Evaluation of the resistive index of prostatic blood flow in benign prostatic hyperplasia
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ABSTRACT

Objective: The aim of this work is to study the resistive index (RI) of prostatic blood flow by transrectal power Doppler sonography in benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) to determine its correlation with other parameters of BPH.

Materials and Methods: Eighty-two male patients aged 52–86 years with lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) due to BPH were included in the study. Patients with prostate cancer, neurogenic bladder, or with other pathology (e.g. prostatitis, bladder stone) were excluded from the study. All patients were evaluated by full history including Internationnal Prostate Symptoms Score (IPSS), general and local examination (DRE), neurologic examination, uroflowmetry, laboratory investigations including urine analysis, routine laboratory tests and serum prostate specific antigen (PSA). Transrectal ultrasonography was used to calculate the total prostatic volume. Transrectal Power Doppler Ultrasound (PUD) was used to identify the capsular and urethral arteries of the prostate and to measures the RI value.

Results: The mean prostate volume was 75.1 ± 44.7 g. The mean RI of the right and left capsular arteries were 0.76 ± 0.06 and 0.76 ± 0.07, respectively. The mean RI of the urethral arteries was 0.76 ± 0.08. There was a high significative correlation between the increase of the RI of the right and left capsular and urethral arteries and the degree of obstruction (P value < 0.001), severity of symptoms (P value < 0.001) and also the prostatic volume (P value < 0.001).

Conclusion: Resistive index of the prostatic blood flow can be applied as an easy and non-invasive tool to evaluate the lower urinary tract obstruction due to BPH.

INTRODUCTION

Patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) constitute a large number of urological patients. Management of these patients relies on history taking, clinical examination and investigational modalities which are additional tools for accurate diagnosis and later follow-up. Ultrasound examination opened the door for further modalities to follow (1). Since the introduction of transrectal ultrasonography (TRUS) by Wantanabe et al. in 1968, it became a valuable tool for diagnosis of most prostatic diseases (2). Over the last two decades this technology has been used successfully in evaluating prostatic disease (1). The color Doppler Ultrasound (CDUS) was later followed by power Doppler Ultrasound (PDUS) that allowed us to assess the vascular architecture of the prostate through a non-invasive modality (3). PDUS has high sensitivity for displaying
slow blood flow, besides the capability to depict the number, course and continuity of prostatic vessels (4). The resistance index (RI) of the prostate vasculature was able to discriminate patients with normal prostate and those with benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) and be useful as a new hemodynamic parameter (5). This study aimed to evaluate the relation between RI of prostatic blood flow by PDUS in BPH and its correlation with other parameters of BPH.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eighty-two male patients aged 52–86 years with lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) due to BPH were included in this study. Patients were randomly selected on the basis of their presentation to the outpatient clinic of Benha University Hospital, Egypt. Patients with prostatitis, prostatic abscess, histologically confirmed prostate cancer, neurogenic bladder and bladder stones were excluded from the study. All patients were assessed by full history taking with special emphasis on International Prostate Symptom Score (IPSS). Physical examination included general examination, digital rectal examination (DRE), neurological examination especially bulbocavernosus reflex (BCR), cremasteric reflex and anal reflex to screen for possible neurological insult. Uroflowmetry was done for all the patients at least twice. Urine analysis, routine laboratory tests and serum prostate specific antigen (PSA) were done for all patients. Imaging studies included plain X-ray KUB, abdomen and pelvic ultrasound to assess post-voided volume and to exclude other associated pathologies. Transrectal ultrasound (TRUS) of the prostate was done to estimate prostatic volume followed by power Doppler ultrasonography (PDUS) to identify the capsular arteries (Figure-1) and urethral arteries (Figure-2) of the prostate and to measure the RI in both right and left capsular arteries and urethral arteries. All sonographic examinations were done by the same radiologist.

Informed consent was given by all participants and the study protocol was approved by the research ethical committee of Benha Faculty of Medicine. Doppler indices were used to obtain information involving blood flow and vascular impedance in prostatic vessels. The indices depend on ratios involving the peak systolic velocity (PSV), the end diastolic velocity (EDV) and mean velocity (MV) through one cycle. RI is one of the primary indices used clinically and is calculated through the following equation (6).

\[
RI = \frac{(PSV) - (EDV)}{PSV}
\]

All data were collected, tabulated and statistically analyzed by SPSS software version 0.16. P-value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
TRUS examination: The procedure was performed after a preliminary cleaning enema in left lateral decubitus position with the knees bent and the bladder partially filled. TRUS examination was performed using a Toshiba machine with end-fire probe with the sonoline Elegra system. The power Doppler imaging was done with a centre probe frequency of 6.5 MHz and the wall filter set to low. The end of the transrectal probe was sheathed in a thin latex balloon (usually a condom), containing scanning gel.

