Sero-diagnosis of brucellosis in Gharbiya governorate, Egypt.
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ABSTRACT

A total 1006 animals (300 cattle, 300 buffaloes, and 300 sheep and 106 goats) were selected from private farms and suspected to suffer from brucellosis from different localities in Gharbiya governorate, as well as 50 rats (31 Rattus rattus & 19 Rattus norvegicus) and 15 stray dogs were collected from the same localities associated with examined animals. In addition, 160 persons suffering from fever suspected to be brucellosis were collected (80 workers contact with examined animals and 40 from fever hospitals). Serological tests were carried out by using Rose Bengal plate (RBPT), Buffered Acidified plate test (BAPAT), Complement Fixation test (CFT), Tube Agglutination test (TAT) and 2-Mercapto-Ethanol test (2-MET). The results showed that the percentage of positive reactors were 9%, 7.3%, 9.3%, 8.5%, 8% and 0% using RBPT in cows, buffaloes, sheep, goats, rats and dogs respectively. Meanwhile the percentage of positive reactors using BAPAT was 9.6%, 8.3%, 10.7%, and 9.6% in cows, buffaloes, sheep and goats and by using CFT the percentage was 9.3%, 8%, 10.3% and 10.3% in previously examined animals. Also the result of TAT was 8% in rats and 0.0% in dogs. The occurrence of brucellosis in sheep and goats was higher than cows and buffaloes. Finally, the results in humans were 13.1%, 11.3% and 10% by using RBPT, TAT and 2MET respectively. The incidence of brucellosis was higher in males (14.9%) than females (3.8%) and higher in humans aged between 20-30 years.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Brucellosis is a zoonotic worldwide infectious disease of animal that is caused by a number of adopted species of Gram-negative facultative intracellular bacteria of the genus Brucella, leading to tremendous economic losses as well as a potentially debilitating infection in man (Hosein et al., 2010). Diagnosis of Brucellosis depends on the use of efficient serodiagnostic tests. However, no single test is capable to identify all positive cases (Al-Habaty et al., 2015) as some animals are irregular in their immune response according to such factors as the dose, gestation stage, clinical status and presence of latent carriers (Alton, 1990) as well as difficulty in detection of incubation period of diseased animals or in detecting chronically latent infected cases. Therefore, combination of serological tests should be done to reduce the number of false negative serological reaction which contributes to the persistence of herd problem and also to reduce the number of false positive reaction to avoid over condemnation with test and slaughter policy. The diagnostic serological tests used in the present study were (BAPAT) as a quick simple and qualitative screening test in sera derived from different animals, (RBPT) as a quick simple and qualitative screening test in both sera from humans and animals under investigation, (CFT) in animals as standard quantitative test and confirmatory test to the previous screening tests to find the most sensitive and specific diagnostic test or tests could be adapted under regional condition of Egypt for diagnosis of brucellosis,(TAT) as standard quantitative test in humans besides (2-MET) was used for accurate diagnosis and elimination of non-specific agglutinating antibodies in human sera. Rapid and accurate diagnosis is fundamental for control and eradication of Brucellosis (Refai 2002).

So the aim of this study is to clarify the occurrence of brucellosis in different animal reservoirs and to determine the most reliable tests to be adapted for control of disease in Egypt.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS:

2.1. Samples.
Animal Blood samples: A total of 1006 animals (300 cows, 300 buffaloes, 300 sheep and 106 goats) were selected from private farms and suspected to suffer from brucellosis from different localities in Gharbiya governorate. Blood samples were taken aseptically by veni puncture, where the skin over the jugular vein was prepared by clipping, defatted by rubbing with a swab soaked in alcohol, then disinfected by tincture iodine. About 10 ml of blood were aseptically collected into vacuum bottle. The collected samples were marked, identified and transferred to the laboratory. The clear sera were taken and stored at –20 ºC until use, if not laboratory. The clear sera were taken and stored at 4 ºC for 48 hrs in the refrigerator till use, if not laboratory. The clear sera were taken and stored at 4 °C. It brought outside the refrigerator before its use in the test to reach room temperature.

2.2 Serological examinations

Rose Bengal plate Test (RBPT): it was done according to (OIE, 2015) using *Brucella abortus* antigen for RBPT. This is an 8% Rose Bengal stained *Br. abortus* strain 99 cells in lactate buffer pH (3.65 ± 0.05). Buffered Acidified plate Antigen Test (BAPAT). This test was done according to (OIE, 2015) using *Brucella abortus* antigen for BAPAT. It is a crystal violet brilliant green stained *Br. abortus* strain 99 cells at a concentration of 11% in lactate buffer pH (3.7 ± 0.03).

