x P5		7 254.64 m	60.99 а-с	200.65 b	41.22 b-e	48.92 æ	0.80	514.99 b	725.49 2	1240.48 ab	70.99 de	41.52 de
P4 x P5	65.15 c-e	229.57 c-e	49.76 f-i	171.69 c	38.69 c-f	40.94 de	0.22	427.19 b	628.45bd	1055.64 de	67.97 fg	40.46 f
	9090	0.266	0.420	0.489	0.205	₩ 1990		0.475	0.600	0.565	-0.150	-0.148

r: Correlation coefficient between mid parent and F1 mean performance.

Values followed by the same letters are not different at probability 0.05 by Duncan's L.S.R test

* Significant at 0.05 level of probability.

between essential and nonessential amino acids as well as the ratio

It could be concluded that the parental varieties P2 and P3 were the best among the five varieties studied in relation to protein and amino acid content.

Results in Table (4) also showed that crosses mean squares were significant for protein content and all amino acids studied except for cysteine and glycine. Such result reflects the genetic variability among the crosses studied for the protein and amino acid content.

It is clear that the F1 hybrid P3 x P5 produced the highest protein content (14.37%) without significant difference from the highest parent (P2). None of the hybrids surpassed the highest parent for the amino acids except for glutamic scid where four hybrids rxceeded the highest parent However, the previous cross (P3 x P5) gave the highest mean values for; lysine, isoleucine, valine, histidine, tyrosine, aspartic acid, glutamic acid, proline, and alanine, as well as essential, nonessential and total The hybrid P2 x P4 expressed the best values for leucine, amino acids. and phenylalanine. Whereas, the cross P3 x P4 had the highest mean value for methionine, glutamic acid, glycine and nonessential amino acids. The single cross P2 x P3 appeared to be the best combination for the ratio of essential/ nonessential amino acids beside the ratio of essential/ total acids. On the other hand, the hybrid P1 x P4'seemed to be the worst since it exhibited the lowest values for twelve out of the twenty two traits studied.

In this concern, Aykroyd and Doughty (1970) and Johnson et al (1985) reported that the most limited amino acid in wheat proteins was lysine. Accordingly, The F₁ cross P3 x P5 was the best combination

among the ten tested crosses since it had the highest values for protein and lysine in addition to other seven amino acids.

Such variability among wheat hybrids for protein and amino acid content was previously reported by Ewart (1973), Laflandra et al (197' and Luce et al (1989).

Significant mean squares due to parents vs. crosses as an indicate of average heterosis overall crosses were detected for protein conter all amino acids except lysine, cysteine, methionine, serir nonessential amino acids (Table, 4).

Heterosis expressed as the percentage deviation of F₁ mean from the mid and better parent for protein and amino acids determined as mg/g N is presented in Table (6). For protein content, two crosses namely, (P3 x P4) and (P3 x P5) produced the most desirable mid-parent heterosis since they expressed positive and highly significant heterotic effect. The respective heterosis percentages were 20.19 and 16.55%. Similar results were reported by Mohd et al (1981), Bhowmik et al (1982), and Hraska and Brindza (1987).

Regarding better- parent heterosis, none of the crosses showed significant effect for protein content. Such results are in good agreement with those reported by Hraska et al (1981) a and Sampson et al (1983).

For lysine content, the two crosses (P3 x P4) and (P3 x P5) exhibited significant positive heterosis over both mid and better parent. Such result means that these crosses had the most desirable heterotic effects for lysine amino acid.

Concerning leucine content, one cross only (P3 x P5) expressed significant and positive heterotic effect relative to mid parent. None of the

Threonine Table (6): Percentage of heterosis over both mid parent (MP) and better parent (BP) for protein and amino acids determined as mg/g sample.