RESULTS

Total number of patients enrolled in this study was 82. Age range was 52-86 years with mean age 66.8 ± 10.2. Seven parameters were studied and the values of these parameters are summarized in (Table-1). According to uroflowmetry results and pelvic and abdominal U/S, patients were classified into two groups: obstructed (Q\textsubscript{max} < 15 mL/s and PVR > 100 mL) and non-obstructed (Q\textsubscript{max} > 15 mL/s). Sixty two patients (76%) were obstructed and 20 (24%) patients were non-obstructed. There was a highly significant increase in the RI of right and left capsular arteries and urethral arteries correlated to increased obstructive pattern of the patients (Table-2).

According to IPSS, our patients were classified into 2 groups. First group included 21 patients that had mild and moderate obstructive symptoms (mild 0-7 and moderate 8-19 on IPSS) and the second group included 61 patients with severe obstructive symptoms (20-35 IPSS score). Mean RI of right & left capsular arteries and urethral arteries were measured in both groups and summarized in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AGE (years)</td>
<td>66.8 ± 10.2</td>
<td>52-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSS</td>
<td>26.5 ± 10.7</td>
<td>5-35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostate volume (gm)</td>
<td>75.1 ± 44.7</td>
<td>14-253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q\textsubscript{max} (mL/second)</td>
<td>13.3 ± 4.6</td>
<td>4.3-21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI of right capsular artery</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.62-0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI of left capsular artery</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.60-0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI of urethral arteries</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.57-0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 - Comparison of RI of Rt. & Lt. capsular and urethral arteries between obstructed and non-obstructed groups of patients (according to uroflowmetry).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Non-obstructed Mean ± SD (range)</th>
<th>Obstructed Mean ± SD (range)</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>(P-value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RI of right capsular artery</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.03 (0.62-0.73)</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.05 (0.63 - 0.90)</td>
<td>-10.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI of left capsular artery</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.04 (0.60-0.74)</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.05 (0.64 - 0.90)</td>
<td>-10.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RI of urethral arteries</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.04 (0.57 - 0.79)</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.06 (0.67-0.94)</td>
<td>-8.6</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Table-3). There was a highly significant increase in the RI of right and left capsular and urethral arteries correlated to the increasing severity of symptoms of the patients according to IPSS. The size of the prostate was measured by TRUS and was considered normal when < 25g and enlarged if > 25g. Six patients were considered to have normal prostate and 76 patients had enlarged prostate. There was a significant increase in the RI of right and left capsular and urethral arteries correlated to the increase of the prostatic volume (Table-4).

**DISCUSSION**

With the advent of power Doppler imaging, RI measurement in patients with LUTS has become a promising parameter for the diagnosis of BPH (1). The definite mechanism through which the RI is elevated in BPH is still unclear (1). It was assumed that a hyperplastic prostate tissue pushed the capsule out as it grewed thus increasing the intraprostatic pressure as well as RI. The increase of the intraprostatic pressure is equally distributed throughout the whole prostate, so the increase of RI was found in both peripheral and transition zones (7).

There is a relative difference in the RI of prostatic vasculature in different prostatic pathologies. The mean RI value of 0.579 (range, 0.45 - 0.80) for cancer cases was slightly lower than the mean values for cases with atypia, inflammation, and benign disease, which were 0.601 (range,
0.49 – 0.86), 0.621 (range, 0.54 – 0.77) and 0.616 (range, 0.40 – 1.00), respectively. It is noteworthy that the subgroup of patients with the highest RI value measured at the relevant site was the one with inflammation compared with those with cancer, atypia, and benign disease (8).

The parameters used by different research groups to correlate RI with BPH were different. Hayami et al. assessed the value of power Doppler imaging in predicting the histological components of BPH and demonstrated that RI was the most reliable factor for predicting the ratio of glandular lumen to stromal elements. There values were considered useful for predicting the therapeutic response to different treatment options (9). Others pushed their research one step further. Turgut et al. used PDUS and RI in a trial to differentiate prostate cancer from BPH. The authors reported that the mean RI for cancer cases was slightly lower than the mean RI value for benign conditions despite the fact that the difference was statistically insignificant (P > 0.05) (8).

In the present study, out of 82 patients, 62 (76%) were diagnosed to be obstructed and the remaining 20 (24%) unobstructed based on uroflowmetric studies. There was a significant difference in RI of right capsular arteries between the obstructed and non obstructed groups (P < 0.001). There was a significant difference in RI of left capsular arteries between the obstructed and non obstructed groups (P < 0.001). Similarly, there was a significant difference in RI of urethral arteries between the obstructed and non obstructed groups (P < 0.001). There was a highly significant increase in the RI correlated to the increase of obstructive patterns of flow rates of the patients. Although there was a significant statistical value between RI of both right and left capsular arteries and urethral arteries when correlated to IPSS, uroflowmetry results and prostate volume, there was not a significant difference in mean RI among the individual arteries.