Complement fixation test (CFT): The test was done as described OIE, (2015) by warm fixation method using *Brucella abortus* concentrate. United States Department of Agriculture standard tube test concentrate (4.5% *Br. abortus* biovar 1 strain 1119-3 cells in phenol saline/final PH 6.8). It was kindly offered by the National Veterinary Service Laboratories (NVSL), Ames, USA.

3. RESULTS

The obtained results in the Table (1) showed the results of different serological tests among different animal species. The percentage of positive reactors were (9.6%, 9% and 9.3% among cows), (8.3%, 7.3% and 8% among buffaloes), (10.7%, 9.3% and 10.3% among sheep) and (10.3%, 8.5% and 10.3% among goats) using BAPAT, RBPT and CFT respectively. The relative lower percentage of positive reactors detected by RBPT (8.5%) than CFT (9.3%) and BAPAT (9.6%). Consequently, the occurrence of brucellosis in rats Table (1) revealed that 4/50 (8%) were positive to *Brucella* out of them 3/31 (9.7%) belong to Rattus rattus and one out of 19 (5.3%) belongs to Rattus norvegicus. The obtained results indicated that examined dogs were free from brucellosis. In this study, the occurrences of *Brucella* infection in examined persons detected serologically using RBPT, TAT and 2-MET Table (2) and revealed that the obtained results by RBPT (13.1%) was higher than TAT (11.3%) and 2-MET (10%). Concerning the highly susceptible age to contract *Brucella* infection in human using RBPT. Table (3) it was found that the infection rate was...
Table (1) Results of different serological tests in different animal species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal species</th>
<th>Total No.</th>
<th>BAPAT +ve %</th>
<th>RBPT +ve %</th>
<th>CFT +ve %</th>
<th>TAT +ve %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cows</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>29 9.6%</td>
<td>27 9%</td>
<td>28 9.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buffaloes</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>25 8.3%</td>
<td>22 7.3%</td>
<td>24 8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>32 10.7%</td>
<td>28 9.3%</td>
<td>31 10.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goats</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>11 10.3%</td>
<td>9 8.5%</td>
<td>11 10.3%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rats</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4 8%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4 8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogs</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1071</td>
<td>97 9.6%</td>
<td>90 8.4%</td>
<td>94 9.3%</td>
<td>4 6.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2): Summarized Results of serological tests in (160) examined persons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of samples</th>
<th>RBPT No.</th>
<th>TAT No.</th>
<th>2-MET No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>21 13.1%</td>
<td>18 11.3%</td>
<td>16 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>139 86.9%</td>
<td>142 88.8%</td>
<td>144 90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3) Occurrence of *Brucella* infection among examined persons regarding to age and gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age /year</th>
<th>Total No. of examined persons</th>
<th>Males No.</th>
<th>Females No.</th>
<th>Total positive No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 20</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21 9.5%</td>
<td>2 0.0%</td>
<td>2 9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>42 23.8%</td>
<td>7 0.0%</td>
<td>10 47.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-40</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>29 17.2%</td>
<td>9 11.1%</td>
<td>6 28.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-50</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>28 10.7%</td>
<td>5 0.0%</td>
<td>3 14.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14 0.0%</td>
<td>3 0.0%</td>
<td>0 0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>134 14.9%</td>
<td>26 3.8%</td>
<td>21 13.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
high in 20-30 years (47.6%) followed by 30-40 years (28.6%), 40-50 years (14.3%) and <20 years (9.5%). Also evident that the infection rate was higher in males (14.9%) than females (3.8%).

4. DISCUSSION

The diagnostic serological tests used in the present study were (BAPAT) as a quick simple and qualitative screening test in sera derived from different animals, (RBPT) as a quick simple and qualitative screening test in both sera from humans and animals under investigation, (CFT) in animals as standard quantitative test and confirmatory test to the previous screening tests to find the most sensitive and specific diagnostic tests could be adapted under regional condition of Egypt for diagnosis of brucellosis, (TAT) as standard quantitative test in humans besides (2-MET) was used for accurate diagnosis and elimination of nonspecific agglutinating antibodies in humans sera. Table (1) indicated that BAPAT gave higher positive rate than RBPT among the different animals’ species. These results come in accordance with (El –Diasty 2004, Lobna 2006, Montasser et al., 2011, Hammad 2013 and El-Shymaa 2014) who reported that BAPAT was the most sensitive test also The same conclusion was also reported by (Ali et al. 2005, Khoudair et al. 2009 and Amin et al. 2012) who reported that the use of BAPAT as a presumptive test is recommended for its higher sensitivity and specificity. Moreover, an advantage reported to BAPAT is due to the acidic pH 4 of its antigen which reduces the frequency of non-specific reaction to the agglutination test. (El Sharkawy, 2004, Ali et al. 2005 and Saleh et al., 2006). On the other hand, the relative lower percentage of positive reactors detected by RBPT than BAPAT may be due to the fact that RBPT antigens has acidity of pH 3.65, and this lower pH inhibits the activity of IgM and enhance the agglutination of IgG only. (Abdel Moghney, 2004, Ali et al. 2005 and Amin et al., 2012), while BAPAT has pH 4 which permits the detection of IgM as well as IgG even IgG1 which is not agglutinating material at neutral pH, is active at low pH of BAPAT. (Abdel Moghney 2004, Ali et al. 2005 and Shalaby 2013). This explains why BAPAT is more sensitive than RBPT.

