Radiofrequency Thermal Ablation versus Microwave Ablation for Small Hepatocellular Carcinoma
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Background and Study Aim: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fifth most common form of cancer worldwide and the third most common cause of cancer-related deaths. This study was designed to investigate the therapeutic efficacy of percutaneous Radiofrequency ablation versus Microwave ablation for small HCC measuring ≤3 cm in diameter.

Patients and Methods: This study was carried out in Al-Mahalla Hepatology Teaching Hospital on 30 patients with cirrhosis and small HCC. All the patients were evaluated by thorough history, clinical examination, laboratory investigations, abdominal ultrasound and spiral triphasic CT.

Results: The mean age was 56.2±5.8, 70% (21) were males and 30% (9) were females. There was highly statistical significant increase in liver function in MW ablation as regard AST, ALT and bilirubin, and decrease in α FP level of both groups after treatment. There was no significant difference between two groups in the response to treatment as regarding Triphasic CT and complications.

Conclusion: Microwave (MW) and Radiofrequency (RF) ablation are similar in pathologic appearance and imaging characteristics, but RFA has many limitations and many complications. MW ablation offers many of the advantages of RF ablation while overcoming some of its limitations and the heat-sink effect.

INTRODUCTION

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fifth most common form of cancer worldwide and the third most common cause of cancer-related deaths. HCC often occurs in the background of a cirrhotic liver [1].

Hepatectomy offers the best outcomes for patients with HCC [2,3]. Unfortunately, there are less than 30% of cases are amenable to hepatectomy at the time of diagnosis due to advanced tumor stage and underlying liver cirrhosis [4].

When hepatectomy options are contraindicated, image-guided tumor ablation therapy is recommended as the most appropriate therapeutic choice and is considered a potentially curative treatment in properly selected candidates [5].

In the past two decades, thermal ablation therapy by using energy sources has been increasingly accepted due to the advantages of greater capacity to ablate HCC with fewer treatment sessions. Among the thermal ablation therapy Radiofrequency (RF) ablation and Microwave (MW) ablation are the most commonly used modalities [6,7].

Radiofrequency (RF) ablation has been considered to be the most common thermal ablation modality worldwide for early stage HCC, with 80–95% complete tumor necrosis and 33–57% 5-year survival [8].

Microwave (MW) ablation, which generates an electromagnetic field in the tissue and causes rapid and homogeneous rotation of molecules in order to damage the tumor thermally. Microwave ablation (MWA) offers many of the advantages of RF ablation.
In addition, a larger zone of ablation, faster treatment time, more complete tumor kill and overcome heat sink effect of adjacent blood vessel [9].

Microwave ablation results in significantly larger zones of coagulation than does RF ablation this is due to longer lengths of the coagulated zone, as there is no significant difference between MW and RF ablation for short-axis diameter or maximum diameter [10].

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Type of the study: Prospective (cohort) study.

Patients:
We enrolled in the study 30 diagnosed patients with cirrhosis and small hepatocellular carcinoma ≤3cm at Hepatology Department at Al Mahalla Hepatology Teaching Hospital in the period between May 2014 to March 2015, fulfilling all criteria detailed below.

Inclusion criteria:
1- Solitary tumour 3 cm or less in diameter.
2- Patients with Child-Pugh class A or B, not candidate for hepatic resection or no possibility of surgical intervention.

Exclusion criteria:
1- Tumours larger than 3cm in diameter.
2- Hepatic metastasis or abdominal lymph node infiltration.
3- Portal vein thrombosis.
4- Tumours located within 1cm of the liver hilum, gall bladder or common bile duct.
5- Tumours in the dome of the liver may be unreachable percutaneously.
6- Platelet count less than 60,000/ml or prothrombin concentration less than 60%.
7- Patients with Child-Pugh class C.

The patients were randomized into two group:
Group 1: included 15 patients treated by RF thermal ablation.
Group 2: included 15 patients treated by MW ablation.

Clinical and Laboratory Assessment:
All patients were subjected to History taking, Thorough clinical examination General: orientation, jaundice, lower limb oedema or other manifestation suggesting hepatic encephalopathy. Local: hepatomegaly, splenomegaly or ascites. Laboratory investigations: viral markers: anti-HCV antibodies and HBsAg. Complete blood count including (Hb%, WBC and platelets). Serum creatinine, liver function tests including (ALT, AST, alkaline phosphatase, serum albumin, serum bilirubin and prothrombin concentration. Serum alpha fetoprotein before and one month after ablation. Imaging: Abdominal ultrasound for assessment of : Hepatic focal lesion: site, size and echo pattern. Size of spleen and ascites. Spiral triphasic CT: for enhanced criteria of the hepatic lesion which represents the backbone in the diagnosis of HCC in cirrhotic patients. Liver biopsy or fine needle aspiration (FNA) cytology (if result of triphasic CT is non conclusive). Patients were evaluated for liver function reservoir according Child-Pugh classification [11].