	43 m 6/6 cm . r								Methionine	nine	Phenylalanine	lanine	THECOMINE	 }
			I vsine	١	Leucine	<u>.</u>	ISOlencine						t	6
Crosses	From			•						and a	MP	RP	MP	BP
		7	r	r c	M.D	NP PP	MP	BP	Mr	Z P	INTE			
	MP	BP	MP	BF	MIL	1	-		**	**	*	# 1 # 1	,	00 7
			į	*	« «	*	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	08 07	-50.05	-25.95	-37.52	-3.92	4.77
		ĸ		20.63	-18.05	-27.31	-6.80	74.17-	-40.07)))	•	**	**	*
P1 x P2	-7.13	-10.46	-14.66	20.04		*		*		01	12.05	-22.39	-19.78	-25.03
		*		,	12.63	17.87	98 0-	-14.96	-14.03	-19.70	CZ:CT-		•	*
102	11 32	-12.67	-2.05	è.	-17.55	70'11-	3	• •			*	* *	70 27	88.81
CIX	10:11-			*		*	* (75.53	1 05	-1.85	-15.79	-21.34	00"/ 1-	20:01-
	-	37.66	2 53	-12.08	-9.88	-12.78	-19.84	65.57-	? 		*	*	*	* 4
x P4	9.90	00.77-	2		_		*	*	100	36.36	16.45	-21.76	-17.51	-21.50
		*		**	2.71	-4 38	-13.04	-16.11	-19.05	00.67-	-10:12	•	*	*
, D	7.47	-13.76	-9.28	11.10	_	2		*		*	*		13.63	-18.42
C1 *	:	-		*		* *		75.57	16.26	-24.77	-11.66	-18.04	-13.03	
	1		0 33	-2.24	-4.70	-10.40	-24.01	16.67-		:		**	*	*
P2 x P3	-7.68	\$ ^-	7.43				*	*		k C	300	-12.98	-19.62	-21.49
	_	*	_	* ?	20.6	¢ 03	-12.05	-20.85	-8.43	-24.97	00.7-			*
-	0 47	17.6	-6.16	-15.86	5,40	7.5	1	-			*	*	70.07	17.00
X T4	ř.	: -	_	*	*	*		, ;	613	-14.99	-16.30	-25.18	01.21-	70.11-
			10.40	18 36	-16.72	-25.68	-9.59	74.17-	C1.0-			_		*
x P5	10.64	-0.32	-10.40				*	*	*	K (1 00	1 80	-9.07	-15.99
i	*		*		,	7 1 1	11 54	-18.88	39.69	25.84	707) -	-	*
70 1 00	20.19	1.83	16.34	16.10	CI:T-	11.1	_	_				•	_	17.05
LOYLA	1		*	*	*		30	F 40	18 53	17.49	3.60	-0.49	67:1-	20.11
	« '	1	21 47	18 96	10.74	4.74	0.80	 	-		_	*	_	_
x PS	16.55	16.55 / .00	/ ****	_			*	*	_		1 00	-8.14	-10.99	-14.05
	*	,	_	70	1 76	-4.27	-11.72	-15.12	-16.74	-43.30	4		1	
P4 x P5	-18.59	-18.59 8.46	4.5	֓֟֓֓֓֓֓֟֓֓֓֟֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֟֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֓֟֓֓֓֓			Potively.							
is a similar of the standard of the standard of the specifical of the specific of the standard of the specific of the standard of the specific of the standard of the specific	nificent 8	1 0.05 an	10.0 Pt	evels of	probabil	ity, i cop								
Sic ** DUE *	ווווורמווגי	•												

