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ABSTRACT

Objective: This study was designed to evaluate the efficacy of surgical decompression in treatment of meralgia paresthetica. Patients and Methods: This study included 30 patients (18 females, 12 males) within age range of 24-62 years presented with meralgia paresthetica with mean duration of symptoms 29.3±5.4 months. All the patients were subjected to conservative management for 6 months. 12 patients were not improved with conservative treatment. 9 patients (70%) underwent unilateral neurolysis of the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve (LFCN), whereas 3 patients (25%) underwent bilateral neurolysis of the LFCN. Surgical outcome was graded subjectively by each patient postoperatively based on 0-5 pain intensity scale. Results: The mean follow-up period was 14 months; range: 6-24 months. Surgical outcome was graded subjectively by each patient postoperatively based on 0-5 pain intensity scale; 5 patients (41.7%) improved completely; 2 patients (16.7%) significant improved; 1 patient (8.3%) temporary improved; 4 patients (33.3%) not improved, (Table 7). For the 4 (33.3%) patients who did not improve after surgical decompression, re-exploration with trans-section of the LFCN was done (1 case bilateral, 3 unilateral) & pain improved completely in all patients. Conclusion: It could be concluded that neurolysis of the LFCN is an effective treatment for meralgia paresthetica in properly selected patients & trans-section of the LFCN is indicated for patients who did not improved after surgical decompression. Keywords: Neurolysis, lateral femoral cutaneous nerve, meralgia paresthetica.

INTRODUCTION

Meralgia paresthetica is a clinical syndrome resulting from the entrapment of the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve (LFCN) of the thigh in the inguinal region. It is also known as Bernhardt-Roth syndrome, was first described in 1878. Anatomically, the LFCN is quite variable and may be derived from several different combinations of lumbar nerves, including L2 and L3, L1 and L2, L2 alone, and L3 alone. The LFCN may be associated with the femoral nerve as it passes through the inguinal ligament or may anastomose with the femoral nerve distal to the inguinal ligament. Piersol reported that the LFCN may be partially or entirely derived from the adjacent common predisposing factors. The occurrence of meralgia paresthetica following lumbar spine surgery is uncommon and there are few reports in the literature on this subject. The LFCN is primarily a sensory nerve but also includes efferent sympathetic fibers carrying vasomotor, pilomotor, and sudomotor impulses. It is quite variable and may be derived from several different combinations of lumbar nerves, including L2 and L3, L1 and L2, L2 alone, and L3 alone. The LFCN may be associated with the femoral nerve as it passes through the inguinal ligament or may anastomose with the femoral nerve distal to the inguinal ligament. Piersol reported that the LFCN may be partially or entirely derived from the adjacent
genitofemoral or femoral nerve, and Keegan and Holyoke\textsuperscript{10} noted this variation in 30\% of their cadaver dissections. On occasion, the LFCN is absent and may be replaced by a branch of the ilioinguinal nerve\textsuperscript{8}.

The LFCN passes behind the psoas muscle and runs beneath the iliac fascia as it crosses the surface of the iliacus muscle. As the nerve approaches the anterior superior iliac spine, it pierces the iliac fascia and exits through a fibrous tunnel into the thigh. Lee\textsuperscript{11} noted that the nerve is vulnerable to pressure or stretching where it emerges beneath the psoas muscle, passes around the anterior superior iliac spine, courses through the fibrous canal of the fascia lata, and finally exits the fascia lata. The site at which the LFCN exits the pelvis varies, and symptoms of meralgia paresthetica have been reported with each of five known variants.

The LFCN is most frequently found passing through the split lateral attachment of the inguinal ligament. As the nerve curves medially and inferiorly around the anterior superior iliac spine, it may be subjected to repetitive trauma in this fibroosseous tunnel. Nathan\textsuperscript{12} observed thickening of the LFCN at this level in 60\% of his cadaver dissections and postulated that this was a direct response to chronic irritation.