Kojima et al. showed a significant correlation between RI and urodynamic parameters obtained in peak flow rate (Q-max) of uroflowmetry and IPSS in their study. Out of 33 patients with obstruction, 28 (85%) had a RI of 0.7 or more, while 11 out of 24 patients (46%) without obstruction had a RI less than 0.7 (7). In the study of Tsuru et al. (10), the RI of capsular arteries was (0.73 + 0.08) and that of urethral arteries was (0.69 + 0.08), and there was a significant correlation between IPSS and RI of capsular arteries. These results are similar to our results in capsular arteries but there was a noted difference from that obtained in urethral arteries and this may be explained by different methods of assessing intra-prostatic arteries and the great improvement of machine technology that we used. Shinbo et al. studied the RI as a risk for acute urinary retention in patients with BPH; they stated that RI is increased in patients with BPH and is related to the severity of bladder outlet obstructive symptoms (11). Other researchers didn’t observe this strong correlation. Hayami and associates showed that there was a weak difference in RI between the obstructed and non-obstructed groups (0.73 ± 0.1 vs. 0.71 ± 0.03) (9).

Frauscher et al. showed that the RI was significantly elevated in BPH patients in comparison to the normal group (12). These results are similar to that obtained by the study done by Kojima et al. who found that there was a significant increase of the RI in 40 cases of BPH (0.72 ± 0.05) compared to 37 cases with a healthy prostate (0.64 ± 0.04), (P < 0.0001) (5). Kojima et al. demonstrated that the RI value of patients with normal prostatic volume was significantly lower than that of patients with an enlarged prostate (0.64 ± 0.04 vs. 0.72 ± 0.06; P < 0.0001) (7).

Jamal and Khadr showed that the RI increases significantly correlated to the increase in prostatic volume, and that there was a significant difference in RI between patients with normal prostate and those with BPH (0.64 ± 0.04 vs. 0.72 ± 0.06; P < 0.0001) (13). This result is in agreement with previous studies and similar to our results.

It is noteworthy to mention that although most of the researchers - whether in total agreement, partial agreement or who even didn’t agree with our results - did not identify or correlate the individual capsular and urethral arteries, yet we analyzed signals from these vessels separately. This may be related to the advances in the machine technology.

The PDUS is three to four folds more sensitive for the detection of blood flow when compared to CDUS (14). We used this technology to
correlate the RI of prostate vasculature with BPH symptoms, prostate size and degree of obstruction.

CONCLUSIONS

The RI measurement using PDUS can be added to the modalities available for investigating BPH. Furthermore, its value can be correlated to the prostate size and degree of obstruction. Further research in this field will even allow the use of this modality to investigate other pathologies affecting the prostate and can be used also to evaluate the outcome of management.
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The authors analyzed the resistive index (RI) of prostatic blood flow by transrectal power Doppler sonography as a method to evaluate the lower urinary tract obstruction due to BPH. They demonstrated a high significative correlation between the increase of the RI of the right and left capsular and urethral arteries and the degree of obstruction, severity of symptoms and prostatic volume.
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The relative invasiveness of urodynamics and its indeterminate results in a significant number of cases have stimulated the development of non-invasive methods for the diagnosis of bladder outlet obstruction (BOO). In this context, the use of ultrasound (US) as a surrogate method to establish this diagnosis has constituted an interesting field of research. Prostate volume, post-void residual volume, intra-vesical prostatic protrusion, bladder weight, detrusor wall thickness, urethral prostatic angle and resistive index of prostatic arteries are the main forms to predict the risk of BOO through US analysis. The association of some of these measures has led to accuracy rates over 90%. The lack of standardization of these measures is probably the main obstacle that has hampered the reproduction of the results in other urologic centers. Urologists should be aware of these facts in order to explore the maximum potential of this cheap, available, non-invasive and innocuous diagnostic tool.

EDITORIAL COMMENT

The authors present their experience with the use of prostatic resistive index (RI) to distinguish between men with obstructive versus non-obstructive prostatic hyperplasia. Their findings suggest ultrasound RI to be a validated auxiliary tool to identify patients suffering from significant obstructive urinary flow.

Since the obstructed group presented a significant higher RI than non-obstructed group, selection criteria before transrectal ultrasound assessment seem to have been adequate (Qmax < 15 mL/s and PVR > 100 mL). However, although the mean RI difference was statistically significant for all measured parameters (right artery, left artery and urethral artery, P < 0.001) numbers were frequently overlapped (see Table-2). As such, identifying obstructive cases on an individual basis may be rather difficult.

Also, it is hypothesized that an enlargement of the median lobe may not impact RI value as it does not impose increased resistance to capsular arteries. The association of intravesical prostatic protrusion (IPP) could add for a more precise diagnosis in this scenario (1,2).
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The authors should be congratulated for this study about the resistive index of blood flow in the prostate of patients with BPH. There are few studies (1) describing the effects of the prostatic resistive blood flow in the urodynamic parameters, and the potential applications of this information can change our practice. The knowledge about it is still incipient and the resistive index of blood flow of the prostate and bladder outlet can be a simple and useful tool to determine infravesical obstruction. (2)

However, this study opens an opportunity for the development of more trials including necessarily a comparison with pressure/flow parameters that could reveal more information about the sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive value of this method regarding infravesical obstruction.
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