Table (6): Cont. 1

								·					つここつつ			
Crosses Valine	Valin	ي	Histidine	dine	Arginine	nne		2116	1	00	MP	-	MP	BP	MP	BP
	MP	RP	MP	BP	MP	BP	MF	ΒF	MF	Ja		T	T		-	;
						:		*	*	*		_	K	* *	_	16.43
	#	*					5	37.55	15.82	22,87	4.51	-1.99	-24.G	-32.20	-1.10	74.01-
P1 x P2	-16.02	-21.55	-9.37	-10.34	40.47	-40.87	20.CI-	C1.C7-	-							**
	:	-	4	**	**	*	*	*	-	¥ '	K T		9	200	20.0	18.08
i	_	* 0		30.00	24 38	26.45	-20.94	-29.90	-12.80	-20.66	15.19	CO:11	7.7	20.7-		
_ x	-19.40	-26.09	40°CT-					,		•	*	*			4	4
	*	*		**	*	4	,	* ,	_	14.37	78 7	A 20	4.70	-8.21	-21.07	-24.66
74.	18.85	-22.54	-11.83	-19.91	-28.22	-41.10	-12.59	-14.43	-17.37	17.4T-	3				*	*
_	-			1	•	**			•	< *	*	,	,	70.	1771	15.77
	4	*			21 20	42.10	00 Y	7 74	-18 24	-20.90	8.09	1.51	-0.0T	97.	10'51-	
x PS	-12.64	-16.37	-10.98	75./1-	-21.30		17.7-								*	*
_	-	*	*	*			*	# 1 #	,	2 .	41.0	300	213	-3 93	-25.23	-26.17
D2 - D2	900	11 73	16.40	-21.76	-12.77	-15.65	-15,34	-16.54	-11:71	-17.30	6.73	20.4				•
LTX LD	5.75	7/11-	_	_	_			•		*	*	4		:		
	*	##			_	E I			6.67	15 16	13.74	5.22	4.62	-9.65	-12.92	-23.40
× P4	-6.22	-16.10	-2.58	-10.74	4.34	-14.78	-10.59	-10.15	/5.5-	713.17			•	*		*
		;	-			*	*	*	,	*	1	,	•	10.13	72.0	1505
}		* * *	11.07	16.87	080	-25.78	-14.08	-21.53	-1.05	-12.02	1.57	1.47	04./-	-10.14	3	20.54
<u>۔</u>	7.7	C7.KI-		_	}			•		*	*	*			*	ì
	«	*		_	_	_	9	17.50	1 37	-13.72	18.76	12.87	5.15	4. 2	12.64	-2.01
P3 x P4	5.68	-7.10	-9.20	-21.65	-1.23	71./1-	0.0	(C./ I.			;	•	*		*	«
		*		_		*		_	_	8	17 01	7 66	13.69	6.41	14.65	-3.96
, PS	7.07	-5.63	6.68	-6.22	2.92	-12.87	4.75	-14.12	7.45	٠. ج	10.01	3	2.51	<u>:</u>	:	*
-	_	-		_		_										74.0
	-		1 63	375	10 38	9.20	-11.30	-11.53	1.04	-0.13	4.77	- 2.95	2.30	-0.44	16.13	11.80
PAXPS	P4 x F5 1.59 1.26 -1.07 -5.70	1.25	21.0	2					1							
	right significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.	ant of A	05 and (1.01 leve	ls of pro	bability	respect	ively.								
	. Signific	שוושוסי				•	•	•								