Employing of complement fixation test in this study revealed the lowest number of reactors than BAPAT. The test gave negative results in some serum samples that were identified as reactors in other tests, Such reactions may be regarded as false positive reactions, which may be attributed to the presence of some gram negative bacteria which share brucella in its antigenicity and thus cross-react with the used antigen (El Sharkawy 2004), So to minimize the cross reaction with other organisms (E. coli, Salmonella dublin, Yersinia enterocolitica O:9 and Pasteurella tularensis), which produce a great number of false positive reactors, it was decided to carry out the complement fixation test on the same sera samples. Our results are in agreement with (Shalaby et al., 2003, Radostitis et al. 2007 and Azzam et al. 2009). The complement fixation test detect primarily IgG1 and the presence of IgG1 correlated with the state of actual infection even if present in small amount. This makes the CFT is a more reliable test due to the higher sensitivity and specificity in picking up infected cases and is still superior among the employed tests as reported by (Khoudair 2004, Radostitis et al. 2007 and Azzam et al. 2009).

The difference between the results of various tests employed may be due to difference in the sensitivity of various tests to various antibodies or variation of pH of different antigens in these tests and the stage of infection. The results obtained from serological studies revealed that the infectious rate of disease among examined animals was higher in sheep and goats than in cattle and buffaloes. Similar results were obtained by (Lamyaa 2005, Lobna 2006, Noha et al., 2007 and Abeer 2013). Dealing with detection of Brucella agglutinins in serum samples collected from 50 rates by using RBPT as a rapid screening test. Table (1) indicated that 4 (8%) were positive to Brucella out of them 3(9.7%) belong to Rattus rattus and the reminder 1(5.3%) belongs to Rattus norvegicus. This finding was similar to that obtained by Lobna (2006). The results of the present study showed existence of Brucella infection among rats in Egypt. These rats act as reservoirs to Brucella and transmit infection to domestic animals and man by contaminating pastures, food or water troughs with their discharges (Salem et al., 1974). Therefore, rats in Egypt act as a potential source for dissemination of Brucella infection and this should be taken in consideration in organizing control programs for eradication of brucellosis.

In this study, Brucella agglutinins in serum samples collected from 15 dogs examined by using RBPT and TAT indicated that examined dogs were free from brucellosis. These results are similar to those previously obtained by Munalini and Ramasastry (2000), on contrast, (Mateu-de-
Antonio et al., 1994 and Hinić et al., 2012) detected *Br. melitensis* in examined dogs’ sera. In human, Table (2) revealed that the obtained result by RBPT (13.1%) was higher than TAT (11.3%) and 2-MET (10%). RBPT found to be highly sensitive for detection human brucellosis and this result agree with (Mac-Millan 1990) recorded that the RBPT is more accurate indicator for Brucella infection than serum agglutination test. Moreover, (Corbel et al., 2007) suggested that RBPT test is similar to SAT test and highly sensitive than TAT agglutination test besides it’s easier of application while 2-Mercapto Ethanol test (2-MET) disrupts disulphide bonds making IgM antibodies inactive and permitting only Brucella agglutination by IgG agglutination antibodies that resistant to 2ME (Edward et al., 2006).

Concerning the highly susceptible age to contract *Brucella* infection using RBPT Table (4) it was found that the infection rate was high in 20-30 years (47.6%) followed by 30-40 years (28.6%), 40-50 years (14.3%) and <20 years (9.5%) this may be referred to the fact that the majority of the workers in veterinary field, abattoir, milkers and animals attending aged 20-40 years (Wafaa et al., 2003). These findings agree with (El-Moghazi, 1998, Lobna, 2006 and Abeer, 2013).

Concerning the infection with brucellosis in males and females in this study Table (4) revealed that the infection was higher in males 14.9% than females 3.8% these results were agreed with (Moyer et al., 2009) who stated that worldwide, males were affected more than females with ratio of 5:2 to 5:3 in endemic areas this may attributed to males were affected more than females with ratio (Moyer et al., 2009) who stated that worldwide, females 3.8% these results were agreed with that the infection was higher in males 14.9% than males and females in this study Table (4) revealed.

No single serological test could be identifying all stages of brucellosis in infected animals, Complement Fixation test is still the superior one among the employed tests as it gave the highest balance of sensitivity and specificity.
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