Percutaneous Ablation Procedures:
Treatment was performed with the patient under conscious sedation and analgesia induced by the administration of diazepam 10-20 mg (Neuril; Nile) or propofol (Deprivan) intravenously. All ablation procedures were performed under local anesthesia with 1% lidocaine. Real-time ultrasound was used for the guidance and monitoring of ablation procedures. The aim of the treatment was to completely destroy the tumor with a safety margin of 0.5–1.0 cm normal liver tissue. At the end of the procedure, needle track can be done to prevent any tumor cell dissemination.

Percutaneous RF ablation.
In this study we used both RITA Medical System and Boston Scientific:

- RITA Medical System:
This device relies on direct temperature measurement. Five of the electrodes are hollow and contain thermocouples in their tips to measure the tissue temperature. Probe-tip temperatures, tissue impedance and wattage are displayed on the RF generator and are recorded by dedicated software. Maximum power output of the RF generator, amount of electrode array deployment from the trocar and duration of the effective time of the ablation depend on the desired volume of ablation. The needle is expanded up to 4 cm to achieve safety margin (0.5-1.0 cm) [12.13].

- Boston Scientific:
Another manufacturer (Boston Scientific, Natick, MA) device that relies on electrical measurement of tissue impedance rather than on tissue temperature. The electrode is made by an insulated 14-gauge outer needle that
houses retractable curved electrodes [14]. The needle used is up to 4 cm which is expanded in the tumor for ablation. The ablation is performed in two phases (phase I & phase II).

**Percutaneous MW ablation.**

- **H.S System:**
  This microwave delivery system consisted of a mw generator named AMICA Gen., operating at frequency of 2450 MHz and a power output up to 100 W, and a 14 gauge (14g × 150 mm and 14g × 200 mm) cooled shaft electrode, with real-time us guidance, the needle is percutaneous introduced through the guiding needle into the tumor and the active tip is placed in the deepest part of the tumor to completely eradicate the tumor, for small tumors (≤ 3cm), single application MWA was performed, to prevent possible tumor seeding, the needle track is cauterized for 10 seconds when the antenna is withdrawn [15].

**Statistical analysis :**

Statistical presentation and analysis of the present study was conducted SPSS V.20. Data was expressed into two phases :

I. Descriptive 1- Mean value (X) and Standard Deviation [SD]: for quantitative data. 2- Frequency and percentage for qualitative data.

II. Analytic by t-student test and Chi-square test.

P value >0.05 was considered statistically non significant P value ≤0.05 was considered statistically significant. P value ≤0.001 was considered statistically highly significant.

**RESULTS**

Baseline features of studied cases are present in (Tables 1,2).

Study was conducted on 30 patients with mean age 56.2±5.8, 70% (21) were males and 30% (9) were females.

In the present study The total bilirubin (TB) levels, the AST and ALT levels were significantly elevated at 48 h in patients after both RF ablation and MW ablation compared with the baseline levels (P,<0.001). The increase in the AST, ALT and total bilirubin (TB) levels was highly significantly larger in MW ablation group than in RF ablation. There were no significant changes of albumin (ALB) after treatment (Table 3).

There was highly significant decrease in α FP level of both groups after treatment shown in table (4).

There was no significant difference between two groups in the response to treatment as regarding Triphasic CT. (Table 5).

There was no statistical significant difference between MWA and RFA as regarding complications (pain, hematoma, abscess and fever). A low-grade Fever was observed after treatment in 4 patients in MWA group (26.7%) and in 2 patients of RFA group (13.3%), it resolved with antipyretic.