Table (6): Cont. 2						9	Non	accential	Total	amino	Essential/	non	Essential	tial /
Crosses	Glycine	ne	Alanine		Essentian acids		9	ids			essential amino acids	nino acids	total a	amino
				6	MB	qq	MD	RD	MP	RP	MP	BP	MP	BP
	MP	BP	Z Z	Βr	ME	Ja .					**	*	**	*
	**	*		*	*	ĸ	ĸ	¥ .	# i	* ()	50	16.00	200	0.68
P1 x P2	-18.97	-22.30	-9.64	-13.05	-19.68	-26.36	-5.62	-14.45	-11.76	-19.67	-15.02	-10.00	.v.v.	3 **
	•		*	*	*	*		* *	*	*	K K	, i		_
. x P3	-14.78	-18.95	-14.44	-18.94	-14.43	-19.42	-0.87	-9.55	-6.72	-13.74	-13.96	-16.79	-8.40	10.15
) 								•			*	*	*	**
	4	*	*	*	«	*	*	* *	*	*		,	96.0	7 12
Pd *	-14.19	-17.25	-12.13	-12.64	-16.21	-18.59	-7.25	-7.41	-11.14	-12.34	-9.60	on:71-	٥.٠	CI:/-
•				1	*	*		**	*	*	K K	K		
76.	10,00	10.40	-10 52	-11 67	-14.46	-17.26	-5.20	-6.87	-9.17	-9.55	-9.87	-14.29	-5.84	-8.55
CJX	-10.67	21.71			*	*	*	*	*	*				1
20 : 00	33.08	23.73	-2.05	-3.63	-10.84	-13.34	-10.77	-11.43	-10.80	-12.26	-0.07	-2.14	-0.02	-1.23
CJX7J	co.cz-	7.7.	2	3	1	*		*		*		*		K
ř	70 67	* 6	3 00	614	4 15	-14.39	-0.76	-10.20	-2.22	-12.01	-3.25	-4.65	-1.88	-2.68
X F4	-17.00	-17.67		1.0	*	*		*	*	*	*	* *	*	*
F	* 57	30.68	7 33	0.07	-12.41	-22.08	-2.09	-9.81	-6.48	-15.13	-10.24	-13.59	-6.12	-8.18
C ×	-10.19	00.07-	} •	?		*	*				*	*	*	*
D2 . D4	76.9	-13.85	1.39	-7.10	0.25	-8.12	8.69	-0.98	5.10	-4.00	-7.82	-8.43	-4.66	-5.01
*1461	27.0	2015	ì		*		*		*					,
	10.65	16.12	6.73	-0.12	689	-2.43	8.94	1.04	8.08	-0.43	-1.74	-3.45	-1.00	-1.99
C X	-10.03	-10.1-	3	11.0	}	<u>:</u>	1				*	*	*	* *
7Q - 7Q	, g , 7,4	10 31	-4 80	-5.48	-2.41	-2.85	4.55	2.37	1.53	0.58	-6.55	-8.77	-3.90	-5.22
CJ V LJ	-17:0-													

* and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

crosses showed significant positive heterosis relative to better parent for leucine content.

Significant and positive heterosis over both mid- and better parent for methionine was obtained by the cross (P3 \times P4) being 39.69 and 25.84% for the respective cases. For valine amino acid, the most desirable heterotic effect relative to mid parent was obtained for the crosses (P3 \times P4) and (P3 \times P5).

Regarding glutamic acid, six and five crosses expressed significant and positive heterotic effect relative to both mid and better parent, respectively. However, the most desirable heterosis was obtained for the cross (P3 x P4) followed by (P1 x P3).

The single cross (P3 x P5) had the best mid parent heterosis for serine amino acid since it exhibited significant and positive heterotic effect for this trait. Concerning proline amino acid, three and one cross showed significant positive heterotic effect relative to mid- and better parent, respectively. The highest values of heterotic effects were obtained for the cross (P4 x P5).

Results also indicated that the cross (P3 x P5) expressed significant and positive mid parent heterosis for essential and nonessential as well as total amino acids. For the rest of the amino acids studied, the F1 crosses showed either no heterosis or significant negative heterotic effects.

It is worth mentioning here that the two crosses (P3 x P4) and (P3 x P5) which expressed desirable mid parent heterosis for protein content showed also desirable heterosis for most of the studied amino acids. Moreover, the cross (P3 x P5), in particular produced the highest mean value for protein and most amino acids as previously mentioned. Such

results indicated that the cross (P3 x P5) might be useful in future wheat breeding programs.

Heterosis for amino acid content was previously reported by several researchers (Hraska et al, 1981- a; and Hraska and Brindza, 1987). On the other hand, Petrosyan and Oganesyan (1982) and Liu et al (1986) found no differences in amino acid composition between the parents and their hybrids.

Significant positive correlation coefficients between mid parent and F1 crosses mean values were detected for the amino acids isoleucine, phenylalanine, tyrosine, threonine and alanine (Table 5). Therefore, a high mean performance of F1 crosses could be expected only from crosses involving parental varieties of high mean values. For the other amino acids insignificant correlation values were detected indicating that certain high and low parental varieties may produce superior single crosses.

b: Other quality traits:

The other quality traits included gluten, hydration coefficient, moisture, ash, fiber and extractions (flour, shorts, fine bran and bran). Analysis of variance for all these traits is presented in Table (7). Significant mean squares due to genotypes along with parents and crosses were detected for gluten, ash, fiber and extracted flour and fine bran. These results indicated clearly that the parental wheat varieties and their crosses differed considerably for these quality traits.