The nerve may pass posterior to the inguinal ligament and anterior to a sharp ridge of iliacus fascia. Ghent\textsuperscript{13} noted that this variation may lead to a bowstring deformity of the nerve when the patient is supine. Occasionally, the LFCN enters the thigh within or beneath the substance of the sartorius muscle. Stookey\textsuperscript{14} reported that in some instances the nerve passed through a shallow bony groove posterior to the sartorius. Ghent\textsuperscript{13} and Stookey\textsuperscript{14} both reported symptomatic patients with this variation.

Several cases have been reported in which the LFCN crosses over the iliac crest lateral and posterior to the anterior superior iliac spine. The nerve typically lies in a groove in the ilium and is subject to pressure from tight garments or belts\textsuperscript{13-14}.

The nerve may exit the pelvis in multiple branches with entrapment of a single branch. Williams and Trzil\textsuperscript{4} reported displacement of the branches as much as 6 cm medial to the anterior superior iliac spine.

**PATIENTS & METHODS**

This prospective study was conducted at Neurosurgery department, Benha University Hospital, over a period of 2 years, started April 2005 and comprised 30 patients (18 females, 12 males) within age range of 24-62 years presented with meralgia paresthetica with mean duration of symptoms 29.3±5.4 months. Etiologies included idiopathic causes, inguinal herniorrhaphy, external compression, diabetic neuropathy, Caesarean section and total abdominal hysterectomy (Table 1).
Inclusion criteria included the presence of: positive Tinel’s sign next to the ASIS; presence of LFCN tenderness next to the ASIS; presence of paresthesias in the distribution of the LFCN. Spinal etiology for their pain was excluded by clinical examination, computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging for suspected cases.

All the patients were subjected to conservative management for 6 months involving weight loss in obese patients, avoidance of abdominal course and constricting garments, physical therapy, NSAID and anti-neuropathic drugs.

12 patients were not improved with conservative treatment. Further evaluation was done, included: history and physical examination; the presence of associated neuropathic groin, leg or back pain; patient’s preoperative pain level. Pressure-Specified Sensory Device (PSSD) studies and nerve block by injecting a small amount of bupivacaine with epinephrine around the LFCN where it passed near the anterior superior iliac spine. The accuracy of the injection was confirmed by obtaining anterolateral thigh paresthesia, and in each case the symptoms were completely relieved for several hours.

9 patients (70%) underwent unilateral neurolysis of the LFCN, whereas 3 patients (25%) underwent bilateral neurolysis of the LFCN (Fig.1). Surgical outcome was graded subjectively by each patient postoperatively based on 0-5 pain intensity scale. Interval from surgery to relief of pain; interval from surgery to improvement of thigh paresthesias; and patient’s subjective level of satisfaction with surgery were estimated.

Patients were examined & asked to grade their outcome during follow-up at Neurosurgery outpatient clinic visits. Mean follow-up time was 14 months.

**RESULTS**

The study comprised 30 patients; 18 females (60%) and 12 males (40%),(Table 2). Patients mean age was 46±12.5; range: 24-62 years, Patients were presented with meralgia paresthetica with mean duration of symptoms 29.3±5.4 months, (Table 3).
After conservative management for 6 months; 18 patients (60%) improved & 12 patients (40%) not improved, (Table 4).

The causes of meralgia paresthetica in the twelve patients who undergone surgical decompression were; 5 patients (41.7%) post inguinal hernia repair; 6 patients (50%) idiopathic & 1 patient (8.3%) post hysterectomy, (Table 5).

Unilateral decompression was done in 9 cases (75%); Bilateral decompression was done in 3 cases (25%), (Table 6).

Following surgery, preoperative pain level took an average of 2-4 weeks for relieve of incisional pain and tenderness over the LFCN to occur, however relieve of paresthetica pain took 2 days to 6 months (with a median of 6 weeks) after surgery.