Pain in the upper abdomen was observed in 3 patients of MWA group (20%) and in 5 patients of RF group (33.3%) during the course of sessions, this patient required the administration of analgesics with prescription of Nimesulide (0.1 g/d) for 3–4 days. Hematoma occurred in one patient of MWA group (6.7%). Abscess occurred in one patient of RF group (6.7%), it resolved with repeated aspiration. There were no major complications observed in the studied groups. There were no skin burn and tumor seeding in the study (Table 6).

| Table (1): Sex distribution among studied groups (n=30) |
|---------|-------|----------|-------|-------|
| Males   | Females |
| No      | %     | No       | %     |
| 21      | 70    | 9        | 30    |

| Table (2): Age distribution among studied groups (n=30) |
|---------|----------|
| Variable | Mean ± SD |
| Age     | 56.2±5.8  |
Table (3): Biochemical profile of both groups (MW & RFA) before & one month after the end of treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>MW group</th>
<th>RF group</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>MW group</th>
<th>RF group</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before mean ± DS</td>
<td>After mean ± DS</td>
<td></td>
<td>Before mean ± DS</td>
<td>After mean ± DS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>73.3±49.9</td>
<td>81.2±51.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>57.6±44.7</td>
<td>54.2±44.5</td>
<td>.0277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>67.3±48</td>
<td>73.7±48.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>52.3±43.5</td>
<td>52.4±43.2</td>
<td>.860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilirubin (total)</td>
<td>1.7±0.7</td>
<td>1.6±0.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.2±0.4</td>
<td>1.3±0.4</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>3.8±0.5</td>
<td>3.7±0.5</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>3.9±0.4</td>
<td>3.9±0.5</td>
<td>0.171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4): α fetoprotein levels before and one month after treatment in both groups, paired comparison of Both groups (MWA & RFA group)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>α F.P pre Mean± S D</th>
<th>α F.P post Mean± S D</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MW (n.15)</td>
<td>210± 195.3</td>
<td>63.6±65.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFA (n.15)</td>
<td>169.5±177.9</td>
<td>45.5±55.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (5): Criteria of response after treatment in both groups (RFA &MWA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria of response</th>
<th>MW group (n = 15)</th>
<th>RF group (n = 15)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triphasic CT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non enhancing</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>93.3</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (6): Complications of both Groups (RFA &MWA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>MW group (n = 15)</th>
<th>RF group (n = 15)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abscess</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Hepatocellular Carcinoma (HCC) has an increasing incidence worldwide, and it is the leading cause of death in patients with cirrhosis. It is the fifth most common cancer and the third most common cause of cancer-related death [16,17].

Microwaves have higher heating efficiency than RF which renders them unaffected by “heat sink” effect resulting in larger ablation volumes achieved in less time [18].

MW ablation offers many of the advantages of RF ablation and overcoming some of the limitations as conduction of electricity into tissue, a larger zone of ablation, faster treatment time and more complete tumor kill. MW ablation has a much broader power field than does RF ablation up to 2 cm [9].

The mean age of the study group was 56.2±5.8 years.

The earlier age in Egyptians could be explained by the early age of acquisition of viral hepatitis.
due to the high incidence of HCV and HBV in some areas, so although the patients in Egypt are relatively young they may still have had 30-40 years of continuous inflammation and necrosis which might be sufficient to develop cirrhosis and eventual HCC at early ages.

Regarding the sex distribution of the studied patients, nearly all the two groups were males. This was in agreement with Omata et al., study who had four females (9.3%) in 43 HCC patients [19] and in agreement with El-Kady et al. who had 8 females (13.8%) in a study of 58 patients [20] and Livraghi et al. where out of 158 patients 23 were females [21].

The liver biochemical profile in this study (performed before and one month after the end of sessions) showed slight changes after the RF procedure, this was in agreement with Chen et al. who included 110 patients with HCC in their study on RFA [22] and El-Kady et al. who included 58 patients with HCC in their study on RFA using the multiple array (Le Veen) needles, they found slight transient increase in transaminase levels above the pre-ablation level in 77% of patients [21]. In MWA group there was highly significant change (significant increase) in the liver function tests namely (AST, ALT, bilirubin) after treatment, this was in agreement with Qian et al. and Yamashiki et al. who studied 19 patients with HCC and observed reversible liver dysfunction with elevation of serum level of transaminases in 12 patients after treatment with MW [23, 24].

Complete response was observed in 27 patient (90%), partial response in 3 patients (10%) who were treated with another sessions. The results of this study were in agreement with the results of Zhang et al., in which the complete ablation rate was achieved in 83.4% (78/93) of the treated tumors with RF ablation and 86.7% (91/105) in those treated with MW ablation, with no significant difference between RF and MW ablation [25].

In conclusion, MW and RF ablation are means of thermo ablations which are similar in pathologic appearance and imaging characteristics, but RF has many limitations and many complications as it is effective for small and favorably situated tumors, but local progression rates are substantially higher for large tumors (≥3 cm). MW ablation offers many of the advantages of RF ablation while possibly overcoming some of its limitations. MW ablation may be less affected by the heat-sink effect that is thought to contribute to local recurrence after RF ablation.
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