Results in Table (8) showed that variety P2 expressed the best mean performance for gluten and extracted flour being 12.26 and 69.85%, respectively. The parental variety P1 had the highest value for ash

Table (7): Observed mean squares from ordinary analysis for other quality characteristics.

				ı				Fetraction	2	
Source of	d.f	d.f Glutein	Hydration	Moisture	Ash	Fiber	Flour	Shorts	Fine bran	Bran
variation			coefficient							,
		0.53	1402 30	0.31	0.23	0.03	0.16	1.54	0.51	0.01
Rep.	-	CC.0	20:30:4		*	*	*****	0.56	1 64**	1.30
o no transe	14	4.00	561.64	0.03	0.10	cr.0	1.73	20.0	:	
Central		*	207.50	60	0.17**	0.15**	1.13*	0.78	2.67**	1.43
parents	4	2.36	296.38	20.0		4	44		*****	1 38
,	-	3 63**	578.66	0.04	* 0.0	0.11**	2.03	0.49	7.03	1.70
Cresses	<u> </u>	8	<u>.</u>			**	7	0.03	2 73*	0.11
Parents vs		0.70	268.72	0.01	60.0	0.48	1.30	6.0	i	
									_	
crosses					,		700	0.35	0.34	0.63
Error	14	14 0.37	268.34	0.09	0.02	0.03	0.24	20.2		

* and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

Toble (8). Cen	notypes mean be	rformance (in p	ercentages) fo	r other quality	Table (8): Congivers mean performance (in percentages) for other quality characteristics.
Lable (9). Ce	Clutein	Ash	Fiber	Extraction	ion
Genorypes				Flour	Fine bran
D 1	9.98 cd	1.93 а	2.70 a	68.85 bc	8.80 я
7.7 D3	12.26 a	1.89 ab	2.03 b-d	69.85 ab	8.35 ab
<u>17</u>	11 36 а-с	1.72 a-d	2.20 bc	68.60 bc	9.00 a
D4	7.82 e	1.30 g-h	2.42 ab	67.85 cd	8.55 a
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	9.43 d	1.38 d-h	2.11 bc	69.30 ab	6.15 d
P1 x P2	11.01 a-c	1.19 h	1.85 с-е	69.20 ac	6.95 cd
x P3	10.19 cd	1.63 a-g	2.22 bc	70.35 a	6.95 cd
x P4	7.55 e	1.65 a-f	2.37 ab	68.45 b-d	7.80 а-с
× P5	9.33 d	1.59 b-g	2.26 b	69.55 ab	7.00 b-d
•	10.53 cd	1.72 a-c	2.05 bc	68.80 bc	6.75 cd
x P4	10.77 b-d	1.35 e-h	2.07 bc	69.80 ab	6.90 cd
x P5	12.25 a	1.49 c-h	1.68 de	69.85 ab	8.00 а-с
	11.15 a-c	1.68 а-е	1.65 e	69.70 ab	7.70 а-с
x P5	12.00 ab	1.68 а-е	2.05 bc	70.60 а	8.45 a
P4 x P5	10.17 cd	1.33 f-h	2.03 b-d	67.15 d	8.80 a
	0.620	.0 031	0.589	0.245	-0.606
_	0.250				

r: Correlation coefficient between mid parent and F1 mean performance. Values followed by the same letters are not different at probability 0.05 by Duncan's L.S.R test

(1.93%) and fiber (2.70%). Also, the highest value for extracted fine bran was recorded for the variety P3.

It is also clear that the F_1 cross (P2 x P5) produced the highest gluten content followed by the cross (P3 x P5). For ash content, the hybrid (P2 x P3) expressed the best mean value (1.72%). Also, the F_1 combination (P1 x P4) had the highest fiber content followed by the cross (P1 x P5). For extracted flour and fine bran, the best mean values were recorded for the crosses (P3 x P5) and (P2x P5), respectively.