The mean follow-up period was 14 months; range: 6-24 months. Surgical outcome was graded subjectively by each patient postoperatively based on 0-5 pain intensity scale; 5 patients (41.7%) improved completely; 2 patients (16.7%) significant improved; 1 patient (8.3%) temporary improved; 4 patients (33.3%) not improved, (Table 7).

For the 4 (33.3%) patients who did not improve after surgical decompression, re-exploration with trans-section of the LFCN was done (1 case bilateral, 3 unilateral) & pain improved completely in all patients.

| Table 2. Distribution of the study group according to sex |
| SEX | NO | % |
| Females | 18 | 60.0 |
| Males | 12 | 40.0 |
| Total | 30 | 100.0 |

| Table 3. Means ± SD and range of age & duration of symptoms of the study cases |
| Variables | X± SD | Range |
| Age (years) | 46±12.5 | 24-62 |
| Duration of symptoms | 29.3±5.4 | 21-38 |

| Table 4. Distribution of the study group according to improvement with medical treatment |
| Improvement with medical treatment | Cases | No | % |
| Improved | 18 | 60.0 |
| Not improved | 12 | 40.0 |
| Total | 30 | 100.0 |

| Table 5. Distribution of the surgical cases according to causes |
| Causes | Cases | % |
| Post inguinal hernia repair | 5 | 41.7 |
| Idiopathic | 6 | 50.0 |
| Post hysterectomy | 1 | 8.3 |
| Total | 12 | 100.0 |
Table 6. Distribution of the study cases (12) according to surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SURGERY</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unilateral</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7. Scale for pain relief 1-5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grade 1 (poor)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>33.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 2 (fair)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 3 (good)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 4 (very good)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 5 (excellent)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>41.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. (3): Distribution of the study cases (12) according to surgery

Fig. 2. Distribution of the study group according to sex

Fig. (3): Distribution of the study group according to improvement with medical treatment
Fig. (4): Distribution of non-improved cases according to surgery

Fig. (5): Distribution of the surgical cases according to causes

Fig. (6): Distribution of the study cases according to surgical decompression
DISCUSSION

As the LFCN exits the pelvis, it is subject to compression and stretching injuries by such conditions as obesity, pregnancy, ascites, tight garments, seat belts, braces, direct trauma, leg length changes, scoliosis, and muscle spasm. It may also be injured by lower abdominal and pelvic incisions, such as for appendectomies, iliac wing bone grafts, and Chiari pelvic osteotomies. The LFCN typically separates into an anterior and a posterior branch several centimeters distal to the anterior superior iliac spine. Various authors in the past have postulated isolated involvement of either the anterior or posterior branch, based on the surface distribution of symptoms. Williams and Trzil have surgically confirmed that this can occur. Some variations in the surface distribution of symptoms are also likely due to variations in nerve root derivation.

Ecker and Woltman, Stookey, Aird, Brain, Chhuttari et al, Kitchen and Simpson, and Huddleson all reported a male predominance. Rosenheck noted an equal distribution between the sexes in his series, whereas King, Rhodes and Williams and Trzil reported a female predominance. In the present series, 18 of the 30 patients were female.

The incidence of bilateral involvement was reported as 10% by Kitchen and Simpson, 15% by Chhuttari et al, 20% by Ecker and Woltman and 50% by Rosenheck and delson and Stevens. 3 cases of bilateral involvement (25%) was encountered in the present series.

Surgical treatment of meralgia paresthetica should be reserved only for those individuals who fail medical treatment; successful surgical treatment first begins with correct diagnosis. Compression of the LFCN can occur predominantly at three different sites: as the nerve exits the pelvis.
spinal column; as the nerve courses along the pelvic wall; and as the nerve exits the pelvis to enter the thigh. Classically, meralgia paresthetica is caused by compression of the LFCN at the site where it exits the pelvis, but it is important to realize that other neuropathies may mimic meralgia paresthetica. The differential diagnosis for anterolateral thigh pain and paresthesia is varied and includes lumbar radiculopathy; lumbar facet syndrome; increased retroperitoneal pressure resulting from tumor, hemorrhage, or abscess; mononeuritis multiplex; diabetic lumbosacral plexopathy; and lumbar spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis\textsuperscript{1}.