The variability among wheat varieties and crosses for such traits were previously recorded by Haridas et al (1967), Rasmusson et al (1971), Shellenberger (1971).

Significant mean squares due to parents vs, crosses as indication of average heterosis overall crosses were obtained only for fiber content and extracted fine bran (Table 7).

Heterosis percentage relative to mid parent and better parent for the quality traits studied is presented in Table (9). Results indicated that the most desirable mid parent heterosis for gluten was obtained from the crosses: (P3 x P4), ((P3 x P5) and (P4 x P5). The respective heterotic values were 16.28, 15.42 and 17.94%. None of the crosses showed significant and positive better parent heterosis for gluten fraction. Similar results were obtained by Hraska et al (1986).

For extracted flour, the best mid parent and better parent heterosis was detected for the crosses (P1 x P3) and (P3 x P5) since they expressed significant and positive heterotic effects for this trait. For ash trait none of the crosses showed significant and positive mid parent and better parent heterosis. Regarding fiber content, two and two crosses expressed

Table (9): Percentage of heterosis over both mid parent (MP) and better parent (BP) for other quality characteristics.

								Extraction	ction	
(7	_1	Ach		Fiber		Ē	Flour	Fine	bran
Crosses	T Clurelli	90	MP	RP	MP	BP	MP	BP	MP	BP
	IVIE	ă							*	*
P1 + P2	-1.03	-10.24	-37.61	-38.18	-21.90	-9.11	-0.22	-0.93	-18.95	-16.77
****)						*	* '	* 3	21.03
x P3	-4.44	-10.25	-10.44	-15.33	-9.20	1.14	2.36	2.18	-21.91	70.12-
x P4	-15.15	-24.35	2.02	-14.55	-7.35	-2.07	0.15	-0.58	-10.09	-8.77
x P5	-3.92	-6.56	-4.08	-17.66	-5.93	7.11	69.0	0.36	-6.36	13.82
P2 x P3	-10.90	-14.15	-4.58	-8.99	-3.20	0.74	-0.61	-1.50	-22.19	-19.16
7d ×	7.29	-12.15	15.67	-28.84	-7.19	1.72	1.38	-0.07	-18.34	-17.36
. PS	12.96	-0.08	-9.17	-21.43	-18.84	-17.24	0.40	0.00	10.36	30.08
P3 x P4	16.28	-1.88	11.11	-2.33	-28.49	-24.83	2.16	1.60	-12.25	-9.94
x P5	15.42	5.61	8.24	-2.33	-4.78	-2.84	2.39	1.88	11.55	37.40
P4 x P5	17.94	7.85	-0.75	-3.62	-10.38	-3.79	-2.08	-3.10	19.73	43.09

* and ** significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively.

desirable heterotic effects (significantly negative values) relative to mid parent and better parent, respectively. For fine bran, four crosses exhibited significant and negative heterotic effects relative to both midand better parent.

Insignificant correlation values between mid parent and F₁ mean values were detected for gluten, ash, fiber, and extracted flour and fine bran (Table, 8). Such results indicated clearly that the best F1 hybrids may be produced from crossing between certain high- and low- quality parents.

II- Combining ability analysis:

General and specific combining abilities(GCA and SCA) were estimated for all traits studied according to Griffing (1956) method 2 model 1 as follows:

a: Protein and amino acids determined as mg/g sample:

The variance associated with general and specific combining ability for protein and amino acids determined as mg/g sample is presented in Table (13). Significant mean squares due to both general and specific combining ability were detected for all traits studied. Such results showed that both additive and non additive gene actions were important in the inheritance of protein and amino acid contents. On comparing the magnitude of GCA/SCA, it is clear that the high ratios which largely exceeded the unity were detected for the protein content and all amino acids except for glycine and the ratios between essential and nonessential amino acids as well as essential to total amino acids. This means that the additive and additive x additive gene action appeared to be the most important components of variance for these traits. In the exceptional