The most likely pathology to mimic meralgia paresthetica is lumbar radiculopathy\textsuperscript{4,29}. However, meralgia paresthetica produces no motor deficit as there is a purely sensory nerve involved, and there should be no lumbar pain, sciatic notch tenderness, or positive straight-leg raising sign\textsuperscript{4}. Moreover, pain or presence of a positive Tinel’s sign over the ASIS additionally support the diagnosis of meralgia paresthetica\textsuperscript{4,30}.

In order to objectively document LFCN neuropathy, it is recommended that surgical candidates have both the affected and contralateral nerves tested with the Pressure-Specified Sensory Device, which is a noninvasive and painless computer-assisted method of neurosensory testing. In their study, Coert and Dellon\textsuperscript{30} demonstrated that PSSD is an effective means of testing for neuropathy and is superior to more traditional forms of electrodiagnostic studies.

Although Eerten et al\textsuperscript{31} found resection to be superior to neurolysis, our results from 12 consecutive procedures show that neurolysis has a success rate of 58.3%. Eerten et al\textsuperscript{31} stated that neurolysis should, in theory, relieve symptoms more frequently than it does. Release of the nerve entrapment is effective treatment for other nerve compression syndromes, yet seems to be less effective for meralgia paresthetica.

In our study 4 (33.3\%) patients who did not improved after surgical decompression, re-exploration with trans-section of the LFCN was done (1 case bilateral, 3 unilateral) & pain improved completely in all patients. This agree with Eerten et al\textsuperscript{31} who suggested that intraneural changes occur such that a painful neuropathy predominates. In this circumstance, this “pain generator” is unresponsive to neurolysis, and stated that resection is the most efficacious treatment, with patients preferring the hypoesthesia to the hyperesthesia.

Patients who fail to respond to conservative therapy should be considered for surgery, but there is no consensus as to the best surgical treatment. King\textsuperscript{26} and Williams and Trzil\textsuperscript{4} recommended resection. Stookey\textsuperscript{14} advised transecting the nerve. Ghent\textsuperscript{13} advocated excision of the posterior slip of the inguinal ligament to decompress the nerve, or transection of the nerve when this was impossible. Edelson and Stevens\textsuperscript{28} recommended decompression in their pediatric patients, whereas Macnichol and Thompson\textsuperscript{32} concluded that decompression was effective in less than half of their adult patients. Lee\textsuperscript{10} and Mack\textsuperscript{33} transposed the nerve laterally by cutting a slot in the iliac wing. Keegan and Holyoke\textsuperscript{11} divided the posterior slip of the inguinal ligament and transposed the nerve medially. Aldrich and Van den Heever\textsuperscript{34} advocated neurolysis with or without transposition and advised against transection. Williams and
Trzil\textsuperscript{4} reported on 24 patients treated with nerve resection. The patients ranged in age from 19 to 68 years, and follow-up was 4 to 25 years. Twenty-three of the patients had sustained satisfactory relief.

Edelson and Stevens\textsuperscript{28} reported long-term follow-up of 21 cases of meralgia paresthetica in 13 patients age 1 to 17 years. Patients were treated with decompression, resulting in complete relief in 14 cases and significant improvement in 5. Two patients initially had complete relief but subsequently developed recurrent symptoms.

When resection is indicated, the LFCN should be divided several centimeters posterior to the anterior superior iliac spine. This has the advantage of avoiding any scar tissue from previous decompression surgery and provides a single larger nerve for dissection. In addition, this places the transected nerve trunk in a protected area that is not likely to be stimulated.

**CONCLUSION**

It could be concluded that neurolysis of the LFCN is an effective treatment for meralgia paresthetica in properly selected patients & transsection of the LFCN is indicated for patients who did not improved after surgical decompression.
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